

Issues 1 – 134 December 1986 – July 2009

## ADVISER INDEX

### ABSTRACTS INDEX

### TABLE OF CASES

This index includes cases appearing in issues 1 – 134. The index reference eg, Angus v Bryden, 29 indicates that the abstract appears in Adviser number 29.

139 Finborough Rd Management Ltd v Mansoor, 22

#### A

A G Securities v Vaughan & others, 8 (CA); 11 (HL)

A v B, 99

A v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police, CA, 96

A v Fenland DC, 64

A v Tameside & Glossop Health Authority, 60

AA (R on the application of) v Lambeth LBC, CA, 94

Abbey Life Assurance v Tansell, CA, 80

Abbey National BS v Cann & others, 19

Abbey National BS v Gibson, CA, 67

Abbey National plc v Boscawen & others, 50

Abbey National plc v Miller, CA, 124

Abbey National plc v Moss & others, 42

Abbey National v Padfield, 96

Abbey National v Powell, CA, 84

Abdirahman v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 124

Aberdeen Steak House plc v Ibrahim, 9

Accent Peerless v Kingsdon, CA, 128

Adair v Bolton MBC, 104

Adams & others v 1. Healthcare Ltd 2. Taltov Ltd & others, 22

Adams & another v Watkins, 21

Adams v Lancashire CC, 55; CA, 64

Adams v Rhymney Valley DC, CA, 83

Adamson v B & L Cleaning Services Ltd, 50

Adamson v CAO, 5

Adamson v Halifax, CA, 115

Adan v Hounslow LBC and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 103

Adan v Newham LBC, CA, 92

Addison t/a Brayton News v Ashby, 98

Addison v Babcock Fata Ltd, 1

Advanced Technology v Cray Valley Products, 37

AEG (UK) Ltd v Lewis, 36

AEM (Avon) Ltd v Bristol CC, 91

Affleck v Newcastle Mind, 75

Afzal & others v Ford Motor Co Ltd, 45

Agyeman v Boadi, 56

Ahmed v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 111  
AIB Group (UK) plc v Martin & Gould, HL, 91  
AIB v Alsop, CA, 68  
Air Foyle Ltd v Crosby-Clarke, CA, 93  
Akinbolu v Hackney LBC, 57  
Ako v Rothschild Asset Management Ltd, CA, 92  
Akram v Adam, CA, 98, 108  
Alabaster v Woolwich plc and SoS for Social Security, 83; CA, 93, ECJ, 105  
Alawiye v Mahmood & National Westminster Bank, 117  
Al-Ameri v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 100; HL, 105  
Alamo Group (Europe) Ltd v Tucker, 98  
Alamo Housing Co-operative v Meredith, CA, 101  
Albany Home Loans v Massey, 61  
Albion Hotel (Freshwater) Ltd v Maia e Silva, 91  
Alboni v Ind Coope Retail, CA, 67  
Alcan Extrusions v Yates, 57  
Alcock v Wraith & others, 29  
Alexander & others v Standard Telephones & Cables Ltd (No. 2), 27  
Alexander v Rolls Royce Motor Cars Ltd, 50  
Alexandrou v Oxford, 18  
Alghile v Westminster CC, CA, 87  
Ali and Nessa v Newham LBC, CA, 87  
Ali v Christian Salvesen Food Services, 54  
Ali v Khan, CA, 96  
Ali v Southwark MBC, 9  
Ali-Tobaishi v Aung, 43  
Allan & others v Stirling DC, 44  
Allen v Redbridge LBC, 42  
Alliance & Leicester BS v Leicestershire CC, 38  
Alliance and Leicester v Slayford, CA, 83  
Allied Dunbar v Weisinger, 9  
Allonby v Accrington and Rossendale College, CA, 87  
ALM Medical Services Ltd v Bladon, CA, 95  
Alsop v Star Vehicle Contracts Ltd, 18  
Ambrose v Nottingham CC, 107  
Ammadio v Dalton, 28  
Amoah v Barking and Dagenham LBC, 88  
Amrit Holdings v Shahbakhti, 111  
Amsalem t/a MRE Building Contractors v Raivid & Raivid, 132  
Anderton v Clwyd County Council, CA, 93  
Andreou v Lord Chancellor's Department, CA, 95  
Andrews v Schooling, 28  
Andrews & Andrews v Cunningham, CA, 126  
Anglia TV v Cayton, 13  
Anglian Water v Wilson, 15  
Angus v Bryden, 29  
Aniagwu v (1) Hackney LBC (2) Owens, 74  
Annandale Engineering v Samson, 43  
Anthony v Anthony, 2  
Antoniades v Villiers & another, CA, 8; HL, 11

Anufrijeva v Southwark LBC; R (N) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (M) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 105  
Anya v University of Oxford, CA, 87  
Aon Training v Dore, CA, 114  
Aparau v Iceland Frozen Foods, 55  
Aparu v Iceland Frozen Foods (No.2), CA, 79  
Apelogun-Gabriels v Lambeth LBC, CA, 91  
Appleton v Aspin & others, 7  
Application by Badek, ECJ, 81  
Arbeiterwohlfahrt Der Stadt Berlin v Botel (ECJ), 34  
Archangel v Lambeth LBC, CA, 86  
Archibald v Fife Council, CS, 103; HL, 106  
Argyll Training v (1) Sinclair and (2) Argyll and the Islands Enterprise, 82  
Ariston v Charly Records, 19  
Ariyo v Sovereign Leasing, CA, 64  
Arogol Co v Rajah, CA, 88  
Arogundale v Brent LBC, CA, 88  
Arthur v Anker, 54  
Asda Stores Ltd v Thompson, 92  
Ashbroom Facilities v Bodley, 11  
Ashburn Anstalt v W J Arnold & Co & another, 7  
Ashe v National Westminster Bank, 123  
Ashley Guarantee plc v Zacaria, 41  
Ashley v Sutton LBC, 49  
Ashmore v British Coal Corporation, 21  
Ashraf v SoS for SS, CA, 78  
Aslan v Murphy (Nos 1 & 2), 14  
Associated British Ports v Palmer & others, CA, 38; HL, 50  
Associated Newspapers Ltd v Wilson, 35; CA, 38; HL, 50  
Astle v Cheshire CC, 108  
Astley v Celtec Ltd, 90; CA, 95  
Atlanta Trust Ltd v Domville, 40  
Attorney General v Wheen, 83  
Austin-Fell v Austin-Fell, 15  
AUT v University of Newcastle, 4  
Avis v Turner, CA, 125  
Avon CC v Buscott & others, 8  
Ayse Suzen v Zehnacker Gebandereinigung GmbH (ECJ), 61  
Azmi v Newham LBC, 84

## **B**

B v B (occupation order), CA, 74  
B v B, 45  
B v BAA, 114  
B v Secretary of State for Social Security, 49  
B v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 112  
Babbage v N Norfolk DC, 23  
Babcock Fata Ltd v Addison, 3  
Badger Hill Properties Ltd v Cottrell, 26  
Badhan v Singleton and Hill, 129

Bairstow Eves v Smith & Hill, 106  
Baker Refractories Ltd v Bishop, CA, 92  
Baker v Cornwall County Council, 20  
Baker v Maciver, 19  
Balamoody v United Kingdom Central Council for Nursing Midwifery and Health Visiting, CA, 92  
Baldwin v British Coal Corporation, 49  
Balfour Kilpatrick v Acheson, 101  
Balgobin & Francis v Tower Hamlets, 6  
Banco-Exterior v Mann, 48  
Banfield v Leeds Building Society, CA, 127  
Bank Mellat v Kazmi, 12  
Bank of Baroda v Dhillon (CA), 67  
Bank of Baroda v Rayarel & others, 49  
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA v Ali (No.3), CA, 93  
Bank of Credit and Commerce International v Ali, CA, 82; HL, 86  
Bank of Cyprus v Markou, 74  
Bank of Ireland v Robertson, 102  
Banks v Lavin, 17  
Bankway Properties v Dunsford, CA, 87  
Banque Bruxelles Lambert plc v Eagle Star & others, 43  
Barber (R on the application of) v SoS for Work & Pensions, 96  
Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group, ECJ, 20  
Barber v NWS Bank, 54  
Barber v RJB Mining (UK), 74  
Barber v Staffordshire CC, 55  
Barber v Thames Television plc, 26; CA, 33  
Barca v Mears (Trustee in bankruptcy), 107  
Barclays Bank v Kapur & others, 13  
Barclays Bank v Boulter, 62; HL 77  
Barclays Bank v Brooks, 32  
Barclays Bank v Buhr, CA, 89  
Barclays Bank v Caplan, 66  
Barclays Bank v Coleman, CA, 78  
Barclays Bank v Ellis, CA, 85  
Barclays Bank v Hendricks, 53, 54  
Barclays Bank v Kennedy & another, 12  
Barclays Bank v Khaira & another, 29  
Barclays Bank v O'Brien, CA 33; HL, 41  
Barclays Bank v Rivett, CA, 69  
Barclays Bank v Schwartz, 52  
Barclays Bank v Thomson, 60  
Bar-Gur v Squire & others, 41  
Barke v Seetec Business Technology Centre, CA, 112  
Barking & Dagenham LBC v Hyatt & Hyatt, 35  
Barking and Dagenham LBC v Oguko, 79  
Barlow v A J Whittle, 18  
Barnet LBC v Smith, 23  
Barnsley v Albon Engineering & Manufacturing, CA, 105  
Barrett v Lounova (1982) Ltd, 9

Barrett v Morgan, CA, 73  
Barrett v Southwark LBC, 132  
Bartholomew v Hackney LBC, CA, 74  
Barton v Investec Henderson Crossthwaite Securities Ltd, 99  
Barwick & another v Kent CC, 32  
Bassetlaw DC v Renshaw & others, 27  
Batchelor v British Railways, 4  
Bate v CAO CA, 48; HL, 56  
Batooneh v Asombang, 105  
Batsford Estates v Taylor, CA, 114  
Baxter & others v Limb Group of Companies, 47  
BBC Sports & Social Club v Morgan, 6  
BBC v Kelly-Phillips, 65; CA, 69  
BCCI v Ali, 74, 76  
Beart v HM Prison Service, CA, 98  
Beaton v Nationwide Anglia, 22  
Beazer Investments v Soares, CA, 107  
Beckman v Dynamo Whicheloe MacFarlane Ltd, ECJ, 95  
BECTU v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry, ECJ, 87  
Bedding & others v McCarthy, 47  
Beedell v West Ferry Printers, 81  
Beets-Proper v F Van Lanschot Bankiers NV (ECJ), 4  
Begum v Tower Hamlets LBC, CA, 78  
Begum v Tower Hamlets LBC, CA, 93; HL, 98  
Behzadi v Shaftsbury Hotels, 22  
Belfast CC v Donoghue & others, 39  
Bell v DHSS, 14  
Bell v Long, 130  
Bellouti v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 112  
Benham v Poole BC, 29  
Benham v United Kingdom, EctHR, 49; ECJ, 57  
Bennett v Copeland BC, CA, 108  
Bennett v Southwark LBC, CA, 93  
Bentley v SoS for Trade & Industry, 95  
Benton v Sanderson Keyser, 8, 12 (CA)  
Benveniste v University of Southampton, 14  
Benyon v Scadden, 77  
Beoco Ltd v Alfa Laval Co Ltd & another, 42  
Beresforde v Chesterfield BC, 15  
Berg & Busschers v Besselsen, ECJ, 16  
Berkley Leisure Group v Lee CA, 65  
Berkshire Capital Funding v Street, CA, 78  
Berkshire CC v Greenfield, 56  
Bernadone v Pall Mall Group, CA, 82  
Berrisford v Woodward Schools Ltd, 26  
Berryman v Hounslow LBC, 60  
Berwick Salmon Fisheries Ltd v Rutherford, 26  
Berwick v Lloyds TSB, 123  
Bessa Plus v Lancaster, CA, 69  
Betts v Brintel Helicopters & KLM ERA Helicopters (UK), 54; CA, 62

Bevan Ashford v Malin, 51  
Bevan v Johnston, 19  
Beveridge v KLM UK, 83  
Bhatt v Chelsea & Westminster Health Care Trust, 66  
Bhatti v Berkeley Court Investments Ltd & others, 26  
Bhikha v Leicester CC, CA, 83  
Bhudi & others v IMI Refiners Ltd, 44  
Bibby v Chief Constable of Essex, CA, 81  
Bibi v CAO, CA, 63  
Biggs v Somerset CC, 52, 55 (CA)  
Billson & others v Residential Apartments Ltd, 25 (CA), 30 (HL)  
Birds Eye Walls Ltd v Roberts, ECJ, 43  
Birmingham DC v McMahon & others, 5  
Black Horse v Langford, 123  
Black v Carmichael, 33  
Blackburn v Gridquest Ltd t/a Select Employment, 91; CA, 94  
Blackpool & Fylde College v National Association of Teachers in Further & Higher Education, 44  
Blackstock v Blackstock, 29  
Blake v Barking & Dagenham LBC, 61  
Blockbuster Entertainment v James, CA, 118  
Blockleys plc v Miller, 34  
Blunden v Frogmore Investments Ltd, CA, 95  
BNP Paribas v Mezzotero, 106  
Bold Transmission Parts Ltd v Taree, 92  
Boldack v East Lindsey DC, CA, 75  
Bolton Roadways v Edwards & others, 7  
Bond v Leicester CC, CA, 89  
Bonner v Gilbert Ltd, 17  
Boorman v Allmakes, 51  
Boot v Boot, 56  
Booth v USA, 72  
Boots Co plc v Lees-Collier, 2  
Boscawen & others v Bajwa & others, 50  
Bossa v Nordstress, 69  
Bostock v Bryant, 23  
Bostock v Tacher de la Pagerie, 3  
Bosworth v Wolverhampton CCRO, 25  
Botham v MOD, HL, 116  
Botu v Brent LBC, CA, 81  
Bowden v Tuffnells Parcels Express, 81  
Bowerman v ABTA, 54  
Bowes v J Richardson & Son, 105  
Boyden v Watson, 112  
Boyle v Verrall, 58  
Boyo v London Borough of Lambeth, 48  
Boys & Girls Welfare Society v McDonald, 55  
Boyter v Thomson, 51  
BP Chemicals Ltd v (1) Gillick (2) Roevin Management Services Ltd, 49  
Brackley v Notting Hill Housing Trust and Brackley, CA, 87

Bracknell Forest BC v Green, CA, 134  
Bradford & Bingley Building Society v Manchanda, CA, 97  
Bradford & Bingley v Cutler, CA, 128  
Bradford & Bingley v Rashid, CA, 113; HL, 117  
Bradford & Bingley v Ross, CA, 112  
Bradford Council v Arora, 16  
Bradford Hospitals NHS Trust v Al-Shahib, 96  
Bradley & others v NALGO, 25  
Bradley v London Fire & Civil Defence Authority, 48  
Bradney v Birmingham CC, CA, 104  
Braintree DC v Thompson, CA, 110  
Bramhill v Edwards t/a Destination RV, CA, 104  
Branchett v Beaney & others, 32  
Brasserie Du Pecheur SA v Federal Republic of Germany, ECJ, 56  
Bratko v Beloit Walmsley, 54  
Bratton v Croydon LBC, CA, 95  
Brennan v Lambeth LBC, CA, 68  
Brent LBC v Charles, CA, 69  
Brent LBC v Cronin, CA, 69  
Brent LBC v Knightly, 61  
Brent LBC v Marks, CA, 73  
Brent LBC v Murphy, 51  
Brent LBC v Muse, CA, 132  
Brent LBC v Sharma & Vyas, 40  
Brentwood Bros (Manchester) Ltd v Shepherd, CA, 99  
Brewer v Andrews, 62  
Brewer v Best Travel, 43  
Brigden v American Express Bank, 79  
Briggs v Oates, 23  
Brinnand v Ewens, 5  
Briscoe v Lubrizol Ltd, CA, 94  
Bristol & West BS v Ellis, 56, 57  
Bristol & West Building Society v Turner & others, 28  
Bristol & West plc v Bartlett, CA, 94  
Bristol CC v Glastonbury, CA, 117  
Bristol CC v Hassan, CA, 117  
Bristol CC v Lovell, CA, 58; HL, 67  
Bristol CC v Monah (CA), 65  
Bristol Churches Housing Association v Ward, 54  
Britannia Building Society v Earl & others, 22  
Britannia Building Society v Pugh, 58  
British & Commonwealth Group plc v Quadrex Holdings Inc, 12  
British Aerospace v Green, 51  
British Airways (Gatwick) v Moore and Botterill, 80  
British Coal Corp v Cheesbrough, 19  
British Coal Corporation v Keeble, 63  
British Fuels v Meade & Baxendale, HL, 71  
British Gas plc v McCarrick, 27  
British Gas plc v Sharma, 23  
British Newspaper Printing Ltd v Kelly & others, 14

British Nursing Association v Inland Revenue, 89; CA, 93  
British Railways Board v Paul, 8  
British Road Services v Loughran, 61  
British Sugar v Kirker, 71  
British Telecom plc v Roberts & Longstaffe, 60  
British Telecom plc v Sheridan, 18  
British Telecom plc v Tilehurst, 31  
British Waterways Board v Norman, 42  
Britool Ltd v Roberts & others, 41  
Brittain v Haghigat, 132  
Broaders v Kalkare Property Ltd, 23  
Broadley v Guy Chapman, 40  
Broadwick Financial Services v Spencer, CA, 91  
Bromley & others v H & J Quick Ltd, 7; CA, 9  
Bromley Park Gardens Estates Ltd v George, 27  
Brook & Arden v Woodcock & Woodcock, 16  
Brook Lane Finance Co Ltd v Bradley, 10  
Brook v Haringey LBC, 35  
Brooks & others v British Telecom plc, 24; CA, 31  
Brown v Gavin Scott, 8  
Brown v JBD Engineering Ltd, 42  
Brown v Rentokill, 50; ECJ, 70  
Brown v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 121  
Brown v Stockton BC, CA, 5; HL, 10  
Bruce & others v Wiggins Teape (Stationery) Ltd, 47  
Bruce v Worthing BC, 44  
Brumfitt v Ministry of Defence, 108  
Bruno v BBC, 13  
Bruton v London & Quadrant Housing Trust, CA, 64; HL, 76  
Bryant v Portsmouth CC, CA, 83  
BSG Property Services v Tuck, 55  
BTE Ltd v Merseyside & Cheshire Rent Assessment Committee & another, 33  
Buchan & Ivey v Secretary of State, 61  
Buchanan-Smith v Schlekker & Co, 59  
Bucks CC v Moran, 13  
Buggs (Josephine) v Buggs (David Maurice), 103  
Bullock v Alice Ottley School, 27; CA, 36  
Bunce v Postworth Ltd t/a Skyblue, CA, 112  
Burgoyne v Griffiths & another, 26  
Burke v Burke, 3  
Burrett v Agnew & London Regional Transport, 43  
Burrows & another v Sharp, 20  
Burrows v Brent LBC, CA, 52; HL, 60  
Burton & Rhule v De Vere Hotels, 59  
Burton v Camden LBC, CA, 67; HL, 81  
Burton v Higham t/a Ace Appointments, 98  
Burton v New Forest DC, CA, 109  
Busch v Klinikum Neustadt GmbH & Co, Betriebs-KG, ECJ, 101  
Business Applic. Spec. v Nationwide Credit Corp v Marn Garage (third party), 13  
Buss Leisure Ltd v Thomas, 44

Butuyuyu v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, 65  
Buxton v Equinox Design, 73  
Byford v Butler (Trustee in Bankruptcy), 104  
Byrne & others v Financial Times, 29  
Byrne Brothers (Formwork) Ltd v Baird, 91  
Byrne v BOC Ltd, 36

## C

C & G Homes Ltd v Secretary of State for Health, 23  
C (a minor) v Hackney LBC, 54  
Cabaj v Westminster City Council, 47  
Cadogan Estates v McMahon, HL, 86  
Calder & Ciazakowsky v Rowntree Mackintosh Confectionary Ltd, 32  
Calder v James Finlay Corp Ltd, 13  
Caledonia Bureau Investment & Property v Caffrey, 67  
Caledonian Mining Co Ltd v Bassett, 5  
Callahan v Glasgow City Council, 89  
Cambridge & District Co-operative Society Ltd v Rouse, 38  
Camden & Islington Community Services NHS Trust v Kennedy, 57  
Camden LBC v Akanni, CA, 69  
Camden LBC v Alexandrou, CA, 69  
Camden LBC v Gilsean, CA, 70  
Camden LBC v Goldenberg & another, 56  
Camden LBC v Gumby, 77  
Camden LBC v Hobson, 30  
Camden LBC v Mallett, CA, 90  
Camden LBC v Marshall, 58  
Camden LBC v Oppong, 56  
Camden LBC v Shortlife Community Housing Ltd & others, 32  
Campbell and Smith Construction Group v Greenwood, 88  
Campbell v Dunoon & Cowal Housing Association, 41  
Campbell v S Northamptonshire DC, CA, 105  
Campbell v (1) South Northamptonshire DC (2) Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 111  
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v Bello, 33  
Cannon v Barnsley MBC, 33  
Cantor Fitzgerald (UK) Ltd v Wallace, 28  
Cantor Fitzgerald International v Bird, 96  
Cantor Index Ltd v Thomson, 130  
CAO & Secretary of State for Social Security v Ahmed & others, 45  
CAO & Secretary of State for Social Security v Dommett (R(IS)6/93), 44  
CAO & Secretary of State v Foster, CA, 25; HL, 37  
CAO v Askew, CA, 68  
CAO v Bath, CA, 77  
CAO v Brunt (R(U)9/88), CA, 5; HL, 14  
CAO v Clarke & Faul, 49  
CAO v Coombe, 65  
CAO v Creighton, NICA, 78  
CAO v Eggleton & others, 50  
CAO v Kenyon, 53

CAO v Maguire, CA, 74  
CAO v Palfrey & others, 49  
CAO v Patterson, CA, 63  
CAO v Pearse (R(P)2/93), 33  
CAO v Quinn , 59  
CAO v Sheriff (CIS/545/92), 52  
CAO v Stafford, CA, 77  
CAO v Uprichard, NICA, 79  
CAO v Webber, CA, 63  
Caparo v Dickman, 18  
Capello v Sos for Work and Pensions, CS, 107  
Capital Foods Retail Ltd v Corrigan, 40  
Capital v Hampshire CC, 56  
Car Crash Line Ltd v Branton Edwards (a firm), 94  
Cardiff CC v Stone, CA, 94  
Cardiff Women's Aid v Hartup, 46  
Cardigan Properties Ltd v Consolidated Property Investments Ltd, 25  
Cardwell v Walker, 105  
Carmichael v National Power, CA, 69; HL, 78  
Carphone Warehouse v Malekout, CA, 121  
Carson (1) Reynolds (2) v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 100  
Carson v United Kingdom, ECJ, 132  
Cartlidge v CAO (R(U)5/87), 4  
Caruana v Manchester Airport, 57  
Carver v Saudi Arabian Airlines, CA, 75  
Castle Court Investment Co (Southampton) Ltd v Southern Rent Assessment Panel,  
46  
Castle Phillips v Wilkinson, 39  
Caswell v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 129  
Catamaran Cruisers Ltd v Williams & others, 46  
Catherall v Michelin Tyre plc, 97  
Catherine Haigh Harlequin Hair v Seed, 20  
Cato v Queen Charlotte's Special Health Authority, 5  
Caulfield v Marshalls Clay Products; Clarke v Frank Staddon, CA, 105  
Cedar Holdings v Aguinaldo, 34  
Cedar Holdings v Thompson, 32  
Celtec Ltd v Astley, 90; CA, 95  
Central Sunderland Housing Company v Janet Wilson, CA, 96  
Central YMCA Housing Association v Saunders, 24  
Century (UK) v (1) G Clibbery (2) M Clibbery, 109  
Cerberus Software v Rowley, 77; CA, 85  
CGB Publishing v Killey, 42  
Chamberlaine v Scally, 34  
Chamberlin v Emokpae, 106, CA, 110  
Charlton & Charlton v (1) Charlton Thermosystems (Romsey) Ltd (2) Ellis & others,  
49  
Charlton v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 133  
Chartered Trust v Pitcher, 10  
Chatterton v CAO, 41  
Chaudhry v Prabhakar, 14

Cheese v Thomas, 40  
Cheesman (1) Onyx UK (2) v R Brewer Contracts, 84  
Chelsea Yacht and Boat Co v Pope, CA, 84  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Booker, 60  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Grant, 44  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Grattidge, 38  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Johnson, 57  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Krausz, 60  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Norgan, 53  
Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Obi, CA, 65  
Cheniston Investments v Waddock, 12  
Chessington World of Adventures v Reed, 65  
Chesters Accommodation Agency v Abebrese, CA, 65  
Cheval Bridging Finance Ltd v Bhasin & another, CA, 134  
Chief Constable of the Lincolnshire Police v Stubbs, 73  
Chief Constable of the Thames Valley Police v Kellaway, 79  
Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police v Vento (No.2), 91  
Child Poverty Action Group R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 133  
Chiltern House Ltd v Chambers & others, 19  
Chimwe Gil v Baygreen Properties Ltd, CA, 96  
Chisholm & others v Kirklees MBC & another, 39  
Chrisdell Ltd v Tickner, 5  
Christie v John E Haith, 101  
Christmas v Hampshire CC, 52  
Church Commissioners for England v El-Emerah, 57  
Church v W Lancashire NHS Trust, 66  
CIBC Mortgages v Hattle, 41  
CIBC Mortgages v Pitt, CA, 38; HL, 41  
Cinnamon Ltd v Morgan, CA, 94  
Circle 33 Housing Trust v Ellis, 113; CA, 115  
Citibank Trust Ltd v Ayivor, 3  
City of Bradford Metropolitan Council v McMahon, 38  
City of London Building Society v Flegg, 4  
City of Westminster v Peart, 34  
Clark & Tokeley t/a Spellbrook v Oakes, 65; CA, 72  
Clark v Chief Land Registrar, 35, 41  
Clark v Oxfordshire Health Authority (CA), 67  
Clark v TDG t/a Novacold, 71; 74 (CA)  
Clarke v CAA, 28  
Clarke v CAO, ECJ, 5  
Clarke v Coutts & Co, CA, 94  
Clarke v Hampshire Electro-Plating Ltd, 30  
Clarke v SoS for the Environment, Transport & the Regions and Tunbridge Wells BC, 97  
Clarke v Trimoco Group Ltd, 38  
Claughton v Charalambous, 81  
Clayton v Oldham Athletic, 49  
Clayton v Vigers, 16  
Clegg v Olle Andersson t/a Nordic Marine, CA, 98

Clements v Lambeth LBC, 86  
Cleveland Ambulance NHS Trust v Blane, 63  
Clifford v Devon County Council, 48  
Clifford v Union of Democratic Mineworkers, 27  
Close Asset Finance v Care Graphics Machinery, 81  
Clutton v Clutton, 24  
Clymo v Wandsworth LBC, 15  
Coales v John Wood & Co, 2  
Coban v Allen, 59  
Cobb v Secretary of State for Employment & MSC, 18  
Cobley v Forward Technology Industries, CA, 101  
Cockburn v CAO, CA, 58; HL, 62  
Codona v Mid-berkshire DC, CA, 109  
Cohen v TSB Bank, 91  
Cold Drawn Tubes Ltd v Middleton, 32  
Colen v Cebrian (UK), CA, 103  
College of Ripon and York St John v Hobbs, 91  
Colley v Corkindale, 54  
Collier v P & M J Wright (Holdings) Ltd, CA, 127  
Collins v Howard de Walden Estates, CA, 103  
Collins v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 116, CA  
Coloroll Pension Trustees Ltd v Russell & others (ECJ), 47  
Coltrane v Day, CA, 99  
Commerzbank v Price-Jones, CA, 102  
Commission of EC v Belgium, ECJ, 30  
Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland, 45  
Commissioners of Customs & Excise v Carrier, 49  
Commissioners of Customs & Excise v National Westminster Bank, 96  
Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Ainsworth, CA, 110  
Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Morgan, 95  
Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Post Office Ltd, 98  
Commissioners of Inland Revenue v St Hermans Estate Co, 95  
Commotion v Ruddy, 115  
Conlin v United Dairies, 44  
Connel Estate Agents v Begej, 38  
Conoco UK v Neal, 12  
Conroy v Kenny, CA, 73  
Consignia plc v Sealy, CA, 95  
Continental Property Ventures v White, 122  
Conville v Richmond upon Thames LBC, 113, CA, 120  
Cook v Norlands Ltd, PC, 94  
Cooke v Glenrose Fish Co, 107  
Coote v Granada Hospitality, 76  
Copping v Surrey CC, CA, 115  
Copsey v WWB Devon Clays, 113  
Cork v Rawlins, CA, 85  
Cornwall County Council v Prater, CA, 116  
Cornwell v UK and Leary v UK, EctHR, 80  
Cosgrove v Caesar and Howie, 89

Costello v Costello, 44  
Cotswold Developments Construction v Williams, 115  
Cottingham & Geary v CAO & Secretary of State for Social Security (R(P)1/93), 36  
Coulter v Chief of Dorset Police, 113  
Council of the Isles of Scilly v (1) Brintel Helicopters Ltd (2) Ellis & others, 48  
Courage Take Home Trades v Keys, 1  
Courtaulds v Sibson, 9  
Cousins v Metropolitan Guarantee Ltd, 17  
Coventry City v Cole & others, 41  
Cowan v Chief Constable for Avon and Somerset Constabulary, CA, 95  
Cowley v Manson Timber Ltd, 49  
Cox v London Borough of Camden, 57  
Coxall v Goodyear Great Britain Ltd, CA, 95  
CPL Distribution Ltd v Todd, CA, 96  
Crago v Julian, 30  
Cramp v Hastings BC; Phillips v Camden LBC, CA, 113  
Crane v Kynoch, 44  
Cranfield v Bridegrove, CA, 101  
Crantrave v Lloyds Bank, CA, 80; 87  
Crawford v (1) Secretary of State for Employment (2) Colmore Depot, 53  
Crawley BC v B, CA, 81  
Crawley BC v Sawyer, 7  
Creasy v Breachwood Motors Ltd, 35  
Credit Lyonnais Bank v Burch, 59  
Credit Suisse v Waltham Forest LBC, 57  
Crees v Royal London Mutual Insurance, 61; CA, 67  
Croft v Consignia plc, 96  
Croft v Royal Mail Group, CA, 101  
Crofts v Veta, HL, 116  
Crompton v Broomfield, 23  
Crook & others v H Faiman Ltd, 18  
Cross v British Airways, 111  
Crossley v Crossley, CA, 115  
Crossley v Westminster CC, CA, 117  
Crosville Wales v Tracey (No.2), 56  
Crosville Wales v Tracey (No.2), CA, 56; HL, 66  
Crown Suppliers (PSA) v Dawkins, 27  
Croydon LBC v Buston & Triance, 31  
Crozier v Crozier, 43  
Cruikshank v VAW Motorcast Ltd, 90  
Curr v Marks & Spencer, CA, 97  
Curran v N Ireland Co-ownership Housing Association Ltd, 4  
Curtis v London RAC, 55; CA, 67  
Cutter v Eagle Star, 60; HL, 72

## **D**

D O Ferguson v Sohl, 36  
D'Souza v Lambeth LBC, HL, 100  
Dacas v Brook Street Bureau (UK) Ltd, 98, CA, 104  
Dacorum BC v El-Kalyoubi, 94

Daejan Properties Ltd v Mahoney, 49  
Daley, James, Wiseman and Reynolds v Mahmood and Rahman, 114  
Dame Margaret Hungerford Charity Trustees v Beazley, 39  
Damton v University of Surrey, 97  
Dance & another v Welwyn Hatfield DC, 19  
Darlington BC v Sterling, CA, 66  
Darr & another v LRC Products Ltd, 39  
Dattani v Chief Constable of West Mercia Police, 110  
Davidson v Air Tours, 51  
Davidson v City Electrical Factors, 67  
Davidson v Davidson, 33  
Davies & others v Hotpoint Ltd, 47  
Davies v Manchester City Justices, 10  
Davies v McCartneys, 16  
Davies v MJ Wyatt (Decorators), 84  
Davies v Yadegar, 23  
Dawncar Investments Ltd v Plews, 39  
De Grasse v Stockwell Tools Ltd, 30  
De Keyser v Wilson, 87  
Deadman v Southwark LBC, CA, 83  
Dean v Ainley, 6  
Dean v Upton, 20  
Dear v Newham LBC, 4  
Dekker v Stichting VJV Centrum Plus, ECJ) 24  
Delaney v Staples, 18; CA, 24; HL, 31  
Demetri v Westminster CC, CA, 78  
Denard v Burton Retail, 65  
Denard v Smith, 22  
Denco Ltd v Joinson, 25  
Denetower Ltd v Toop & others, 26  
Dennehy v Sealink UK Ltd, 3  
Dent v Dent, 58  
Denton v Southwark LBC, CA, 124  
Department for Work & Pensions v Webley, CA, 110  
Department for Work and Pensions v Richards, CA, 111  
Derby v Scottish Equitable, CA, 87  
Derbyshire CC v Vincent, 20  
Derbyshire Home Loans v Keaney, 124  
Derry BAA Ltd v Castro-Blanca, 2  
Derwent Coachworks v Kirby, 48  
Desnousse v (1) Newham LBC (2) Paddington Churches (3) Veni Properties, CA, 120  
Devine v Designer Flowers Wholesale Florists Sundries Ltd, 42  
Devlin v Hall, 21  
Dharni v Dhami, 13  
Dhatt v Macdonalds Hamburgers Ltd, 24  
Diab v Countrywide Rentals, 92  
Dibbs v Campbell, 8  
Dibro Ltd v Hore & others, 19  
Dick & another v Glasgow University, 42

Dickinson v Enfield LBC, 58  
Dickinson v Growth Hair Clinic, 42  
Dickinson v Jones Alexander, 16  
Dietman v Brent LBC, 5  
Digital Equipment Co v Clements, 58, 60; CA, 67  
Dignity Funeral v Bruce, CS, 109  
Dillenkofer v Federal Republic of Germany, ECJ, 59  
Dimond v Lovell, CA, 74; HL 80  
Dines & others v (1) Initial Health Care Services (2) Pall Mall Services Group Ltd, 40; CA, 45  
Director General of Fair Trading v Diwan, CA, 71  
Director General of Fair Trading v First National Bank, 76; CA, 79; HL, 89  
Discount Tobacco & Confectionary Ltd v Williamson, 39  
Discount Tobacco v Armitage, 18  
Dispatch Management Services (UK) Ltd v Douglas, 93  
Dixon v Rees, 41  
DJM International v Nicholas, 56  
Dobson v General Accident, 15  
Dodd v British Telecom plc, 8  
Doe v Skegg, 128  
Doe v Thomas Bates & Son, 22  
Doncaster BC v Green & others, 30  
Doncaster MBC v Lockwood, 3  
Donnelly v Kelvin International Services, 36  
Donoghue v Poplar Housing and Regeneration Community Association, CA, 87  
Doodes v Gotham, 114  
Dooley v Leyland Vehicles, 4  
Doorbar v Alltime Securities, 54  
Doshoki v Draeger Ltd, 92  
Doughty v Rolls Royce, 31  
Dowuona v John Lewis, 6  
Dr Sophie Redmond Stichting v Bartol & others, ECJ, 34  
Drage v Governors of Greenford Hight School, CA, 80  
Drew Morgan v Hamid Zadeh, CA, 76  
Drinkwater Sabey Ltd v (1) Burnett (2) Kent County Council, 50  
Driskel v Peninsular Services, 79  
Dryden v Greater Glasgow Health Board, 35  
D'Souza v London Borough of Lambeth, 66  
DSS v Butler, 53  
Dubai Aluminium Co Ltd v Salaam, HL, 100  
Dudley Bower Building Services Ltd v Lowe, 98  
Dudley MBC v Bailey, 20  
Dudley MBC v Debenhams plc, 47  
Duffy v Newcastle United FC, 81  
Duffy v Yeomans & Partners Ltd, 40, 48 (CA)  
Duggan v CAO (R(SB)13/89), 18  
Dugmore v Swansea NHS Trust, CA, 97  
Duke of Westminster & others v Birrane, 49  
Duke v Reliance Systems Ltd, 3  
Dunbar Bank v Nadeem, 60; CA, 69

Duncan Web Offset (Maidstone) v Cooper, 54  
Dundee Plant Co Ltd v Riddler, 13  
Dundon v GPT, 52  
Dunham v Ashford Windows, 112  
Dunn v Bradford MBC, CA, 96  
Dunnachie v Kingston upon Hull Council, 99, CA, 104; HL, 105  
Dunsire v Dunsire, 27  
Durant v Financial Services Authority, CA, 102  
D'Urso v Ercole Marelli Elettromeccanica General SpA (ECJ), 32  
Duthie v Thompson Holidays, 10

## **E**

E (a minor) v Dorset CC, 52  
E Berkshire Health Authority v Matadeen, 34  
E v C, 54  
Eagland v British Telecommunications plc, 34  
Ealing LBC v Surdonja, CA, 80; HL, 91  
East Berkshire Health Authority v Matadeen, 33  
Eastwood v Magnox Electric, CA, 93  
easyCar (UK) v Office of Fair Trading, ECJ, 109  
Eaton Ltd v King & others, 49  
Eaton v NORWEB, 17  
Ebony of Mayfair v Liburd, 20  
Eclipse Blinds Ltd v Wright, 32  
ECM (Vehicles Delivery Service) v Cox, 69; CA, 76  
ED&F Man Liquid products v Patel, CA, 101  
Edmonds v Edmonds, 24  
Edmund Murray Ltd v BSP International, 33  
Edmund Nuttall v Butterfield, 113  
Edwards v Governors of Hanson School, 89  
Edwards v Surrey Police, 76  
EFTA Surveillance Authority v Kingdom of Norway, EFTACJ, 98  
Ekinci v Hackney LBC, 85; CA, 88  
Ekpe v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, 89  
Ekwuru v Westminster CC, CA, 103  
El Adjou v Stern & others, 121  
Eley v King & Chasemore, 14  
Elitestone Ltd v Morris, HL, 63  
Elizabeth Claire Care Management v Francis, 114  
Elizabeth Robson v Kyle & Carrick DC, 43  
Elkouil v Coney Island Ltd, 91  
Elliot v Richard Stump Ltd, 5  
Ellis v CAO, 62  
Ellis v Greenwich LBC, 51  
Ellis v Lambeth LBC, CA, 76  
Elsner-Lakeberg v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen, ECJ, 109  
Elvidge v Coventry CC, 40  
Ely v YKK Fasteners (UK) Ltd, 41  
EMI Group Electronics v Coldicott, CA, 77  
Emmott v Minister for Social Welfare & Attorney General (ECJ), 30

Encon Insulation v Nottingham CC, 86  
Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority & Secretary of State for Health, 25; CA, 30;  
ECJ, 42; CA, 80  
Enfield LBC v Devonish & Sutton, 60  
English Churches Housing Group v Avrom Shine, CA, 108  
English v Emery Reimbold & Strick, CA, 101  
English v UNISON, 81  
Ephraim v Newham LBC, 37  
Epping Forest DC v Pomphrett & another, 22  
Equity & Law Home Loans Ltd v Prestidge & Brown, 31  
Eren v Haringey LBC, CA, 124  
Esfandiari v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 116, CA  
Essa v Laing Ltd, 99; CA, 104  
Estate Acquisition and Development v Wiltshire, CA, 118  
Etherson v Strathclyde Region Council, 34  
Euro Brokers Ltd v Rabey, 50  
Evans & others v Secretary of State for Social Services, 41  
Evans v Clayhope Properties Ltd, 3  
Evans v Malley Organisation Ltd t/a First Business Support, CA, 97  
Evans v South Ribble BC, 27  
Evesham v North Hertfordshire Health Authority and Secretary of State for Health,  
73; CA, 80  
EWP Ltd v Moore, 28  
Ex parte Bold, 57  
Ex parte Scarth, CA, 76  
Executors of Evans v Metropolitan Police Authority, 37  
Express & Echo Publications v Tanton, CA, 75  
Eyre & others v Hall & another, 4  
Eyre v McCracken, CA, 91  
Ezekiel v Orakpo, 47; CA, 59

## **F**

F v Birmingham CC, CA, 122  
F C Shepherd & Co Ltd v Jerrom, 1  
Faircharm Investments v Citibank, CA, 67  
Fairfield Ltd v Skinner, 37  
Falco Finance v Gough, 73  
Falconer v ASLEF & NUR, 2  
Falkirk Council v Whyte, 65  
Fall v Lothian Community Relations Council, 4  
Family Housing Association v Jones, 16  
Farley v Skinner, CA, 82  
Farrell Matthews & Weir v Hanson, 109  
Farrington (R on the application of) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA,  
105  
Faulkner v Yorkshire Electricity, 50  
Fawaz v Aylward, 58  
FDR v Holloway, 52  
Fentiman v Fluid Engineering Products Ltd, 27  
Ferguson v British Gas, CA, 133

Ferguson v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 101  
Ferodo Ltd v Bradbury, 20  
Field v Leeds CC, CA, 79  
Fielding v Rigby, 40, 42  
Fifield Investments v Mousari, 104  
Filemart Ltd v Avery & another, 13  
Financial Times Ltd v Byrne & others, 32  
Finnegan v Clowney Youth Training Programme Ltd, 21  
First Interstate Bank of California v Cohen Arnold & Co, 54  
First National Bank v Achampong, CA, 100  
First National Bank v Colman, 36  
First National Bank v Syed, 23, 26  
First National Bank v Thompson, 52  
First Response Finance v Donnelly, 122  
First Sport v Barclays Bank, 38  
Fisher v California Cake & Cookie, 62  
Fitch v Official Receiver, 54  
Fitzgerald v University of Kent, CA, 104  
Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association, CA, 64  
Flack & others v Kodak Ltd, 1  
Fleming v Secretary of State for Trade & Industry, 66  
Flemming v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 93  
Fletcher v Blackpool, Fylde and Wyre Hospitals NHS Trust, 113  
Fletcher v Midland Bank, HL, 68; ECJ, 80  
Flett v Matheson, 111; CA, 116  
Folsa Services (UK) v Birkett, 57  
Forbes v Lambeth LBC, 101  
Ford (R on the application of) v Board of Inland Revenue, 112  
Ford v Burnley Borough Council, 50  
Forebury Estates v Chiltern Thames and Eastern Rent Assessment Panel, 84  
Forey v London Buses Ltd, 24  
Forshaw v Archcraft, 112  
Forster v Cartwright Black, 107  
Forward Trust v Whymark, 13; CA, 15  
Forward v W Sussex CC, 51  
Foster v S Glamorgan Health Authority, 10  
Foster Wheeler Ltd v Jackson, 22  
Four Seasons Health Care v Maughan, 110  
Frames Snooker Centre v Boyce, 35  
Francis v Brown, CA, 65  
Francis v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 99  
Francis v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 114  
Francisco Hernandez Vidal SA v Gomez Perez, ECJ, 73  
Frank Cowl v Plymouth CC, CA, 93  
Franklin v CAO, 56  
Frankovich v Italian Republic, ECJ, 31  
Franks v Reuters Ltd, CA, 99  
Fraser v HLMAD Ltd, CA, 118; 119  
Freeman v Sovereign Chicken Ltd, 28  
Fremptomah v Haringey LBC, 119

Fry v First National Leasing, 85  
Fu v London Borough of Camden, 86  
Fuller v Lloyds Bank, 28  
Fullers v Judy Properties Ltd, 30  
Fytche v Wincanton Logistics, HL, 107

## **G**

G E Capital Bank v Rushton and Jenking, CA, 114  
G v F, 83  
G v G, CA, 86  
G v Harrow LBC, 106  
G v J, 39  
Gadhok v CAO, 2  
Gale v Northern General Hospital NHS Trust, 45  
Gallagher v Alpha Catering Services, CA, 109  
Gallagher v Castle Vale Action Trust Ltd, CA, 93  
Garcia v Garcia, 33  
Gardner v Marsh & Parsons, 60  
Gateway Hotels Ltd v Stewart & others, 11  
Gavas v William Hill Ltd, 20  
Gay v Sheeran and Enfield LBC, CA, 77  
Gee v Shell UK Ltd, CA, 97  
Gent & others v De La Mare, 7  
Gentles v Santa Fe Offshore Construction Co, 23  
Gerster v Freistaat Bayern, ECJ, 66  
Ghaidon v Mendoza, HL, 108  
Giambrone v Sunworld Holidays (No.2), CA, 106  
Gibson v East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 73  
Gill v Quinn, 108  
Gillespie v Northern Health & Social Services Board, 55  
Gillick v BP Chemicals Ltd, 40  
Gillingham BC v Medway (Chatham) Dock Ltd & others, 30  
Giraud UK v Smith, 83  
Glendale Managed Services v Graham, CA, 101  
Glenister v Rowe, CA, 74  
Glennie v Independent Magazines, CA, 77  
Global Financial Recoveries v Jones, 79  
Gloucestershire Housing Association v Phelps, 102  
Gloystarne v Martin, 86  
GMB v Man Truck and Bus (UK), 83  
GMB v Messrs Rankin & Harrison (Receivers of Lawtex plc), 36  
Godsmark v Greenwich LBC, 108  
Godwin v Rosendale BC, CA, 95  
Gogay v Hertfordshire CC, CA, 84  
Goker v NWS Bank plc, 20  
Gomba Holdings (UK) Ltd v Minorities Finance Ltd, 31  
Good & another v Epping Forest DC, 42  
Good Challenger Navegante v Metalexportimport, CA, 102  
Goode Durrant Administration v Biddulph, 51  
Goodger v Ealing LBC, CA, 95

Goodwill Inc. Ltd v Ferrier, 17  
Goodwin v Cabletel UK, 66  
Goodwin v The Patent Office, 72  
Goodwin v United Kingdom, ECJ, 95  
Gotham v Doodles, CA, 118  
Gothard v Mirror Group Ltd, 10  
Governing Body of Rugby School v Edwards, 108  
Governing Body of the Northern Ireland Hotel & Catering College & North Eastern Education & Library Board v NATFE, 49  
Governors of the Peabody Donation Fund v Ham, 3  
Grady v HM Prison Service, CA, 101  
Gran Gelato Ltd v Richcliffe, 31  
Grangewood Securities v Ellis, 83  
Granleese v DHSS, 10  
Graves v Graves, CA, 127  
Gray v Taylor, CA, 69  
Greaves v Kwik Save Stores, CA, 67  
Green v Roberts, 36  
Greenaway Harrison Ltd v Wiles, 46  
Greenwich LBC v Dell, 54  
Greenwich LBC v Discreet Selling Estates Ltd, 24  
Greenwich LBC v Hughes & another, 42  
Greenwich LBC v Powell & another, 8 (CA), 12 (HL)  
Greenwich LBC v Regan, 55  
Greenwood v Miller, 8  
Greg May Ltd v Dring, 17  
Gregory v Turner, CA, 98  
Greyhound Bank plc v Coad, 43  
Gridquest Ltd t/a Select Employment v Blackburn, 91; CA, 94  
Griffin & others v South West Water Services Ltd, 48  
Griffin v London Pension Fund Authority, 38  
Griffin v Wakefield MBC, CA, 116  
Griffin v Westminster CC, CA, 106  
Griffiths v Renfree, 13  
Griffiths v St Helens MBC, 109, 112; CA, 118  
Grimaldi v Fond des Maladies Professionnelles (ECJ), 22  
Grimmer v KLM Cityhopper, 112  
Grogan v Greenwich LBC, CA, 81  
Grosvenor Estate Belgravia v Cochran, 30  
Group 4 Nightspeed v Gilbert, 63  
Guest Health plc v Mirza, 20  
Guest v Manchester CC, 23  
Guinan v Enfield LBC, CA, 67  
Guinness Ltd v Green, 15  
Gurton v Parrott & another, 26  
Gutzmore v J Wardley Holdings Ltd, 39

## **H**

H v M, 30  
Habib Bank v Tufail, CA, 119

Habinteg Housing Association v James, 49  
Hackney LBC v Adams, 101  
Hackney LBC v Ekinici, 85; CA, 88  
Hackney LBC v Lambourne & another, 36  
Hackney LBC v Mott & Fairman, 45  
Hackney LBC v Mullen, 59  
Hackney LBC v Papinder Sareen, CA, 99  
Hackney LBC v Porter, 59  
Hackney LBC v White, 51  
Haddon v Van Den Bergh Foods, 77  
Hadjiconstantinou v Charalambous & another, 42  
Hadjiloucas v Crean, 6  
Hagen v ICI Chemicals Ltd, 90  
Haiseldon v P&O Properties, CA, 71  
Halabi v Camden LBC, 127  
Halford v UK, ECJ, 64  
Halifax BS v Barrett, 54  
Halifax BS v Edell & others, 34  
Halifax BS v Stansfield, 40  
Halifax BS v Taffs, CA, 81  
Halifax BS v Thomas & CPS, 52  
Halifax Mortgage Services v Stepsky, 54  
Halifax plc v Okin, CA, 123  
Halifax plc v Salt and Bell, 127  
Hall (Inspector of Taxes) v Lorimer, 44  
Hall v Howard, 10  
Hall v Rover Financial Services Ltd, CA, 96  
Halonen v Westminster CC, 65  
Hamblet v Walkers Snack Foods, 107  
Hamilton v Futura Floors Ltd, 23  
Hamilton v Hertfordshire CC, 103  
Hamlin v Edwin Evans, 57  
Hammell v Kensington & Chelsea LBC, 11  
Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Alexander-David, CA, 134  
Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Hill, 44  
Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Jastrzebski, 90  
Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Monk, CA, 23; HL, 30  
Hammersmith and Fulham LBC ex parte Creska (No.2), 84  
Hammersmith and Fulham LBC v Farnsworth, 83  
Hammersmith and Fulham LBC v Lemeh, CA, 83  
Hammond v Secretary of State for Environment & another, 9  
Hampson v Department of Education & Science, 12  
Hampson v Des, 21  
Handels-og on behalf of Larsson v Dank Handel & Service, ECJ, 65  
Haniff v Robinson, 36  
Hanoman v Southwark LBC, 110  
Harber v N London Polytechnic, 20  
Hardie v CD Northern, 79  
Hardman v Mallon t/a Orchard Lodge Nursing Home, 95  
Hardy v Polk (Leeds), 105

Hardy v Sefton MBC, 125  
Hare v Schurek, 39  
Harford v Swiftrim, 6  
Haringey LBC v Awaritefe, CA, 75, 77  
Haringey LBC v Jowett, 76  
Haringey LBC v Powell, 60  
Haringey LBC v Stewart, 27  
Haringey LBC v Williams, 109  
Harlow DC v Hall, 115  
Harmony Kitchens v Latimer, 31  
Harouki v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC, CA, 127  
Harper v Virgin Net, CA, 104  
Harris (Representative of Andrews) v Lewisham and Guys Mental Health NHS Trust, CA, 80  
Harris v Wyre Forest DC & another, CA, 8; HL, 14  
Harrison v Lewis, 15  
Harrison v Segger, 111  
Harrods v Remick, CA, 65  
Harrow LBC v Johnstone, HL, 63  
Harrow LBC v Knight, 97  
Harrow LBC v Qazi, CA, 92; HL, 102  
Harrow LBC v Tonge, 36  
Hart Builders (Edinburgh) Ltd v Lyall, 32  
Harvard Securities plc v Younghusband, 18  
Harvest Press v McCaffrey, 78  
Harvest Trucking Ltd v Davis, 25  
Harvey Jones v Woolwich, CA, 83  
Harvey v Barnforth, 131  
Harvey v Port of Tilbury London, 77  
Hassall & another v Secretary of State for Social Security, 48  
Hay v George Hanson Building Contracts, 58  
Hayward v Cammel Laird Ltd, 9  
Health & Safety Executive v Beedie, 47  
Health Development Agency v Parish, 105  
Hearne v Secretary of State for Wales, CA, 80  
Heath v Southern Pacific Mortgage, 132  
Heath v Tang & others, 41  
Heather v The Leonard Cheshire Foundation, 91; CA, 95  
Hedgedale Ltd v Hards, 27  
Heffernan v Grangewood Securities, CA, 89  
Hegab v Shamash, CA, 74  
Heggie v Uniroyal Englebert Tyres, 70  
Heggie v Uniroyal Englebert Tyres, Court of Session, 78  
Helena Housing Ltd v Curtis, 97; CA, 99  
Helena Housing v Pinder, CA, 113  
Hellings & another v Parker Breslin Estates, 46  
Hendricks v Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis, CA, 97  
Hennie v Hennie, 41  
Henry v Lambeth LBC, CA, 80  
Henry v London Transport Services Ltd, CA, 93

Herbert v Lambeth LBC, 31  
Hereford & Worcester CC v Clayton, 60  
Hereford & Worcester CC v T & S Stores plc, 47  
Heron v Citilink - Nottingham, 40  
Hertfordshire Investments v Bubb, CA, 83  
Hewcastle Catering Ltd v Ahmed & Elkamah, 29  
Hewett v Alf Brown's Transport, 32  
Hewett v Motorola, 105  
Hewlett Packard Ltd v O'Murphy, 90  
High Quality Lifestyles v Watts, 119  
High Table v Horst, CA, 64  
Higgins v Hatch & Fielding, 52  
Hijazi v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 102  
Hildebrand v Moon, 18  
Hill and Stapleton v Revenue Commissioners and Department of Finance, ECJ, 70  
Hill v Chappell, 96  
Hill v Haines, 123; CA, 126  
Hilton International Hotels (UK) Ltd v Faraji, 45  
Hilton v Plustile Ltd & Rose, 11  
Hinchy v SoS for Work & Pensions, 97; HL, 109  
Hindcastle Ltd v Barbara Attenborough Associates Ltd & others, CA, 45; HL, 56  
HJ Heinz Co v Kenrick, 79  
HM Prison Service v Beart, 109; CA, 112  
HM Prison Service v Dolby, 101  
HM Prison Service v Salmon, 87  
Hobbs v Sutton LBC, 44  
Hockenjos v Secretary of State for Social Security, CA, 86, 109  
Hodge v Newport LBC, CA, 86  
Hogg v Dover College, 13  
Holder v Supperstone, CA, 78  
Holdom v Kidd & others, 23  
Holmes v Royal Caribbean International, 78  
Holmes-Moorhouse v Richmond-upon-Thames LBC, HL, 133  
Home from Home v Tina Home, CA, 122  
Home Insulation v Waldsley, 11  
Home Office v Ayres, 30  
Home4Rent v Killelea, 106  
Hooper v British Railways Board, 12  
Hooper v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 94, CA, 123  
Hopkins v Norcross plc, 32  
Hopkins v Shepherd & Partners, 41  
Hopkinson v Tupper, CA, 63  
Horizon Holidays v Grassi, 6  
Horkulak v Cantor Fitzgerald International, 102  
Horsham Properties Group v (1) Clark and (2) Beech (3) GMAC (third party) and (4) Secretary of State for Justice (intervening), 132  
Hounslow LBC v Hare & others, 31  
Hounslow LBC v McBride, CA, 73  
Hounslow LBC v Thames Water Utilities 104  
Housing Services Agency v Cragg, 63

Houston v Lightwater Farms Ltd, 23  
Howard & another v Midrome Ltd & others, 24  
Howard v Charlton, CA, 97  
Howker v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 96  
Hoyland v Asda Stores, 111  
HSBC (Midland Bank) v Madden, CA, 84  
Hughes v Southwark MBC, 9  
Hughes v Waltham Forest Health Authority, 23  
Hughes v West Berkshire Health Authority and Secretary of State for Health, CA, 80  
Hull CC v Beedie, 47  
Humberclyde Finance v Thompson, CA, 68  
Hunter v Babbage, 43  
Hunter v Canary Wharf, CA 53; HL, 64  
Hunter v London Docklands Development Corporation, 53  
Huntingford v Hobbs, 3  
Hurley v Martinez, 19  
Hurstanger v Wilson & Burton, 117; CA, 122  
Hussain v Elonex, CA, 75  
Hussain v Lancaster CC, CA, 70  
Hussain v Singh & others, 41  
Hussey v Camden LBC, 49  
Hussey v Eels, 17  
Husman Manufacturing v Weir, 69  
Huwyler v Ruddy, 55  
Hyde Housing Association Ltd v Harrison, 23  
Hyde Housing Association v Laborieux, 112  
Hyde Park Funding v Ioannou, 74  
Hynds v Midlothian DC, 1

## I

Ibekwe v London General Services Transport, CA, 101  
Ibex Trading Co Ltd v Walton & others, 47  
Ibie v Trubshaw & others, 22  
IBL Ltd v Coussens, 21  
ICTS (UK) v Tchoula, 83  
Igen (formerly Leeds Careers Guidance) v Wong, CA, 110  
Igroup Loans v Bradshaw, 119  
ILEA v Grant, 12  
In petition of Richard Ward, 54  
In the matter of Maxwell Fleet and Facilities Management (In administration), 82  
Ingle v Scarborough BC, CA, 97  
Inland Revenue v Fry, 90  
Inland Revenue Wales & Midlands v Bebb Travel, 95  
Inquest into the deaths of Catherine Harrison & Terri-Anne Jones, 47  
Insitu Cleaning Co Ltd v Heads, 48  
Institute of the Motor Industry v Harvey, 34  
International Packaging Corporation (UK) Ltd v Balfour, 96  
Iperion Investments v Broadwalk House Residents Ltd, 52  
Ironmonger v Movefield Ltd t/a Deerings, 11  
Irontrain Investments v Ansari, CA, 117

Irvine v Moran, 30  
Irving v Post Office, 4  
Isle of Wight CC v Humphreys, 31  
Islington LBC v Harridge, 39  
Islington LBC v Honeygan-Green, 124; CA, 129  
Islington LBC v Uckac, CA, 119  
Ivin v Blake, 39  
Izzo v Philip Ross & Co, 90

## J

J & H Ritchie Ltd v Lloyd Ltd, HL, 123  
J & J Securities Ltd v Yeatman, 36  
J A Mont (UK) Ltd v Mills, 36  
J A Pye (Oxford) v Graham, HL, 95  
J H Walker v Hussain, 54  
J Lauritzen v Wijsmuller, 16  
JA Pye (Oxford) v United Kingdom, ECJ, 115  
Jackson & another v CAO (R(IS)10/91), ECJ, 35  
Jackson v Foster Wheeler Ltd, 15  
Jackson v Ghost & Ghost, 102  
Jackson v Pekic & O'Brien, 19  
Jacobs v Official Receiver, 69  
Jaffrey v Department of Environment, Transport & Regions, 95  
James Cook & Co Ltd v Tipper & others, 20  
James Slater v Finning, 57  
James v Barclays Bank plc, 13  
James v Cumbernauld DC HBRB, 1  
James v Eastleigh BC, CA, 15; HL, 21  
Jamstalldhetsombudsmannen v Orebro Lans Landsting, ECJ, 81  
Janstorp International (UK) Ltd v Allen, 22  
Jarrett v Barclays Bank, 59  
Jean Sorelle Ltd v Rybak, 24  
Jeleniewicz v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 131  
Jenkins v Cedar Holdings Ltd, 6  
Jenvey v Australian Broadcasting Corporation, 94  
Jephson Homes Housing Association v Moisejevs, CA, 84  
Jesuthasan v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 69  
Jiad v Byford, CA, 98  
Jiggins v Brisley & others, 102  
Jimenez Melgar v Ayuntamiento de Los Barrios, ECJ, 90  
Jiminez v Southwark LBC, CA, 100  
John Brown Engineering v Brown, 61  
John Munroe (Acrylics) v London Fire & Civil Defence Authority, 59  
Johnson v Bingley, 49  
Johnson v CAO, ECJ, 48  
Johnson v Davies, CA, 68  
Johnson v HM Prison Service, 60  
Johnson v London & Quadrant Housing Trust, CA, 78  
Johnson v UNISYS, CA, 73; HL, 86  
Johnstone v Bloomsbury Health Authority, 25

Jones & another v Miah & another, 33  
Jones & another v White & another, 42  
Jones & Massey v Cook & Cook, 23  
Jones & Sharples v CAO, 40  
Jones v 3M Healthcare Ltd, HL, 100  
Jones v Callagher & Callagher t/a Gallery Kitchens and Bathrooms, CA, 110  
Jones v Department of Employment, 7  
Jones v F Sirl & Son, 64  
Jones v Gwent C C, 36  
Jones v Jones, 60  
Jones v Merton LBC, CA, 130  
Jones v Northampton BC, 20  
Jones v Post Office, CA, 87  
Jones v Secretary of State for Wales, 60  
Jones v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 100  
Jones v Tower Boot Co, 60  
Jones v Walsall MBC, 97  
Jones v Waveney DC, CA, 79  
Jones v Wright, 24, 26 (CA)  
Jowitt v Pioneer Technology (UK) Ltd, 96; CA, 99  
Joyce v Liverpool City Council, 50  
Judge v Crown Leisure, CA, 113

## **K**

K v K, 31  
Kaczmarek v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 132  
Kalsi v Kalsi, 35  
Kamer v Austria, ECJ, 102  
Kanapathiar v Harrow LBC, 100  
Kapadia v Lambeth LBC, 78  
Katsikas v Konstantinidis, ECJ, 38  
Kaur v CAO, 52  
Kaur v Gill & others, 8  
Kaur v Gill, 51  
Kausar v Eagle Star, 57  
Kawarindrasingh v White, 60  
Kay v (1) Lambeth LBC (2) London & Quadrant Housing Trust, CA, 109; HL, 118  
Kaye v Massbetter & Kanter, 32  
Kazantis v CAO, CA, 75  
Keating v Bromley CC, 52  
Keepers & Governors of the Free Grammar School of John Lyon v James, 52  
Kells v Pilkington plc, 95  
Kelly-Madden v Manor Surgery, 120  
Kelsey Housing Association v King, 52  
Kelsey v ILG Travel, 14  
Kemra (Management) v Lewis, 81  
Kenny & others v South Manchester College, 39  
Kenny v Hampshire Constabulary, 72  
Kensington & Chelsea RBC and Westminster CC: Referee's determination, 12  
Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v Danesh, CA, 122

Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v O'Sullivan, CA, 101  
Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v Simmonds, 58  
Kensington Housing Trust v Oliver, CA, 67  
Kent Management Services Ltd v Butterfield, 32  
Kerr v Department for Social Development (NI), HL, 104  
Kerr v Stephens, CA, 118  
Kerr v The Sweater Shop (Scotland), 58  
Kerry Foods v Creber, 78  
Kerry Foods v Lynch, 113  
Khan v Heywood & Middleton Primary Care Trust, 116  
Khan v Islington LBC, CA, 75  
Khan v Oxfordshire County Council, 98  
Khan v Trident Safeguards, CA, 108  
Khana v Southwark LBC, CA, 88  
Khatun, Zeb & Iqbal v Newham LBC & OFT, 104; CA, 106  
Khazanchi v Faircharm Investments, CA, 68  
Khrasandijian v Bush, 39  
Kidd v AXA Equity and Law Life Assurance, 80  
Kigass Aero Components Ltd v Brown, 92  
Killick v Roberts, 27  
Kilvert v Flackett, 70  
Kinch v Bullard, 74  
King v Eaton (No.2), CS, 72  
King v Eaton, 56  
King v Great Britain-China Centre, 29  
King v Jackson, CA, 67  
King v South Northamptonshire DC, 30  
King v University Court of the University of St Andrews, CS, 92  
Kingston-upon-Thames RLBC v Prince, CA, 74  
Kirklees MBC v Field, 67  
Kirton v Tetrosyl, 96; CA, 99  
Knight v Department of Social Security, 92  
Knight v Vale Royal BC, CA, 103  
Knowles v Knowles, CA, 130  
Knowles v Liverpool City Council, 42  
Knowsley Housing Trust v McMullen, CA, 120  
Knowsley Housing Trust v Prescott and Prescott, 134  
Knowsley Housing Trust v Revell, 97; CA, 99  
Knowsley Housing Trust v White, 122  
Kopel v Safeway Stores, 102  
Kording v Senator Fur Finanzen (ECJ), 66  
Kournavous v Masterton & Sons Ltd, 19  
Kova Establishment Co v Sasco Investments, 67  
Kovacs v Queen Mary & Westfield College, CA, 93  
Kowalska v Freie & Hansestadt Hamburg, ECJ, 22  
Kpoharor v Woolwich BS, 54  
Krasner v Dennison, CA, 80  
Kuenyehia v International Hospitals Group, CA, 115  
Kuttapan v Croydon LBC, 75  
Kutz-Bauer v Freie Und Hansestadt Hamburg, ECJ, 99

Kwik Save Stores v Greaves, 62  
Kwik-Fit (GB) Ltd v Lineham, 32

## L

Lagden v O'Connor, HL, 104  
Laimond Properties v Al Shakarchi, CA, 67  
Laing v Manchester CC, 118  
Laird v AK Stoddard, 88  
Lambert v Croydon College, 75  
Lambeth LBC v A, CA, 95  
Lambeth LBC v Grant, CA, 112  
Lambeth LBC v Howard, CA, 88  
Lambeth LBC v Hughes, CA, 84  
Lambeth LBC (1) Hyde Southbank (2) v O'Kane, CA, 113  
Lambeth LBC v Johnston, CA, 130  
Lambeth LBC v Lindsay, CA, 95  
Lambeth LBC v Rogers, CA, 79  
Lambeth LBC v Thomas, 62  
Lambeth LBC v Vandra, CA, 117  
Landeshauptstadt Kiel v Jaeger, ECJ, 102  
Lane v Cadwallader, CA, 85  
Lane v Liverpool CC, 69  
Lane v Shire Roofing Co (Oxford), 52  
Langlands & McAinsh Ltd v Shearer, 8  
Langley v Burlo, 117  
Langston v Cranfield University, 68  
Lansing Linde Ltd v Kerr, 25  
Larusai (R on the application of) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, 101  
Lassman v (1) Secretary of State for Trade and Industry (2) Pan Graphics Industries, 75  
Laughton & Hawley v Bapp Ltd, 1  
Law Land plc v Sinclair, 31  
Law Society v Bahl, 101  
Law Society v Persaud, 20  
Law Society v Sephton & Co, HL, 117  
Lawal v Northern Spirit Ltd, CA 95; HL, 100  
Lawrence v Lesser, CA, 80  
Lawrence v Regent Office Care, 73; ECJ, 95  
Lawson v Serco, HL, 116  
Lawton v BOC Transfield Ltd, 5  
Lea v British Aerospace, 23  
Ledernes Hovedorganisation on behalf of Ole Rygaard v Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening on behalf of Stro Molle Aknstik A/S (ECJ), 53  
Lee v Chung & Shun Shing Construction Ltd, 20  
Lee v GEC Plessey Telecommunications, 40  
Lee v Leeds CC, 94  
Leeds v Islington LBC, 69  
Lee-Lawrence v Penwith DC, CA, 123  
Leicester CC v Aldwinckle, 31  
Leicester CC v Lewis, CA, 83

Leighton v (1) Michael (2) Charalambous, 54  
Leisure Leagues UK Ltd v Maconnachie, 94  
Lennon v Hamilton DC, 24  
Leonard v Southern Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce, 85  
Leonard v Strathclyde Buses, CS, 72  
Letheby & Christopher Ltd v Bangurah, 7  
Leverson v Clwyd C C, 13  
Levett & others v Barclays Bank plc, 49  
Levez v TH Jennings (Harlow Pools), 58; ECJ, 72  
Levy v Legal Services Commission, 84  
Levy v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 117, CA  
Lewin v Barratt Homes, 82  
Lewis & Brittan v E Mason & Sons, 43  
Lewis v Metropolitan Property Realizations Ltd, 133  
Lewis Woolf Griptight v Corfield, 64  
Lewis-Graham & another v Conacher, 29  
Lewisham LBC v Adeyami, CA, 77  
Lewisham LBC v Malcolm & Disability Rights Commission, CA, 124  
Liddell v Middleton, 52  
Lightways (Contractors) v Associated Holdings, Court of Session, 80  
Lilleshall Road Housing Co-operative Ltd v Brennan & another, 32  
Links & Co Ltd v Rose, 28  
Linton v EJ Parkinson & Son Ltd, 22  
Lipkin Gorman v Karpnale Ltd, 26  
Lismane v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 72  
List Design Group v Catley, 96  
List Design Group v Douglas, 96  
Litster v Forth Dry Dock Engineering Ltd, 13  
Littlewoods Organisation plc v Traynor, 38  
Liverpool CC v Doran and Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, CA, 134  
Liverpool City Council v Pleroma Distribution, 96  
Liversidge v Chief Constable of Bedfordshire Police, CA, 94  
Livingstone v Hepworth Refractories plc, 31  
Lloyd v DPP, 26  
Lloyd v Taylor Woodrow, 78  
Lloyds Bank v Byrne & another, 26; CA, 29  
Lloyds Bank v Egremont & another, 24  
Lloyds Bank v Hawkins, CA, 72  
Lloyds Bank v Rossett, 19  
Lloyds Bank v Semmakie, 36  
Lloyds Bank v Waterhouse, 18  
Lloyds TSB Bank v Holdgate, CA, 95, 99  
LMC Drains Ltd (1) Metro Rod Services Ltd (2) v Waugh, 28  
Lock International plc v Beswick & others, 17  
Lock v Cardiff Railway Co, 69  
Lock v Connell Estate Agents, 46  
Lodwick v Southwark LBC, CA, 106  
Logan Salton v Durham CC, 14  
Lohan v Hilton, 45

Lomas v Thomas Ness Ltd, 4  
Lombard North Central v Stobart, 18  
Lombard Tricity v Paton, 11  
Lommers v Minister Van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer En Visserij, ECJ, 93  
Lomotey v Enfield LBC, CA, 107  
London & Global v Sahara Petroleum, CA, 72  
London Ambulance Service v Charlton & others, 36  
London Clubs Management Ltd v Hood, 89  
London Fire & Civil Defence Authority v Betty, 46  
London International College Ltd v Sen, 39  
London North Securities v Meadows, 107; CA, 111  
London North Securities v Williams, 112  
London Probation Board v Kirkpatrick, 111  
London Underground Ltd v Ferenc-Batchelor, Harding V London Underground Ltd, 98  
London Underground v Edwards (No.2), 61  
Long v Jones, 18  
Lonsdale & Thompson v Black Arrow Group, 38  
Lord and Haslewood-Orgam v Jessop, CA, 78  
Love & another v Herrity, 24  
Loveridge v Healey, CA, 109  
Lowsley v Forbes, HL, 70  
Lucas v Lucas, 32, 49  
Luce v London Borough of Bexley, 23  
Lunt v Merseyside TEC, 76  
Lusty Architects v Finsbury Securities Ltd, 25  
Lyncock v Cereal Packaging Ltd, 12

## **M**

M (a minor) v Newham LBC, 52  
Mabirizi v National Hospital for Nervous Diseases, 19  
MacCartney v Oversley House Management, 117  
MacDonald v Advocate General for Scotland, HL, 101  
MacDonald v Ministry of Defence , 84; CS, 87  
Macer v Abafast Ltd, 20  
MacFarlane v Glasgow CC, 85  
Machine Tool Research Association v Simpson, 9  
Macklin v Dowsett, CA, 111  
MacLeod v HBRB, 8  
Macpherson v Lambeth LBC, 11  
Macredie v Thrapston Garage, 92  
Macruary v Washington Irvine Ltd, 45  
Magna Housing Association v (1) Turner (2) Raison (3) Landscaping & Grounds  
Magorrión & Cunningham v Eastern Health & Social Services Board (ECJ), 68  
Mahlburg v Land Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, ECJ, 80  
Maintenance; Lightways (Contractors) v (1) Hood (2) Associated Holdings, 73  
Mairs (Inspector of Taxes) v Haughey, 42  
Majrowski v Guy's and St Thomas's NHS Trust, CA, 110; HL, 117  
Malcolm v University of Oxford, 19; CA, 24  
Malekshad v Howard De Walden Estates Ltd, HL, 98

Malik v BCCI, HL, 64  
Mallinson v Secretary of State for Social Security (R(A)3/94), HL, 44  
Mallone v BPB Industries, CA, 93  
Malpas v St Ermin's Property Co Ltd, 35  
Maltez v Lewis, 74  
Manchester CC v Cochrane, CA, 74  
Manchester CC v Joleen Finn, CA, 97  
Manchester CC v Moran, CA, 128  
Manchester CC v Romano, CA, 108  
Manchester CC v Samari, CA, 108  
Mander v Evans, 87  
Manel v Memon, CA, 81  
Mangold v Helm, ECJ, 115  
Manifold Industries v Sims & others, 26  
Mann v Nijar, CA, 75  
Mansfield DC v Carter, 49  
Mansfield DC v Fitzpatrick, 49  
Mansukhani v Sharkey, 33  
Manx Electrical Authority v JP Morgan Chase Bank, 96  
Marath v Macgillivray, 55  
Marc Rich & others v Bishop Rock & others, 43  
Marcic v Thames Water Utilities, CA, 97; HL, 111  
Marely UK v Anderson, 54  
Margereson v JW Roberts, 56  
Mariola v Lloyds Register, 18  
Market Force (UK) Ltd v Hunt, 96  
Marks & Spencer v Williams-Ryan, CA, 113  
Marlborough Park Services v Rowe, CA, 115, 120  
Marlow v East Thames Housing Group Ltd, 95  
Marschall v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen, ECJ, 66  
Marsh v Kerwin, 49  
Marshall (Cambridge) Ltd v Hamblin, 45  
Marshall Specialist Vehicles v Osborne, 101  
Marshall v Rubypoint, 60; CA, 63  
Marshall v Southampton & South West Hampshire Area Health Authority (ECJ), 40  
Marshall v Southampton Area Health Authority (No.2), 22  
Marshalls Clay Products v Caulfield, 101  
Marston v Leeds CC, CA, 96  
Martin-Sklan v White, 122  
Masiak v City Restaurants, 78  
Mason v Lawton, 29  
Mason v Nwokorie, 41  
Mathew v TM Sutton, 45  
Mathewson v R B Wilson Dental Laboratory, 12  
Mattis v Pollock t/a Flamingo's Nightclub, CA, 100  
Mattocks v Mann, 33  
Mayeur v Association Promotion De L'Information Messine (APIM), ECJ, 84  
Mayhew v Keepers & Governors of the Possessions, 29  
Mayor and Burgesses of Lewisham LBC v Malcolm, HL, 129  
McAndrew v Prestwick Circuits, 12

McCabe v Cornwall County Council, CA, 97  
McCafferty v Rankin Park Club, 22  
McCaffery v Lambeth LBC, 45  
McCauley v Bristol C C, 27  
McCleod v Hellyer Bros Ltd, 5  
McClory & others v Post Office, 38  
McConomy v Croft Inns Ltd, 37  
McCrea v Cullen & Davison, 8  
McCree v Tower Hamlets LBC, 29, 31  
McDonald v Coys of Kensington, CA, 103  
McDonald v Fernandez, CA, 103  
McDougall v Easington DC, 13  
McDowell v Hirschfield Lipson & Rumney & Smith, 35  
McGinn v Grangewood Securities, CA, 92  
McGlynn v Welwyn Hatfield DC, CA, 133  
McGregor v GMBATU, 2  
McKechnie v UBM Building Supplies Ltd, 27  
McKiernon v CAO (R(I) 2/94), 41  
McLean v William Hill, 28  
McLeod v Butterwick, 55; CA, 68  
McMaster v Manchester Airport, 67  
McMeechan v Secretary of State for Employment, 52; CA, 63  
McMenemy v Capita Business Services, 118  
McMillan v Kyle & Carrick DC, 57  
McMullen & Sons Ltd v Cerrone, 39  
McNerny v Lambeth LBC, 12  
McNicholas Construction (Holdings) v Endemol UK, 101  
McNicol v Balfour Beatty Rail Maintenance Ltd, CA, 95  
McPherson v BNP Paribas, CA, 105  
McPherson v Drumpark House, 62  
Meade & Baxendale v British Fuels, 59; CA, 64  
Meade-Hill & National Union of Civil & Public Servants v British Council, 52  
Meadows v Kent & Medway Towns Fire Authority, 102  
Mecca Leisure Group plc v Chatprachong, 42  
Medhurst v NALGO, 23  
Mediguard Services Ltd v Thame, 46  
Medina Housing Association Ltd v Case, CA, 99  
Meek v City of Birmingham DC, 5  
Megarry v CAO, CA, 77  
Mehmet v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 112  
Mehta v J Pereira Fernandes SA, 117  
Mehta v Royal Bank of Scotland, 74  
Melhuish v Redbridge CAB, 111  
Melia v Magna Kansei, 111  
Melville v Bruton, 56  
Mendoza v Ghaidon, CA, 97  
Mennell v Newell & Wright (Transport Containers), 56  
Merckx & Neuhuys v Ford Motor Co Belgium SA, ECJ, 58  
Merino Gomez v Continental Industrias del Caucho, ECJ, 104  
Merrett v Babb, CA, 87

Methilhill Bowling Club v Hunter, 50  
Meyers v Adjudication Officer (ECJ), 52  
MFI Furniture v Hibbert, 52  
MHC Consulting Services v Tansell, 77  
Miah v SoS for Social Security, CA, 93  
Michalak v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 93  
Mid Staffordshire General Hospitals NHS Trust v Cambridge, 100  
Middlesbrough BC v TGWU, 92  
Midland Bank v Cooke, 52  
Midland Bank v Madden, 80  
Midland Bank v Massey, 44  
Midland Bank v Pike, 11  
Midland Bank v Serter, 50  
Mikeover Ltd v Brady, 15  
Miklaszewicz v Stolt Offshore Ltd, CS, 92  
Miles v Wakefield MBC, 3  
Miller Bros & FP Butler Ltd v Johnston, 93  
Miller v Juby, 25  
Miller v Secretary of State for Social Security, 44  
Milligan v Securicor Cleaning, 51  
Mills & Crown Prosecution Service v Marshall, 70  
Mills v Grove Securities, 64  
Milne-Berry v Tower Hamlets LBC, 62  
Minah v Bank of Ireland, 53  
Minchin v Sheffield City Council, CA, 82  
Minetti v D V K Executive Hotels, 13  
Mingford v Midland Bank, 62  
Ministry of Defence v Cannock & others, 47  
Ministry of Defence v Sullivan, 41  
Mira v Aylmer Square Investments Ltd, 22  
Mistry v Isidore, 19  
Mitchell and Mitchell v Glasgow CC, HL, 133  
Mitre Managed Services Ltd v French, 95  
Mobbs v Nuclear Electric, 59  
Mock v Commissioners of Inland Revenue, 78  
Mohammadi v Anston Investments, CA, 103  
Mohammed (R on the application of) v Southwark LBC, 134  
Mohammed v Hammersmith and Fulham LBC, CA, 80; HL, 91  
Mohammed v Kensington & Chelsea RBC, CA, 50  
Monaghan v Close Thornton (Solicitors), 92  
Mongan v Department for Social Development (NI), CA, 111  
Monklands DC v W, 11  
Monmouth BC v Marlog, 45  
Montgomery v Johnson Underwood, CA, 86  
Montoya v Hackney LBC, 114  
Moon v Franklin, 21  
Moonsar v Fiveways Express Transport, 108  
Moore v Durham CC, 4  
Moorgate Services v Kabir, 50  
Moran v Secretary of State for Social Services, (R(A)1/88), 3

Morecombe v Secretary of State, 8  
Moreland Properties v Dhokia, CA, 105  
Morgan Guaranty Trust Co of New York v Lothian Regional Council, 49  
Morgan v Electrolux Ltd, 25  
Morgan v Staffordshire University, 91  
Morgan v West Glamorgan County Council, 49  
Morgans v Alpha Plus Security, 110  
Morley (UK) Ltd v Anderson, 44  
Morley v Heritage plc, 40  
Morley v Secretary of State, 22  
Morley v United Friendly Insurance plc, 39  
Morrell v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 100  
Morris Angel & Son Ltd v Hollande & others, 38  
Morris v Breaveglen t/a Anzac Construction Co, 39  
Morris v John Grose Group, 71  
Morris v Liverpool CC, 10  
Morris v Murray, 23  
Morris v Walsh Western (UK), 65  
Morrow v Safeway Stores, 90  
Morse v Wiltshire CC, 69  
Mortgage Agency Services Number Two v Chater, CA, 99  
Mortgage Agency Services v Bal, 74  
Mortgage Corporation v Braham, 47  
Mortgage Corporation v Shaire, 80  
Mortgage Corporation v Smith, 41  
Mortgage Corporation v Ubah, 56  
Mortgage Services Funding v Steele, CA, 64  
Morton v CAO, 11  
Mossop v Mossop, 8  
Mote v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 129  
Motorola v Davidson (1) and Melville Craig (2), 85  
Mowan v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 86  
Mowatt-Brown v University of Surrey, 92  
Moyes v Hylton Castle Working Men's Club, 2  
Moyna v SoS for Social Security, CA, 92; HL, 100  
MPB Structures Ltd v Munro, 94; CS, 99  
MSF v Refuge Assurance, 92  
Muffett v Head, 2  
Muir Group Housing Association Ltd v Thornley & another, 36  
Mulhall v N Shropshire CCRO, 22  
Mulox IBC Ltd v Geels (ECJ), 46  
Mulvey v Secretary of State for Social Security, 48; HL, 61  
Mulvine v University of Ulster, 42  
Mumford & Smith v Bank of Scotland, 53  
Mundy v Hook (CA), 64  
Munir v Jang Publications Ltd, 14  
Murco Petroleum v Forge, 3  
Murphy v Brentwood DC, 17; CA, 18; HL, 21  
Murray King t/a Oakland Services UK v Job, CA, 96  
Murray v Foyle Meats, HL, 76

Murray v Hibernian Dance Club, 59  
Murray v Newham Citizens Advice Bureau Ltd, 99  
Mustafa v Ruddock (CA), 63

## **N**

N & D (London) Ltd v Gadson, 31  
N Tyneside MBC v Allsop, 31  
N v Secretary of State for the Home Department, HL, 113  
N Yorkshire CC v Capstick, 4  
Nagarajan v Agnew & London Regional Transport, 43; HL, 76  
Naiva v Covent Garden Group Ltd & another, 49  
NALGO v Killorn & Simm, 22  
Nash (1) Staunton (2) v Paragon Finance, CA, 89  
Nash Dino Services Ltd v Prudential Assurance, 13  
Nash v Marsh/Roe Group, 67  
National & Provincial BS v Lloyd, 54  
National Association of Colliery, Overmen, Deputies and Shot Firers (R on the application of) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, 102  
National Bank of Grece v Pinios, 17  
National Coal Board v Ridgway, 2  
National Counties BS v Antonelli, 54  
National Federation of Self-employed & Small Businesses v Philpott, 63  
National Grid Co v Virdes, 39  
National Guardian Mortgage Corporation v Wilkes, 30  
National Home Loans v Giffen, Couch & Archer, CA, 67  
National Lifeboat Institution v Bushaway, 113  
National Schizophrenia Fellowship v Ribble Estates SA & others, 41  
National Trust v Knipe, CA, 64  
National Westminster Bank v Abbey National plc, 42  
National Westminster Bank v Amin, HL, 93  
National Westminster Bank v Breeds, 85  
National Westminster Bank v King, 128  
National Westminster Bank v Kitch, CA, 63  
National Westminster Bank v (1) Navjet Malhan (2) Anil Malhan (3) Puneeta Malhan and Secretary of State for Constitutional Affairs & Lord Chancellor, 107  
National Westminster Bank v Powney & others, 19  
National Westminster Bank v Stokfisz, 41  
National Westminster Bank v Story, 74  
National Westminster Bank v Timmis & Shaw, 46  
Naughton v O'Callaghan, 18  
Neal v Bingle, CA, 64  
Neath v Hugh Steeper Ltd, (ECJ, 43  
Nelson v Carillion Services Ltd, CA, 99  
Nelson v Clearsprings (Management) Ltd, CA, 119  
Nerva & others v R L & Co Ltd, 50  
Nerva v United Kingdom, ECJ, 95  
Nessa v CAO, CA, 67; HL, 77  
New Centurion Trust v Welch, 19  
New Century Cleaning Co v Church, CA, 78  
New Charter Housing v Ashcroft, CA, 107

New Forest DC v Shutler, 116  
New Victoria Hospital v Ryan, 36, 37  
Newbury DC v Secretary of State for Environment & another, 25  
Newcastle CC v Morrison, CA, 83  
Newham LBC v Kibata, CA, 104  
Newham LBC v Phillips, CA, 66  
Newham LBC v Skingle, 97; CA, 99  
Newins v British Airways plc, 38  
Newlon Housing Trust v Al-Sulaimen, 60; HL, 72  
Newman t/a Mantella Publishing v Modern Bookbinders, CA, 83  
Newman v Polytechnic of Wales Student Union, 49  
Niazi Services v Van der Loo, CA, 108  
Nicholls v Lan, 122  
Nichols v Rushton, 33  
Nicol v Ben Line Group Ltd, 14  
Niru Battery Manufacturing Co v Credit Agricole Indosuez, CA, 101  
Nitrigen Eirann v Inco Alloys, 29  
Nolan v Devonport, 120  
Nolan v Leeds C C, 23  
Nolan v Stoke on Trent CC, 118  
Noorani v Merseyside TEC, CA, 73  
Norris v Checksield, 26  
North British Housing Association v Matthews, CA, 109  
North Devon Homes v Brazier, 101  
North East Lincolnshire Council v Beck, 75  
North Hertfordshire DC v Carthy, CA, 99  
North Somerset DC v Richards, 97  
North Western Health Board v McKenna, ECJ, 114  
Northampton BC v Lovatt, CA, 66  
Northern Joint Police Board v Power, 65  
Nortons Letting Agents v Zaman, 86  
Norweb plc v Dixon, 49  
Norwich CC v Billings, CA, 68  
Notting Hill Housing Trust v Roomus, CA, 120  
Nottingham CC v Clifton Thames, CA, 96  
Nottinghamshire C C v P, 28  
Nunn v Dalrymple, 15  
Nutt and another v Read and another, CA, 80  
Nutting v Southern Housing Group, 112

## O

O v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 83  
O'Connor v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 106  
O'Connor v Old Etonians Housing Association, CA, 95  
O'Hagan and Ballard v Bahi, 131  
O'Hanlon v HMRC, 119  
O'Hara v McDougall, CA, 116  
O'Rourke v United Kingdom, ECtHR, 94  
Oakley v Birmingham CC, 74; HL, 85  
Oakley v Labour Party, 8

Obagi v Stanborough (Developments) Ltd & others, 44  
O'Boyle v Leiper, 18  
O'Brien & others v Barclays Bank plc, 47  
O'Connor v Chief Adjudication Officer, CA, 73  
Octavius Atkinson Ltd v Morris, 14  
Odunsi v Brent LBC, 76  
Office Angels Ltd v Rainer-Thomas & O'Connor, 26  
Office of Fair Trading v Abbey National & others, 128  
Office of Fair Trading v Lloyds TSB Bank, 108; CA, 116; HL, 125  
Official Receiver v Merchant, 120  
O'Flynn v Adjudication Officer, ECJ, 58  
Ogwr v Dykes, 12  
Ojelay v Neosale Ltd, 4  
O'Keefe v Southampton C C, 11  
Okeneye v Newham LBC, CA, 103  
O'Laoire v Jackel International Ltd, 18, 27  
Omar v City of Westminster, CA, 129  
Omar v Worldwide News, 69  
O'Neill v Governors of St Thomas More RCVA Upper School (1) Bedfordshire CC (2), 57  
O'Neill v Symm & Co, 68  
Ord v Upton, CA, 79  
Orejudos v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, 105  
O'Rourke v Camden LBC, 61  
Orthet v Vince-Cain, 107  
Ortiz v City of Westminster, 52  
Osada v Shepping, CA, 84  
Osborn & Co v Dior, CA, Marito Holdings SA v Deneche, CA, 100  
Osei v Southwark LBC, CA, 125  
Osmani v Camden LBC, CA, 112  
Osmani v Harrow LBC, 97; CA, 100; HL, 105  
O'Sullivan & McCann v Williams, 33  
O'Sullivan v Barnett & another, 45  
Otter v Norman, CA, 6; HL, 10  
Owen v Chief Adjudication Officer, CA, 74  
Owusu v London Fire & Civil Defence Authority, 53  
Oxley v Hiscock, CA, 106  
Oy Likenne AB v Liskojarvi and Juntunen, ECJ, 85  
Ozbek v Ipswich BC, CA, 120

## **P**

P Bork Int v Foreningen, 13  
P v S Cornwall CC (ECJ), 57  
P&O Trans European Ltd v Initial Transport Services Ltd, 97  
Pacitti Jones v O'Brien, CS, 114  
Padbury v York, 23  
Page & Davis v CAO (R(SB)2/92), 28  
Paggetti v Cobb, 96  
Palfrey v Transco, 108  
Palk v Mortgage Services Funding plc, 34

Palmer v McNamara, 26  
Palmer v Sandwell MBC, 7  
Pan Atlantic v Pine Top, 38  
Panama v Hackney LBC, CA, 98  
Panayi & another v Roberts, 38  
Pape v Cumbria County Council, 29  
Parker-Tweedale v Dunbar Bank plc, 22  
Parkins v Sodexho Ltd, 91  
Parkins v Westminster CC (CA), 66  
Parry v Derbyshire Dales DC, 116  
Parsons v Nasar, 26  
Partridge v Adjudication Officer, ECJ, 69  
Patefield v Belfast City Council, NICA, 83  
Patel v General Medical Council, PC, 98  
Patel v Nageson, 51  
Patel v Patel, 6  
Patel v Singh, CA, 105  
Patel v Smeaton, CA, 86  
Paterson v Agio, 6  
Patterson v Greenwich LBC, 44  
Paul v National Probation Service, 103  
Pay v Lancashire Probation Service, 103  
Peace v City of Edinburgh Council, Court of Session, 75  
Peach Grey & Co v Sommers, 51  
Peacock v Peacock, 26  
Pearce v Governing Body of Mayfield Secondary School, HL, 101  
Pearson v Kent County Council, 28  
Pearson v Staniland t/a West Bar Motor Co, 110  
Pemberton v Southwark LBC, CA, 83  
Penname Ltd v Paterson, 12  
Pendragon v Jackson, 65  
Pepper (Inspector of Taxes) v Hart, 37  
Perkin v St Georges Healthcare NHS Trust, CA, 114  
Perkins Engine Group Ltd v Overend, 18  
Perry v AO, NICA, 79  
Perry v Chief Adjudication Officer, CA, 72  
Perry v Intec Colleges Ltd, 37  
Persson v Matra Marconi Space UK, 60  
Perth and Kinross Council v Donaldson, 103  
Pesticcio v (1) Huet (2) Abbey National and (3) Niersmans, 102  
Peter Stewart v Inverness DC, 33  
Peter Yates v Bullock, 20  
Peters v CAO (R(SB)3/89), 18  
Peters v Sat Katar Co Ltd, CA, 100  
Pfaffinger v City of Liverpool Community College, 58  
Pfeiffer v Deutches Rotes Kreuz, ECJ, 109  
Philip Hodges & Co Ltd v Kell, 47  
Photostatic Copiers (Southern) Ltd v Okuda & Japan Office Equipment Ltd, 48  
Pickstone & others v Freemans plc, CA, 5; HL, 10  
Pidduck v Molloy, 36

Piggot Brothers Ltd v Jackson & others, 27  
Pike v Sefton MBC, 85  
Pinkney v Sandpiper Drilling Ltd, 16  
Pirabkaran v Patel, CA, 121  
Piscitelli v Zilli Fish, 115  
Pitt v PHH Asset Management Ltd, 40  
Pittalis v Grant, 13  
Platts v TSB, CA, 68  
Platts v Western Trust & Savings Ltd, 39  
Plewa v CAO, 46  
Polentarutti v Autokfract Ltd, 29  
Polkey v Dayton Services Ltd, 7  
Pollard v Ashurst, CA, 84  
Pollards Hill Housing Association v Marsh, CA, 94  
Pollway Nominees Ltd v Havering LBC, 15  
Polonski v Lloyds Bank, 62  
Popat v Popat, 25  
Pope v Secretary of State for Environment & another, 30  
Popely v Popely, CA, 104  
Port of London Authority v Payne, 35; CA, 43  
Porteous v West Dorset CC, CA, 106  
Porter & Nanayakkara v Queen's Medical Centre (Nottingham University Hospital),  
41  
Porter v Barking & Dagenham LBC, 19  
Post Office v Foley, CA, 84  
Post Office v Footitt, 80  
Post Office v Marney, 20  
Potsos & Potsos v Theodotou, 28  
Potter v Arafa, 52  
Potter v Hunt Chemicals Ltd, 30  
Pourdanay v Barclays Bank, 60  
Powdrill & Atkinson v Watson, CA, 44; HL, 50  
Power Lines Pipes & Cables Ltd v Penrice & others, 5  
Power v Panasonic UK Ltd, 97  
Pratley v Surrey County Council, CA, 102  
Premier Portfolio v Morris, 40  
Preston Borough Council v Riley & another, 50  
Preston v Torfaen BC, 41, 43  
Preston v Wolverhampton Healthcare NHS Trust, 68; ECJ, 80  
Price v Hartwell, 57  
Prime (Traffic Examiner) v Hosking, 49  
Prince v Robinson, CA, 73  
Proudreed v Microgen Holdings, 52  
Provident Group & Whitecross Estate Agency v Hayward, 13  
Pruden v Cunard Ellerman Ltd, 39  
Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v London Residuary Body & others, 30  
Puglia v C James & Sons, 55  
Purbrick v Hackney LBC, 103  
Purdew & Purdew v Seress Smith, 37  
Purves v Joydisc Ltd, 99

## Q

Qua v John Ford Morrison Solicitors, 98  
Quarcoopome v Sock Shop Holdings, 51  
Quinlan v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, 12  
Quinn v Schwarzkopf, 85; CS, 94  
Quinton v East Hertfordshire DC, 97  
Quirk v Burton Hospitals NHS Trust and Secretary of State for Health, 85  
Qureshi v Newham LBC, 26

## R

R (A & Kanidagli) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 112  
R (Ariemuguvbe) v Islington LBC, 134  
R (Beeson) v Dorset CC, 93  
R (Behre) v Hillingdon LBC, 103  
R (Bernard) v Enfield LBC, 98  
R (Bibi) v Newham LBC, 105  
R (Chester) v SoS for Social Security, 92  
R (Erskine) v Lambeth LBC & ODPM, 105  
R (Gabaj) v Bristol CC and First Secretary of State, 118  
R (Gilboy) v Liverpool CC and Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, CA, 131  
R (Goldsmith) v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 111  
R (Hislop) v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, 104  
R (James Sleith) v Camden LBC, CA, 102  
R (Linda Mays) v Brent LBC, 102  
R (Margaret Price) v Carmarthenshire CC, 101  
R (McCauley and Stewart) v Highland Council, CS, 103  
R (McGowan) v Brent Justices, 94  
R (Murphy) v Westminster CC, 87  
R (on the application of A) v (1) National Asylum Support Service (2) Waltham Forest LBC, 103  
R (on the application of Abdi) v Lambeth LBC, 126  
R (on the application of Ahmed) v Waltham Forest LBC, 93  
R (on the application of Ali) v (1) NASS (2) Waltham Forest LBC, CA, 106  
R (on the application of Aweys) v Birmingham CC, 123  
R (on the application of B) v Southwark LBC, 102  
R (on the application of Balding) v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 122  
R (on the application of BG) v Medway Council, 114  
R (on the application of Bilverstone) v Oxford CC, 104  
R (on the application of Brighton & Hove Justices) v Hamdan, 106  
R (on the application of Calgin) v Enfield LBC, 113  
R (on the application of Carstens) v Basildon BC, 122  
R (on the application of Chelfat) v Tower Hamlets LBC, 118  
R (on the application of Clark-Darby) v Highbury Magistrates Court, 98  
R (on the application of Erdogan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 109  
R (on the application of European Roma Centre) v Immigration Officer at Prague Airport, CA, 101; HL, 109

R (on the application of Gangera) v Hounslow LBC, 101  
R (on the application of Gezer) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 101  
R (on the application of Heather) v Leonard Cheshire Foundation, 91; CA, 95  
R (on the application of Heffernan)(FC) v The Rent Service, HL, 130  
R (on the application of J) v Enfield LBC, 93  
R (on the application of Kelly) v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, 107  
R (on the application of Khan) v Newham LBC, 94  
R (on the application of Laporte) v Newham LBC, 106  
R (on the application of Lester) v London Rent Assessment Committee, CA, 103  
R (on the application of M) v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 121  
R (on the application of M) v (1) Secretary of State for Constitutional Affairs (2) Leeds Magistrates Court, CA, 106  
R (on the application of M) v Slough BC, HL, 131  
R (on the application of Maali) v Lambeth LBC, 104  
R (on the application of Mathialagan) v Southwark LBC, CA, 108  
R (on the application of McDonagh) v Salisbury DC, 91  
R (on the application of Milburn) v Morpeth & Berwick County Court, CA, 104  
R (on the application of Mohamed) v Harrow LBC, 116  
R (on the application of Morris) v Westminster CC and First Secretary of State, 109  
R (on the application of Morris) v Westminster CC, 103  
R (on the application of Muscat) v Three Rivers DC, 99  
R (on the application of O) v Haringey LBC and Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 107  
R (on the application of Q) v SoS for the Home Department, 98; CA, 100  
R (on the application of RJM) v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, HL, 131  
R (on the application of Rowe) v Vale of White Horse DC, 101  
R (on the application of Slaiman) v Richmond upon Thames LBC, 118  
R (on the application of Southwark Law Centre) v Legal Services Commission, 124  
R (on the application of T) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 103  
R (on the application of the British Broadcasting Corporation) v Central Arbitration Committee, 101  
R (on the application of Tull) v (1) Camberwell Green Magistrates Court (2) Lambeth LBC, 113  
R (on the application of Vatansever) v Southwark LBC, 96  
R (on the application of Weaver) v London and Quadrant Housing Trust, 130  
R (Painter) v Carmarthanshire CC, 87  
R (Raynor) v Ipswich BC, 109  
R (Reynolds) v SoS for Work & Pensions, 92  
R (Salih and Rahmani) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 103  
R (Steele) v Birmingham CC and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, 110; CA, 115  
R (Taylor) v Secretary of State for Social Security, ECJ, 79  
R (Van der Stolk) v Camden LBC, 97  
R C Glaze Properties Ltd v Alabdinoni, 36  
R J Dunnell Property Investments Ltd v Thorpe, 17  
R v Adjudication Officer ex parte B, CA, 72  
R v Adjudication Officer ex parte Velasquez, CA, 74  
R v Admiralty Board of Defence Council ex parte Lustig-Pream & Beckett, 55  
R v Alfreton Justices ex parte Gratton, 42  
R v Army Board of Defence Council ex parte Anderson, 29

R v Avon CC ex parte Hazell, 41  
R v Avon CC ex parte Rexworthy, 12  
R v Barking and Dagenham LBC and Secretary of State for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ex parte Albert Smith, 102  
R v Barnet LBC ex parte G; R v Lambeth LBC ex parte W; R v Lambeth LBC ex parte A, HL, 104  
R v Barnet Magistrates' Court ex parte Cantor, 67  
R v Beaconsfield Justices ex parte Stubbings, 3  
R v Bevelectric Ltd, 35  
R v Bexley LBC ex parte B, 33; 38 (HL)  
R v Birmingham CC ex parte Mohammed, 71  
R v Bow County Court ex parte Pelling, CA, 76  
R v Bowden, 49  
R v Bracknell Forest DC ex parte Johns and McLellan, 87  
R v Brent CC ex parte Bariise, CA, 68  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Awua, 43; CA, 44; HL, 51  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Baruwa, 57; CA, 61  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Connery, 17  
R v Brent LBC ex parte D, 75  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Grossett, 55  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Macwan, 39; CA, 44  
R v Brent LBC ex parte McDonagh, 13  
R v Brent LBC ex parte McManus, 41  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Miyanger, 66  
R v Brent LBC ex parte O'Connor, 79  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Omar, 26  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Sadiq, 84  
R v Brent LBC ex parte Sawyers, 43  
R v Brighton & Hove Council ex parte Nacion, CA, 75  
R v Brighton BC ex parte Harvey, 71  
R v Bristol CC ex p Jacobs, 77  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Bailey, 48  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Bradic, 48; CA, 51  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Johns, 39  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Naqvi, 45  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Penfold, CA, 68  
R v Bristol CC ex parte Preece, 28  
R v Broadland DC ex parte Price, 35  
R v Bromsgrove DC ex parte Kennedy, 30  
R v Brown, 39  
R v Broxbourne BC ex parte Willmoth, 11, 14; CA, 16  
R v Burke, 20  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Adair, 57  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Aranda, 59; CA, 69  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Cosmo, 73  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Gillan & others, 11  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Hersi, CA, 85  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Jibil, 65  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Mohammed, 63  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Naghshbandi, CA, 97

R v Camden LBC ex parte Nairne, CA, 73  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Pereira, 68; CA, 70  
R v Camden LBC ex parte Spencer, 57  
R v Cardiff C C ex parte Barry, 23  
R v Chesterfield BC ex parte Fullwood, 34; CA, 39  
R v Chief Constable of Avon ex parte Clarke, 2  
R v Chilterns DC ex parte Roberts & others, 29  
R v Christchurch BC ex parte Lorraine Conway, 3  
R v City of Bradford ex parte Parveen, 59  
R v City of Westminster ex parte Ali & Bibi, 38  
R v City of Westminster ex parte Khan, 27  
R v Civil Service Appeal Board ex parte Bruce, 12  
R v Civil Service Minister ex parte Petch, 7  
R v Corby Magistrates ex parte Mott, 68  
R v Coventry CC ex parte Waite, 54  
R v Croydon LBC ex parte Graham, 45  
R v Croydon LBC ex parte Jarvis, 42  
R v Croydon LBC ex parte Marchant, 15  
R v CSAB ex parte Cunningham, 20  
R v Dacorum BC ex parte Brown, 15  
R v Dacorum BC ex parte Walsh, 34  
R v Dairy Produce Quota Tribunal ex parte Caswell, 15  
R v Derbyshire Dales DC ex parte Cooper, 34  
R v D-G of Water Services ex parte Oldham MBC, 67  
R v Doncaster Justices ex parte (1) Jack (2) Christison, 75  
R v Doncaster MBC ex parte Boulton, 36  
R v DSS ex parte Scullion, 77  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Denny, 52  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Fox, 68  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Parkinson, 55  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Salmons, 27  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Sukhija, 48  
R v Ealing LBC ex parte Surdonja, 73  
R v East Devon BC HBRB ex parte Preston, 79  
R v East Devon DC ex parte Robb, 70  
R v East Devon DC HBRB ex parte Gibson, 32; CA, 39  
R v East Herts DC ex parte Dallhold Resources, 16, 23  
R v East Herts DC ex parte Smith, 22  
R v East Northamptonshire DC ex parte Spruce, 10  
R v East Yorkshire Borough of Beverley HBRB ex parte Hare, 50  
R v Enfield LBC ex parte Campbell, 88  
R v Enfield LBC ex parte J, 97  
R v Enfield LBC ex parte Tasci, 97  
R v Enfield LBC ex parte Yumsak, 93  
R v Exeter CC ex parte Tranckle, 44  
R v F & M Dobson Ltd, 49  
R v Fareham BC ex parte Giles, 99  
R v Faversham & Sittingbourne Justices ex parte Ursell, 31  
R v Forest Heath DC ex parte West & Lucas, 30  
R v Forest of Dean DC ex parte Trigg, 22

R v Gateshead MBC ex parte Lauder, 58  
R v Gillingham BC ex parte Ashgar, 24  
R v Gloucester CC ex parte Dutton, 33  
R v Gloucestershire CC ex parte Barry, CA, 57; HL, 61  
R v Gloucestershire CC ex parte Davies, 42  
R v Gloucestershire CC ex parte Mahfood, 52  
R v Gomez, 36  
R v Great Grimsby BC ex parte Pennell, 35  
R v Greater Manchester Police Authority ex parte Century Motors, 60  
R v Greenwich LBC ex parte Patterson, 40  
R v Gregory, 53  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Ajayi, 70  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Boulbour, CA, 83  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Decordova, 50  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Evenbray Ltd, 6  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Fell, 86  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte K, CA, 66  
R v Hackney LBC ex parte Tonnacodi, 73  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Avdic, 57; CA, 69  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Beddowes, 1  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Fleck, 71  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Hussain, 30  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Llunga-Llunga, 56; CA, 57  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Luisi, 25  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte O'Sullivan, 29  
R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte PP, 15  
R v Hammersmith and Fulham LBC ex parte Quigley, 83  
R v Hammersmith LBC ex parte M, 59; CA, 61  
R v (1) Hammersmith & Fulham LBC (2) SoS for Work & Pensions ex parte Waite, CA, 94  
R v Haringey LBC ex parte Ayub, 43  
R v Haringey LBC ex parte Karaman, 66  
R v Haringey LBC ex parte Muslu, 86  
R v Haringey LBC ex parte Sampaio, 75  
R v Harrow LBC ex parte Carter, 43  
R v Harrow LBC ex parte Cobham, HL, 96  
R v Harrow LBC ex parte Fahia, 59; CA, 69; HL, 70  
R v Havant BC ex parte Marten, 58  
R v Herefordshire DC ex parte Smith, 3  
R v Hertfordshire DC ex parte Smith, 26  
R v Highbury Corner Justices ex parte Uchendu, 43  
R v Highbury Corner Magistrates Court ex parte Edwards, 48  
R v Hillingdon BC ex parte Tinn, 10  
R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Bax, 43  
R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte H, 10  
R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Rahim, CA, 84  
R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Thomas, 3  
R v HM Treasury ex parte British Telecom, ECJ, 56  
R v Hounslow LBC ex parte R, 61  
R v Inner London Crown Court ex parte Bentham, 15

R v Inverness DC ex parte Stewart, 28  
R v Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd ex parte Weyell & others, 40  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Adigun, 12  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Araya, 56; CA, 57  
R v Islington LBC ex parte B, 69  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Batantu, 86  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Bibi, 58  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Blissett, 70  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Degnan, CA, 73  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Hassan, 50  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Hinds, 49  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Okocha, 70  
R v Islington LBC ex parte Thomas, 69  
R v Jones, 38  
R v Kearns, CA, 92  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Amarfio, 47; CA, 50  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Assister, 60  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Bayani, 16; CA, 20  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Ben-El-Mabrouk, 47; CA, 51  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Brandt, 54  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Byfield, 74  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Campbell, 51  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Doran, 24  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Ghebreslasie Ghebregiorgis, 54  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Goodson, 7  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Kassam, 46; CA, 51  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Kihara, 56; CA, 57  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Korneva, 60  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Kujtim, CA, 77  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Minton, 10  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Rouass, 74  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Silchenstedt, 65  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Westwoods, 52  
R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Woolrich, 7  
R v Kent CC ex parte Salisbury and Pierre, 79  
R v Kettering BC and English Churches Housing Group ex parte Hossack, 96  
R v Khan, CA, 91  
R v Kingswood BC ex parte Smith-Morse, 48  
R v Knowsley MBC ex parte O'Toole, 76  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte A, CA, 71  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Ashley, 64  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Campbell, 47  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Clayhope Properties Ltd, 5  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte El Yemlahi, 95  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Mani, 97  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Miah, 48  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Njomo, 56  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte P, 59; CA, 61  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Sarhangi, 74  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Tai Mui Ly, 1

R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Trabi, 73  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Vaglivello, 20  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Walters, 41  
R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Woodburne, 69  
R v Leeds CC ex parte Adamiec & Adamiec, 32  
R v Leeds CC ex parte Collier, 73  
R v Leeds Justices ex parte Meikleham, 44  
R v Legal Aid Board ex parte Bruce, 25; CA, 28  
R v Leicester Justices ex parte Barrow, 24; CA, 27  
R v Lewisham LBC ex parte Creppy, 32  
R v Lewisham LBC ex parte Dolan, 35  
R v Lincoln Justices ex parte Count, 52  
R v Lincolnshire CC ex parte Atkinson, 53  
R v Liverpool Crown Court ex parte Cooke, 56  
R v London Borough of Barking & Dagenham ex parte Okuneye, 49  
R v London Borough of Barnet ex parte Grumbridge, 34  
R v London Borough of Barnet ex parte O'Connor, 24  
R v London Borough of Brent ex parte Barnes, 38  
R v London Borough of Brent ex parte Blatt, 34  
R v London Borough of Croydon ex parte Easom, 39  
R v London Borough of Lambeth ex parte Bodunrin, 37  
R v London Borough of Southwark ex parte Cordwel, 41  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Abdul Subhan, 34  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Ali, 38  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Begum, 32; CA, 35; HL, 38  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Bibi, 38  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Byas, 38  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Hasib Ullah, 37  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Jalika Begum, 34  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Mohib Ali, 38  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Nadia Saber, 35  
R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Rahman, 35  
R v London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal ex parte Daejan Properties, 85  
R v London RAC ex parte Cadogan Estates, 63  
R v London Rent Assessment Committee ex parte Lester, 99  
R v London Rent Assessment Committee ex parte Morris, 88; CA, 98  
R v Lord Chancellor ex parte Lightfoot, 70  
R v Mabbott, 6  
R v Maidstone BC ex parte Bunce, 45  
R v Manchester CC ex parte Stennett, HL, 96  
R v Marshall, 23  
R v Medina BC ex parte Dee, 33  
R v Merton BC ex parte Ruffle, 12  
R v Merton LBC ex parte Sembi, 76  
R v Mid Glamorgan Health Services ex parte Martin, 42  
R v Middlesbrough Council ex parte Holmes, 50  
R v Midlands Electricity Board ex parte Busby & Williamson, 7  
R v Ministry of Defence ex parte Smith, 51; CA, 55  
R v Modupe, 25  
R v Mole Valley DC ex parte Burton, 10

R v Mungroo, CA, 64  
R v N Cornwall DC ex parte Singer, 50  
R v Nash, 23  
R v Newark Justices ex parte Keenaghan, 61  
R v Newcastle-upon-Tyne Justices ex parte Devine, 68  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Aguiar, 97  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Begum and Ali, 77  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Begum, 56  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Bones, 40  
R v Newham LBC ex parte C, 77  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Campbell, 41  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Dada, CA, 50  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Dale, 47  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Gentle, 46  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Gorenkin, 64  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Kaur, 65  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Laronde, 44  
R v Newham LBC ex parte McIlroy, 27  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture, 71  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Miah, 55  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Micah, 71  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Morris, 95  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.1), 73  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.3), 74  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.5), 73  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Patrick, 86  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Plastin, 71  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Ruksana Khan and Farzana Hussain, 82  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Sacipima, 78; CA, 85  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Tower Hamlets LBC, 19  
R v Newham LBC ex parte Ugbo, 42  
R v North and East Devon Health Authority ex parte Coughlan, CA, 76  
R v Northampton BC ex parte Carpenter, 40  
R v Northampton BC ex parte Clarkson, 33  
R v Northavon DC ex parte Palmer, 40, 43; CA, 52  
R v Northavon DC ex parte Smith, 39; HL, 45  
R v Nottingham CC ex parte Caine, 57  
R v Nottingham CC ex parte Costello, 13  
R v Nottingham CC ex parte Edwards, 73  
R v Nottingham CC ex parte SB and AB, 88  
R v Oldham Justices ex parte Garrity, 4  
R v Oldham MBC ex parte G, 33; HL, 38  
R v Oxford CC ex parte Doyle, 68  
R v Parking Adjudicator ex parte Wandsworth LBC, 60  
R v Passmore, CA, 125  
R v Penwith DC ex parte Burt, 19  
R v Penwith DC ex parte Menear, 30  
R v Peterborough CC ex parte Carr, 18  
R v Pharmaceutical Society of GB ex parte Sokoh, 2  
R v Phillips, 14

R v Piper, 52  
R v Poole BC ex parte Cooper, 47  
R v Port Talbot BC ex parte McCarthy, 27  
R v Powys CC ex parte Hambridge (Secretary for Health), CA, 72  
R v Preston Justices & another ex parte McCosh, 49  
R v Reading BC ex parte Glenton, 18  
R v Redbridge Magistrates ex parte Guppy, 55  
R v Redcar & Cleveland BC ex parte Armstrong, HL, 96  
R v Rent Officer of Kensington and Chelsea ex parte Hartley, 87  
R v Rent Service ex parte Cumpsty, 100  
R v Rent Service ex parte Saadat, Dinsdale, Wilson & Shaw, CA, 91  
R v Richmond LBC ex parte H, 86  
R v Richmond LBC ex parte Stubbs, 12  
R v Rochester upon Medway CC ex parte Williams, 44  
R v Rugby BC ex parte Hunt, 34  
R v Rushcliffe BC ex parte Summerson & Buckley, 43  
R v Rushmoor BC ex parte Barrett, 2; CA, 8  
R v Saint Edmundsbury BC ex parte Sandys, CA, 71  
R v Sandwell BC ex parte Cashmore, 40  
R v Sandwell MBC ex parte Lyn, 37  
R v Secretary of State ex parte Clarke & others, 8  
R v Secretary of State ex parte Ward, 15  
R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte EOC, 30  
R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte Perkins (No.2), 71  
R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte Perkins, 62  
R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte EOC, 29; CA, 35; HL, 43  
R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith & Perez, 46; CA, 52; ECJ, 73  
R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith (No.2), HL, 79  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte Kensington & Chelsea RBC, 3  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte N Herts DC, 17  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte Newham LBC, 4  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte Shelter & Refugee Council, 59  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte Tower Hamlets LBC, 33; CA, 38  
R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte W Oxfordshire DC, 46  
R v Secretary of State for Health ex parte Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 73  
R v Secretary of State for Health ex parte Richardson, ECJ, 53  
R v Secretary of State for Home Department ex parte Jammeh, 64  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Armstrong, 57  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte B, 57  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Chapman, 55  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte EOC, ECJ, 34  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Golding, 55; CA, 59  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte JCWI, 57  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Moule, 59  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Nessa, 48  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Sarwar & others, 50  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Sherwin, 56  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Sutherland, 60  
R v Secretary of State for Social Security ex parte Taylor, 55

R v Secretary of State for Social Services ex parte CPAG, 8; CA, 10  
R v Secretary of State for Social Services ex parte Stitt, 30  
R v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions and Secretary of State for Wales ex parte Spath Holme, CA, 80; HL, 85  
R v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions ex parte Holding and Barnes, HL, 87  
R v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions ex parte O'Byrne, 83  
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Anufrijeva, HL, 100  
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte M, 96  
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Vitale, 56  
R v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry ex parte Unison, 57  
R v Secretary of State for Transport ex parte Factortame, ECJ, 56  
R v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions ex parte Carson, HL, 111  
R v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions ex parte Hooper, CA, 101; HL, 111  
R v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions ex parte Reynolds, HL, 111  
R v Secretary of the Central Office of the Employment Tribunals ex parte Public Concern at Work, 83  
R v Sefton HBRB ex parte Brennan, 65  
R v Sefton MBC ex parte Cunningham, 28  
R v Sefton MBC ex parte Healis, 45  
R v Sheffield CC ex parte Leek, 48  
R v Shrewsbury & Atcham BC ex parte Griffiths, 43  
R v SIB ex parte Independent Financial Advisers, 51  
R v Slough BC ex parte Khan, 53  
R v Social Fund Inspector ex parte Ali, 38  
R v Social Fund Inspector ex parte Fatima Ahmed Mohammed, 41  
R v Social Fund Inspector ex parte Ledicott, 51  
R v Social Fund Inspector ex parte Mohammed, 36  
R v Social Fund Inspector ex parte Semplis, 39  
R v Social Fund Officer ex parte Hewson, 51  
R v Social Security Appeal Tribunal ex parte O'Hara, 47  
R v Social Security Commissioners ex parte Esmail, 83  
R v Solihull Metropolitan HBRB ex parte Simpson, 43  
R v South Hams DC ex parte Ash, 75  
R v South Hams DC ex parte Gibb, 42  
R v South Holland DC ex parte Baxter, 74  
R v South Tyneside Justices ex parte Martin, 53  
R v Southampton Industrial Tribunal ex parte INS News Group Ltd & Express Newspapers plc, 50  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bannerman & others, 17  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bediako, 62  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bempoa, 94  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Campisi, CA, 73  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Davies, 48  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Hughes, 74  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Hussey, 97  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Melak, 66  
R v Southwark LBC ex parte Solomon, 48  
R v Stockport Justices ex parte Conlon, 61

R v Stockton MBC ex parte Smith, 7  
R v Sunderland CC ex parte Redezeus, 53  
R v Sutton LBC ex parte Harrison, 64  
R v Swale BC ex parte Marchant, 73  
R v Swansea CC ex parte Littler, CA, 71  
R v Swansea DC ex parte Evans, 24  
R v Teignbridge DC ex parte Street, 17  
R v Thanet DC ex parte Groves, 15  
R v Thurrock BC ex parte Wellham, 26  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Abdul Wahid, 89; CA, 93  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Begum, 32, 35 (CA), 38 (HL)  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Bibi, 30  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Bradford, 63  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Camden LBC, 14  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khaliq, 44  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khatun, 41; CA, 48  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khatun, 53  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Kimvono, 90  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Kyere, 30  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Mbidi, 84  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Monaf, 5; CA, 9  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Ojo, 30  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Rouf, 15; CA, 28  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Spencer, 60  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Taylor, 57  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Thrasyvoulou, 21  
R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Udding, Tahid and Curtis, 83  
R v Tynedale DC ex parte Shield, 20  
R v Walsall MBC ex parte Price, 57  
R v Waltham Forest ex parte J, 96  
R v Waltham Forest LBC ex parte Halil Direk & Okkes Arslan, 17  
R v Waltham Forest LBC ex parte Holder, 56  
R v Wandsworth CC ex parte Munn, 48  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Banbury, 5  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Beckwith, CA, 52; HL, 54  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Crooks & others, 49  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Dodia, 71  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Hawthorne, 45  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Lawrie, 69  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Onwudiwe, 45  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Oteng, 39  
R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Wingrove, 59  
R v Warwickshire CC ex parte Johnson, 36  
R v Warwickshire CC ex parte Waller, 42  
R v Wealdon DC ex parte Stratford, 53  
R v Wealdon DC ex parte Wales, 53  
R v West Dorset DC & W Dorset HA ex parte Gerrard, 47  
R v West Dorset DC ex parte Poupard, 8  
R v West Glamorgan CC ex parte Morris & another, 26  
R v West Sussex Rent Officer ex parte Haysport Properties, 86

R v Westminster CC ex parte A, 59; CA, 61  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Ali, 64; CA, 73  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Al-Korsan, 80  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Alouat, 13  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Benniche, 56  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Bishop, 39  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Bishop, 64  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Castelli & Tristan-Garcia, 53; CA, 55  
R v Westminster CC ex parte D'Souza, 56  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Elioua, CA, 74  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Ermakov, 50; CA, 54  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Esmail, 13  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Iqbal, 14  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Jaafer, 71  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Moozary-Oraky, 44  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Mulonso, 49  
R v Westminster CC ex parte N'Dormadingar, 66  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Obeid, 58  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Pavlov, 56; CA, 57  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Reid, 48  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Tansey, 13  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Zafu, 62  
R v Westminster CC ex parte Zaher, 99  
R v Westminster LBC ex parte Geehan & Butler, 57  
R v (1) Westminster CC (2) Southwark LBC ex parte Bantamagbari, 103  
R v Wheeler, 23  
R v Winchester CC ex parte Ashton, 31; CA, 35  
R v Wirral MBC ex parte Bell, 51  
R v Wolverhampton MBC ex parte Watters, 57; CA, 62  
R v Wolverhampton Stipendiary Magistrate ex parte Mould, 35  
R v Worthing BC ex parte Bruce, 33  
R v Wychavon DC ex parte Saunders, 30  
R v Wycombe BC ex parte Homes, 14  
R v Wycombe DC ex parte Hazeltine, 39  
R v Wycombe DC ex parte Mahsood, 10  
R v Wycombe DC ex parte Queenie Homes & Dean Homes, 20  
R v York Magistrates Court ex parte Grimes, 63  
R(W) v Lambeth LBC, CA, 95  
Radcliffe Infirmary NHS Trust v Dr B, 51  
Radley (1984) Ltd v Fox, 23  
Raeuchle v Laimond Properties, CA, 84  
Rafsanjan Pistachio v Reiss, 17  
Raglan Housing Association v Fairclough, CA, 127  
Rahman v Sterling Credit, CA, 82  
Rai v Birmingham City Council, 44  
Rainey v Greater Glasgow Health Board, 2  
Rakhit v Carty, 18  
Ralton v Havering College of Further & Higher Education, 89  
Ramsden v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 97  
Ramsey v Walkers Snack Foods, 107

Rank Xerox (UK) v Stryczek, 53  
Rank Xerox v Churchill & others, 10  
Rankine & another v American Express Services Europe & others, 129  
Rao v Civil Aviation Authority, 44  
Rasiah Pirabakaran v Navichandra Manibhai Patel, CA, 121  
Raspin v United News Shops, 72  
Rastin v British Steel plc, 43  
Ratcliffe v N Yorkshire CC, HL, 51, 52  
Ratcliffe v Sandwell MBC, 94  
Ravenseft Properties v Hall, CA, 92  
RCO Support Services and Aintree Hospital Trust v UNISON, 82; CA, 93  
RD, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, 132  
Re a Bankrupt (No.145/95), 54  
Re a Bankrupt (No.622/1995), 60  
Re a debtor (46/1998), 70  
Re a debtor (510/97), 69  
Re a debtor (620/97), 69  
Re a debtor (90/1997), 71  
Re a Debtor (No 32/1991) (No. 2), 44  
Re a Debtor (No 88/1991), 33  
Re a Debtor (No.1/1987), 9  
Re a Debtor (No.10/1988), 12  
Re a Debtor (No.10/1992), 49  
Re a Debtor (No.106/1992), 32  
Re a Debtor (No.214/ 1995), 56  
Re a Debtor (No.2389/1989), 27  
Re a Debtor (No.32/1993), 43  
Re a Debtor (No.340/1992), 40; CA, 50  
Re a Debtor (No.415/1993), 43  
Re a Debtor (No.490/1991), 32  
Re a debtor (No.647/1999), 81  
Re a Debtor (No.68/1992), 39  
Re a Debtor (No.87/1993) (No.2), 52  
Re B (a minor), 35  
Re Bayoli, CA, 71  
Re Bremner (a bankrupt), 81  
Re Cavalier Insurance, 14  
Re Citro (a bankrupt), 20  
Re Clarke (Deceased), 28  
Re Company No. 11212/1995, 50  
Re Company's application, 17  
Re Cove (a debtor), 23  
Re Donella Cohen, 34  
Re F (minors), 42  
Re Flint (a bankrupt), 33  
Re G (a minor), 35  
Re Gilmartin (a bankrupt), 14  
Re Gorman (a bankrupt), 20  
Re Greenacre Publishing Ltd, 72  
Re Haemophilic Litigation, 22

Re Hartlebury Printers Ltd & others (In Liquidation), 36  
Re Horne (a bankrupt), CA, 81  
Re J (a minor), 36  
Re Johnson, 118  
Re Landau (a bankrupt), 61  
Re Lord Chancellor's Department, C301/94, 56  
Re M, 43  
Re Marr (a bankrupt), 23  
Re Nolton Business Centres, 109  
Re Priors Garage (Walthamstow) Ltd (Anwar v Giblett), 92  
Re Rayatt, 71, 73  
Re Robertson, 19  
Re S, 15  
Re Selectmove Ltd, 42  
Re Smith (a bankrupt) ex parte Braintree DC, 17, 23 (HL)  
Re Stapleford, 73  
Re Susan Wilson, 34  
Re Truck Equipment Ltd, 18  
Re Vedmay Ltd, 42  
Re Wilkinson (a debtor), 12  
Re Williams (a bankrupt), 64  
Redbank Schools v Abdollahzadeh, 54  
Redfearn v Serco t/a West Yorkshire Transport Service, CA, 117  
Reed (1) and Bull Information Systems (2) v Stedman, 74  
Rees v Apollo Watch Repairs, 55  
Rees v Davis, 5  
Regalgrand v Dickerson & Wade, CA, 65  
Regentford v Thanet DC, 103  
Reid v Camphill Engravers, 19  
Reilly v Merseyside Regional Health Authority, 44  
Remilien v Secretary of State for Social Security, 57; CA, 57; HL, 66  
Rentokil Ltd v Machin, 15  
Restick v Crickmore, 42  
Reston Ltd v Hudson & others, 23  
Reuben v Brent LBC, 79  
Reuters Ltd v Atkinson, 26  
Revill v Newbury, 54  
Rex Stewart etc Ltd v Parker, 11  
Rexhaven v Nurse, 52  
Rey v FNCB Ltd, 117  
Reynolds v Sevenoaks DC, 22  
Rhys-Harper v Relaxion Group, CA, 87; HL, 100  
Rabee v Norrie, CA, 89  
Rice v Bryson Meats Ltd, 18  
Richards v Ipswich BC, CA, 128  
Richardson v Midland Heart Ltd, 130  
Richardson v Pitt Stanley, 47  
Richardson v West Lindsey DC, 15  
Richmond Court (Swansea) v Williams, CA, 123  
Richmond v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 117

Rickard v PB Glass Supplies Ltd, 15  
Ridehalgh v Horsefield & another, 32  
Ridgehill Housing Association v Chapman and Field, 103  
Ridgeway Motors v ALTS, CA, 109  
Ridgway & another v National Coal Board, 2  
Ridout v TC Group, 71  
Rigby v Ferodo Ltd, 3  
Rihal v Ealing LBC, CA, 106  
Rinner-Kuhn v FWW Spezialgebaudereinigung, ECJ, 17  
Risch v McFee, 22  
Riverside Housing Association v White, 109  
Roach v CSB Moulds Ltd, 26  
Roadchef Ltd v Hastings, 9  
Roberts v Birds Eye Walls Ltd, 24  
Roberts v Leonard, 50  
Robertson v Bexley Community Centre t/a Leisure Link, CA, 99  
Robertson v Magnet Ltd (Retail) Division, 42  
Robinson v Gordons Pharmacy Ltd, 27  
Robinson v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 121  
Robinson v Post Office, 84  
Rockfon A/S v Specialarbejderforbundet I Danmark (ECJ), 56  
Rock-It-Cargo v Green, 65  
Rodriguez Cabellero v Fondo De Garantia Salarial (Fogosa), ECJ, 97  
Rogan & another v Woodfield Building Services Ltd, 46  
Rogers v Vosper Thorneycroft Ltd, 13  
Rogers v Wicks & Wilson, 8  
Rogerson v Wigan MBC, 109  
Rolls Royce v Doughty, 7  
Rolph v Zolan, 39  
Ropaigealach v Allied Irish Bank, CA, 90  
Ropaigealach v Barclays Bank, CA, 73  
Rosa v Charles, 33  
Rose v AIB Group, 99  
Ross v Raphael School Trust, 19  
Rossiter v Pendragon, 86; CA, 93  
Rothwell v Pelikan Hardcopy Scotland, 115  
Rotstart De Hertaine v J Benoidt SA & IGC Housing Service SA, ECJ, 61  
Rous v Mitchell, 23  
Rovenska v GMC, CA, 63  
Rover Finance v Siddons, 95  
Rowe v South West Rent Assessment Panel, 96  
Rowell v Hubbard Group Services Ltd, 50  
Rowley v Rugby BC, CA, 124  
Royal and Sun Alliance Insurance Group v Payne, 114  
Royal Bank of Scotland v Ettridge (No.2), HL, 89  
Royal Bank of Scotland v Luwum, CA, 129  
Royal Bank of Scotland v Miller, CA, 95  
Royal Bank of Scotland v Newton, 47  
Royal Liverpool Children's NHS Trust v Dunsby, 116  
Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital Trust v Howard, 96

Royal Ordnance plc v Pilkington, 11  
Royscot Trust Ltd v Rogerson & others, 25  
Rubenstein & Roskin v McGloughlin, 60  
Rugamer v Sony Music Entertainment UK Ltd, McNicol v Balfour Beatty Rail  
Maintenance Ltd, 89  
Runkee & White v UK, ECHR, 123  
Runnymede BC v Secretary of State for the Environment & another, 42  
Rushmoor BC v Reynolds, 30  
Rushton v Harcross Timber & Building Supplies Ltd, 36  
Russell v Wilson, 14  
Rutherford v Harvest Town Circle, 87  
Rutherford v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry (No.2), CA, 107; HL, 117  
Rutherford v Towncircle Ltd t/a Harvest & SoS for Trade & Industry (No.2), 95  
Rutten v Cross Medical, ECJ, 62  
Ruxley Electronics v Forsythe, CA, 43; HL, 51

## **S**

S v Floyd (The Equality and Human Rights Commission, intervening), CA, 132  
S v Poole BC, 96  
S v West Oxfordshire DC, 61  
Saavedra v Aceground Ltd t/a Terrazza Est, 50  
Safeway Stores v Burrell, 62  
Sahardid v Camden LBC, CA, 112  
Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd v Hitt, CA, 96  
Sajid v Sussex Muslim Society, CA, 91  
Saker v Secretary of State (R(I)2/88), 21  
Saleem v Secretary of State for Social Security, CA, 88  
Salford County Court v Garner, CA, 109  
Salih v Enfield Health Authority, 25  
Salinas v Bear Stearns International Holdings, 111  
Salvesen v Simons, 43  
Sampson & another v Wilson & others, 46; CA, 51  
Sanctuary Housing Association v Baker, CA, 68  
Sanders v Templar, 38  
Sandwell MBC v Bujok, 16, 20  
Sandwell MBC v Hensley, CA, 129  
Sandwell MBC v Jones, CA, 92  
Santamera v Express Cargo Forwarding, 98  
Sarker v S Tees Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, 62  
Savage v Saxena, 68  
Savill v Goodall, 39  
Sawa v Houssein, 57  
Saxby v McKinley, 60  
Scally & others v Southern Health & Social Services Board, 29  
Schmidt v Spar und Leihkasse der Fruheren Amter Bordesholm, 44  
Schofield v Church Army, 2  
Schooler v Commissioners of Customs & Excise, 52  
Schultz v Esso Petroleum, CA, 76  
Scotch Premier Meat v Burns, 83  
Scott & others v Coalite Fuels & Chemicals Ltd, 9

Scott v Scott, 31  
Scottbridge Construction Ltd v Wright, CS, 96  
Scottish Daily Records & Sunday Mail (1986) v Laird, 60  
Scottish Equitable plc v Thompson & Pearson, CA, 98  
Scottish Midland Co-op Ltd v Cullion, 26  
Scotts Company (UK) Ltd v Budd, 97  
Sealace Shipping v Oceanvoice Ltd, 22  
Sebastian Coleman v Caute, 25  
Second WRVS Housing Society v Blair, 3  
Secretarial Nominee Co v Thomas, CA, 113  
Secretary of State for Defence v MacDonald, 84; CS, 87  
Secretary of State for Education and Employment v Bearman, 71  
Secretary of State for Employment v Levy, 16  
Secretary of State for Employment v Mann, 53  
Secretary of State for Employment v Spence & others, 1  
Secretary of State for Scotland v Taylor, 65  
Secretary of State for Scotland v Wright & Hannah, 26  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Fairey (aka Halliday), CA, 51; HL, 62  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Graham, ECJ, 52  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Harmon, CA, 69  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Henderson, CA, 74  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Julien (R(IS)13/92), 44  
Secretary of State for Social Security v Mallinson, CA, 38  
Secretary of State for Social Security v McKay, 57  
Secretary of State for Social Security v McSherry, 44  
Secretary of State for the Home Department v (1) Limbuela (2) Tesema (3) Adam and Shelter (intervening party), CA, 107  
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry v Bottrill, 67  
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry v Cook, 61  
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry v Forde, CA, 63  
Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Bottrill, CA, 74  
Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Lassman, CA, 81  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Adams, CA, 101  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Balding, CA, 126  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Batty, CA, 115  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Bhakta, CA, 115  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Bobezes, CA, 110  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Chiltern District Council & Warden Housing Association, CA, 98  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Hourigan, CA, 97  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Miah, CA, 100  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Nelligan, CA, 98  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Perkins and Ryedale DC, CA, 109  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Selby, 116, CA  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Tribunal of Social Security Commissioners and Gillies, CS, 102; HL, 115  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v W, CA, 112  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Walker-Fox, CA, 115  
Secretary of State for Work & Pensions v Wilson, 117, CA  
Secretary of State v Thomas, CA, 22; ECJ, 38

Secretary of State v Tunncliffe (R(G)4/91), 24  
Secretary of Trade and Industry v Walden, 79  
Securicor Guarding v Fraser Security, 59  
Securicor Ltd v Smith, 15  
Securicor Omega Express v GMB, 103  
Securum Finance v Ashton, 75  
Sefton Holdings Ltd v Cairns, 7  
Sekhon v Alissa, 13  
Selfridges v Malik, 65  
Selkent Bus Co v Moore, 60  
Sellars Arenascene v Connolly, CA, 86  
Sen v Headley, 25  
Servite Houses v Perry, 107  
Setiya v E Yorkshire Health Authority, 51  
Shackell v UK (EctHR), 84  
Shaftsbury Housing Association v Rickards, 113  
Shah v Mori, 103  
Shala v Birmingham CC, CA, 125  
Shamoon v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary, HL, 98  
Sharky & another v Secretary of State for the Environment & another, 23  
Sharp v Brent LBC, CA, 102  
Sharp v Caledonia Group Services, 115  
Sharrock v CAO, 26  
Shawkat v Nottingham City Hospitals NHS Trust (No.2), CA, 88  
Shearson (trustee in bankruptcy) v Boddy, 109  
Sheffield CC v Barnes, 51  
Sheffield CC v Blaskey & Sallis Properties Ltd, 1  
Sheffield CC v Emma Smart, CA, 96  
Sheffield CC v Green, 42  
Sheffield CC v Jepson, 39  
Sheffield CC v Wall, CA, 120  
Shepherd's Bush Housing Association v HATS Co-operative, 30  
Shergold v Fieldway Medical Centre, 115  
Sheriff v Klyne Tugs (Lowestoft), CA, 75  
Sherry v Sherry & another, 26  
Shine v General Guarantee Corporation, 10  
Shipman v Shipman, 26  
Shire v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 102  
Shogun Finance v Hudson, CA, 87, HL, 102  
Shomer v B & R Residential Lettings Ltd, 34  
Shrewsbury & Atcham BC v Evans, CA, 69  
Shtun v Zaljejska, 56  
Sidhu v Aerospace Composite Technology, 77  
Sillars v Charrington Fuels Ltd, 9, 13 (CA)  
Simon v Brimham Associates, 6  
Simpson v Law Society, 5  
Simrad v Scott, 61  
Sinclair Roche & Temperley v Heard, 107  
Sindicato de Asistencia Publica (Sinap) v Conselleria de Sanidad y Consumo de la Generalidad Valenciana, ECJ, 84

Singh (Santosh Kumari) v Atombrook Ltd (t/a Sterling Travel), 16  
Singla v Brown & Malden-Browne, 124  
Siraj-Eldin v Campbell Middleton Burness & Dickson, 14  
Siu v Eastern Insurance Co Ltd, 42  
Skilleter & othes v Charles, 32  
Skipton Building Society v Stott, CA, 82  
Slater v Lewisham LBC, CA, 119  
Slaughter v Brewer & Sons Ltd, 23  
Smith & others v Avdel Systems Ltd (ECJ), 47  
Smith & others v Bexley LBC, 27  
Smith & others v Secretary of State for Trade & Industry (London Development Agency, interested party), 125  
Smith and Grady v UK, ECJ, 77  
Smith v A J Morrisroes & Sons, 109  
Smith v Bank of Scotland, HL, 64  
Smith v Bush, CA, 4 (CA); HL, 14  
Smith v Gardner Merchant, 57, CA, 70  
Smith v Glasgow DC, 6  
Smith v Grampian Regional Council, 24  
Smith v Littlewoods Ltd, 4  
Smith v Lloyds TSB, CA, 83  
Smith v Phil's TV Service, 27  
Smith v Safeway plc, 49; CA, 56  
Smith v Simpson & Co, CA, 80  
Smith v Smith & another, 9  
Smith v Smith, 25  
Smith v Stages, CA, 7; HL, 14  
Smith v Waterman, Waterman and Bostock, 102  
Smolen v Tower Hamlets LBC, 126  
Snares v Adjudication Officer, ECJ, 66  
Sneesby & another v Goldings, 49  
Snowball v Gardner Merchant, 6  
Snowden v Hackney LBC, CA, 86  
Society of Licensed Victuallers v Chamberlain, 16  
Socimer International Bank v Standard Bank London, 121  
Sogbetun v Hackney LBC, 72  
Solectron Scotland v Roper, 103  
Solomon v Solomon, 98  
Somerset County Council v Barber, CA, 92  
Somjee v United Kingdom, ECJ, 96  
Soros & Soros v Davison & Davison, 45  
Soteriou v Ultrachem, 107  
Sougrin v Haringey Health Authority, 33  
South Ayrshire Council v Milligan, CS, 97  
South Ayrshire Council v Morton, CS, 92  
South Buckinghamshire DC v Porter, CA, 92  
South Central Trains v Rodway, 107; CA, 112  
South Glamorgan CC v Griffiths, 31  
South Kirkham v E Mason, 40  
South Lakeland DC v Secretary of State for Environment & another, 31

South Wales Electricity v Director General of Electricity Supply, 77  
South West Launderettes Ltd v Laidler, 1  
Southampton & SW Hants Health Authority v Marshall, 16  
Southampton City Council v Randall, 115  
Southern & District Finance plc v Barnes, 46; CA, 50  
Southwark LBC v Afolabi, CA, 98  
Southwark LBC v Austin, CA, 133  
Southwark LBC v Ince, 14  
Southwark LBC v Kofi-Adu, CA, 118  
Southwark LBC v Logan, 53  
Southwark LBC v Long, CA, 94  
Southwark LBC v McIntosh, 96  
Southwark LBC v O'Brien, 58  
Southwark LBC v Sarfo, CA, 78  
Southwark LBC v Storrie (CA), 66  
Sovereign House S S Ltd v Savage, 14  
Sovereign Leasing plc v Ali, 25  
Spargos Mining v Atlantic Capital Corp, 54  
Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the North Western RAC & Bigio, 89  
Spath Holme Ltd v Greater Manchester & Lancashire RAC, 46, 52 (CA)  
Spath Holme Ltd v UK, ECJ, 96  
Specialarbejderforbundet: Danmark v Dansk Industri (ECJ), 51  
Spencer v Cosmos Air Holidays, 17  
Spring v Guardian Assurance plc & others, 32; CA, 36; HL, 46  
Springette v Defoe, 32, 33  
Springfield Investments Ltd v Bell, 21  
Square D Ltd v Cook, 31  
St Basil's Centre Ltd v McCrossan, 29  
St Brice v Southwark LBC, CA, 88  
St Ermines Property Ltd v Tingay, 99  
St Helens MBC v Barnes, CA, 121  
St Helens MBC v Derbyshire, 107, CA, 113  
St John of God (Care Services) Ltd v Brooks & others, 33  
St Mary's Mansions Ltd v Limegate Investments Co Ltd, CA, 98  
Stack v Dowden, HL, 123  
Stafford v CAO, HL, 87  
Staffordshire CC v Secretary of State, 8  
Staffordshire Sentinel Newspapers v Potter, 107  
Staghold v Takeda, 114  
Stan v Stadium Housing Association, 123  
Stanley Cole (Wainfleet) Ltd v Sheridan, 97  
Stanley v Ealing LBC, CA, 102  
Stansbury v Datapulse, CA, 105  
Starling v Lloyds TSB, CA, 82  
Starmark Enterprises Ltd v CPL Distribution Ltd, CA, 90  
Starmer v Bradbury, 44  
State Bank of New South Wales t/a Colonial State Bank v Harrison, CA, 95  
Staves v Leeds C C, 24  
Steadman v Scholfield, 33  
Steane v CAO, CA, 54; HL, 58

Stec v United Kingdom, ECJ, 118  
Steel Linings Ltd v Bibby & Co, 39  
Steel Stockholders (Birmingham) Ltd v Kirkwood, 42  
Steele & another v McMahon & another, 23  
Steele v Mooney, CA, 109  
Stein v Blake, 52  
Sterling Credit v Rahman, 97  
Stevedoring and Haulage Services v Fuller, CA, 88  
Stevens v Bexley Health Authority, 15  
Stevens v Blaenau Gwent CBC, CA, 108  
Stevens v Bower, CA, 108  
Stevenson v Rogers, CA, 78  
Steward v Kingston upon Thames LBC, CA, 126  
Steward v Rapley, 13  
Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer Ltd, 31  
Stewart v Cleveland Quest (Engineering) Ltd, 46  
Stewart v Lambeth LBC, CA, 95  
Stinton v Stinton & another, 34  
Stirling v Dietsmann Management Systems Ltd, 28  
Stirling v Leadenhall Residential, CA, 88  
Stockley v Knowsley MBC, 3  
Stoke on Trent CC v Frost & another, 30  
Stoke on Trent CC v Walley, CA, 120  
Stokes v Anderson, 28  
Stonebridge Housing Action Trust v Gabbidon, 99  
Stour Valley Builders v Stuart, 42  
Stovin v Wise (Norfolk CC, third party), 43 (CA), 59 (HL)  
Stovold v Barlows, 53  
Street v Peacock, 58  
Stribling v Wickham & others, 14  
Stroud v Weir Associates Ltd, 3  
Strouthos v London Underground, CA, 106  
Strudwick v IBL, 11  
Suflex Ltd v Thomas & others, 7  
Sumeghova v McMahon, CA, 100  
Summers v Summers, 5  
Sunderland Polytechnic v Evans, 39  
Surrey CC & another v Bredero Homes Ltd, 30  
Surrey CC v Lamond, CA, 75  
Surrey CC v Lewis, 1  
Surrey Police v Marshall, 96  
Sussex Investments Ltd & another v Jackson & another, 40  
Sutherland v Hatton, CA, 92 Sutherland v Network Appliance, 85  
Sutherland v Wall, 45  
Swaddling v Adjudication Officer, ECJ, 73  
Swain v Hillman, CA, 77  
Swanbrae Ltd v Elliot, 3  
Swansea CC v Glass, 31  
Sweeney v J&S Henderson (Concessions), 74  
Swindon BC v Aston, CA, 99

Swingcastle Ltd v Gibson, 19 (CA), 26 (HL)  
Swiss Bank Corporation v Parry & others, 49  
Swithland Motors v Peck, 31  
Sykes v Harry, CA, 87  
Symphony Group plc v Hodgson, 39  
Syrett v Carr & Neave (a firm), 22  
Szoma v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 100; HL, 113

## T

T C Cleaning Contractors v Joy, 59  
Taboada v Nelson Ltd, 33  
Tagro v Cafane & Patel, 17, 25 (CA)  
Tandridge DC v Bickers, CA, 74  
Target Home Loans Ltd v Clothier & Clothier, 38  
Targett v Torfaen BC, 30  
Taylor Gordon & Co v Timmons, 103  
Taylor v East Midlands Offender Employment, 84  
Taylor v Secretary of State for Scotland, HL, 82  
Taylorplan Services v Jackson, 57  
Teinaz v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 95  
Tele Danmark A/S v Handels-OG Kontorfunktionaerernes Forbund I Danmark (HK) acting on behalf of Brandt-Nielson, ECJ, 90  
Telephone Information Services Ltd v Wilkinson, 26  
Televantos v McCulloch, 27  
Temco Service Industries SA v Imzilyen, ECJ, 91  
Ten Oever v Stichting (ECJ), 41  
Terry v Tower Hamlets LBC, 116  
TGWU v Webber, 23  
Thames Water Utilities v Reynolds, 56  
Thomas v Bunn, 23  
Thomas v CAO (R(SB)17/87), 11  
Thomas v Ken Thomas Ltd, CA, 123  
Thomas v Robinson, 96  
Thomas v The National Training Partnership, 57  
Thompson v Elmbridge BC, 6  
Thompson v SCS Consulting Ltd, 90  
Thompson v Thompson, 31  
Thompson v Walon Car Delivery (1) & BRS Automotive (2), 63  
Thomson Tour Operations v Birch, 73  
Throssell v Leeds City Council, 41  
Times Newspapers v Chohan, CA, 88  
Tinsley v Milligan, 28 (CA), 40 (HL)  
Tipper v Roofnec Ltd, 15  
Tkachuk v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 123  
TNT Express (UK) Ltd v Downes & others, 40  
Toff v McDowell & others, 41  
Tolson v Governing Body of Mixenden, 102  
Topp v London Bus, 29; CA, 38  
Tower Boot Co v Jones, 53  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Abadie, 23

Tower Hamlets LBC v Abdi, 36  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Ali, 32  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Ayinde, CA, 47  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Azad, CA, 65  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Begum, CA, 93; HL, 98  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Deugi, CA, 118  
Tower Hamlets LBC v Miah, 30  
Town & Country BS v Julien, 38  
Toys R Us v Gloucestershire CC, 43  
Trafford v Sharpe & Fisher (Building Supplies) Ltd, 45  
Tramp Leasing Ltd v Turnbull, 27  
Tran v Greenwich Vietnam Community, CA, 95  
Transco (formerly BG) v O'Brien, CA, 93  
Transport and General Workers Union v (1) James McKinnon (2) JR (Haulage), 88  
Trevelyan Ltd v Norton, 23  
Trotter v Forth Ports Authority, 29  
Trustees of Henry Smith's Charity v Kyriakou, 20  
Trustees of Thomas Pocklington v Hill & Sullivan, 15  
Tsangalos v Amalgamated Chemicals, 59  
TSB Bank plc v Botham, 49  
TSB Bank plc v Camfield, 48  
TSB Bank v Harris, 79  
Tuohy v Bell (trustee in bankruptcy), CA, 93  
Tyler v Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea, 29

## **U**

UCB Corporate Services v Williams, CA, 93  
Ugiagbe v Southwark LBC, CA, 133  
Ujima Housing Association v Ansah (CA), 65  
Ujima Housing Association v Smith, 88  
Ulsterbus Ltd v Henderson, 15  
Unicorn Consultancy Services v Westbrook, 79  
United Arab Emirates v Abdelghafar, 50  
United Bank Ltd v Akhtar, 17  
United Bank of Kuwait plc v Sahib & others, 45  
United Dominions Trust Ltd v Shellpoint Trustees, 36  
United Kingdom v Council of the European Union (ECJ), 59  
University of Huddersfield v Wolff, 105; 106  
University of Oxford v (1) Humphreys and (2) Associated Examining Board, CA, 79  
Uratemp Ventures Ltd v Collins, CA, 80; HL, 89  
Uratemp Ventures v Carrell, CA, 80  
Uttamchandani v Central Bank of India, 14

## **V**

V G Fraulo & Co Ltd v Papa, 41  
Vale of White Horse DC v Mirmalek-Sani & Mirmalek-Sani, 40  
Van Aken v Camden LBC, CA, 104  
Van Cant v Rijksdienst Voor Pensioenen, ECJ, 43  
Van den Akkers & others v Stichting Shell Pensioenfonds, ECJ, 47  
Van Haarlam & another v Kasner & another, 35

Various v Black, 52  
Various v MM, HW & CE, 66  
Various v S, 42  
Various v Walker, 61  
Vaughan v McKenzie, 26  
Vaughan-Armatrading v Sarsah, 54  
Vento v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire (No.2) 97  
Verdin v Harrods, 116  
Verein fur Konsumenteninformation v Osterreichische Kreditversicherungs AG, ECJ, 69  
Verholen v SV Amsterdam, ECJ, 31  
Verity v Lloyds Bank, 53  
Vernon v Bosley, 60  
Viasystems (Tyneside) v Thermal Transfer (Northern), CA, 114  
Vicary v British Telecommunications, 77  
Viggosdottir v Islandspostur hf, EFTACJ, 93  
Vine v Waltham Forest LBC, CA, 80  
Virgin Net v Harper, 102  
Visa International Service Association v Paul, 103  
Viscount Chelsea v McGirk, 57  
Voice and Script International Ltd v Alghafar, CA, 100  
Voith Turbo v Stowe, 110

## **W**

W A Goold (Pearmak) v McConnell, 53  
W G Clark (Properties) Ltd v Dupre, 30  
Wadsworth v Nagle, 113  
Wain v F Sherwood & Sons Transport, CA, 69  
Waite v Lambeth LBC, 56  
Waitt & another v Morris & another, 47  
Wakefield v Secretary of State for Social Security, CA, 79  
Walden Engineering Co Ltd v Warrener, 40  
Walford v Miles, 33  
Walker v Badcock, CA, 65  
Walker v Birmingham CC, CA, 121  
Walker v Lakhdari, 23  
Walker v Northumberland County Council, 48  
Wall v British Compressed Air Society, 102, CA, 103  
Wallace Bogan & Co v Cove, CA, 64  
Wallis v Wallis, 41  
Walmsley v Ferguson Ltd, 14  
Walter Braund Ltd v Murray, 22  
Walters v Commissioner of Police, HL, 84  
Waltham Forest Community Based Housing Association v Adkins, 88  
Waltham Forest LBC v Omilaju, CA, 108  
Waltham Forest LBC v Partridge, CA, 84  
Waltham Forest LBC v Roberts, CA, 112  
Waltham Forest LBC v Thomas, CA, 25; HL, 34  
Walthamstow BS v Davies, 19  
Walton v Airtours and Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada, CA, 97  
Walton v Independent Living Organisation Ltd, CA, 100

Waltons & Morse v Dorrington, 64  
Wandsworth LBC v Bowes, 26  
Wandsworth LBC v Fadayomi, 6  
Wandsworth LBC v Osei-Bonsu, CA, 74  
Wandsworth LBC v Railtrack plc, CA, 89  
Wandsworth LBC v Winder (No.2), 3  
Wansbeck DC v Marley, 7  
Ward v Warnke, 21  
Warren v Wylie & Wylie, 45  
Warsame v Hounslow LBC, CA, 76  
Watchtower Investments v Payne, CA, 88  
Waters v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, 53; CA, 65  
Watson Rask & Christiansen v ISS Kantineservice A/S (ECJ), 37  
Watson v Murray & Co, 26  
Watson v National Smokeless Fuels, 4  
Watson's, Stennet's, Cobham's and Armstrong's Application for Judicial Review v Richmond BC, Manchester CC, Harrow LBC and Redcar BC, CA, 83  
Watson-Smythe v Secretary of State for the Environment & another, 29  
Watts v Morrow, 23; CA, 28  
Way v Crouch, 112  
Wayling v Jones & others, 40  
Weathersfield t/a Van & Truck Rentals v Sargent, 64  
Webb v EMO Air Cargo (UK) (No. 2), 53  
Webb v Emo Air Cargo (UK) Ltd, 19, CA, 30; HL, 36; ECJ, 47  
Webb v Secretary of State for the Environment & Ipswich BC, 23  
Webb v Secretary of State for the Environment, 53  
Weber v Universal Ogden Services Ltd, ECJ, 92  
Webster v Brunel University (EOC intervening), CA, 110  
Webster v Ellison Circlips, 52  
Weir v National Westminster Bank, 35  
Welcome Foundation v Darby, 59  
Wellcome Trust v Hammad (CA), 65  
Wells v Pickering, 96  
Welsh v Greenwich LBC, CA, 85  
West Bromwich Building Society v Crammer, 97  
West Bromwich Building Society v Wilkinson, CA, 106; HL 111  
West Kent Cold Storage v Hemmings, 16  
West Kent HA v Davies, CA, 72, 74  
West v Kneels Ltd, 1  
West v Secretary of State for Scotland, 34  
West Wiltshire DC v Snelgrove, 69  
Western Web Offset Printers v Independent Media, 53  
Westgate v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, CA, 118  
Westminster C C v Basson, 24  
Westminster CC v Clarke, CA, 26; HL, 31  
Westminster CC v Duke of Westminster & others, 24, 33 (CA)  
Westminster CC v Garcia, CA, 83  
Westminster CC v National Asylum Support Service, 87; CA, 94; HL, 97  
Weston v Vegal Space Systems Ltd, 16  
Whalley v SoS for Work & Pensions, CA, 98

Wheeler v Patel & Golding Group Ltd, 5  
Wheeler v Trustees of St. Mary's, 16  
Whelan t/a Cheers Off Licence v Richardson, 67  
Whellans & Worthy v CAO, 12  
Whent v T Cartledge, 61  
Whiffen v Milham Ford Girls' School, CA, 87  
Whitbread & Co plc v Mills, 12  
Whitbread v Thomas, 8  
Whitbread W Penines Ltd v Reedy, 12  
White & others v CAO, 40  
White v Jones, 46  
White v Lambeth LBC, 51  
White v Riverside Housing Association, CA, 115  
White v Southwark LBC, CA, 131  
White v Worcester Royal Infirmary, 54  
Whitehouse v Chas A Blatchford & Sons, CA, 76  
Whitely v Marton Electrical Ltd, 98  
Whitewater Leisure Management v Barnes, 82  
Whitfield v General Medical Council, 96  
Whittel v MSC, 7  
Wilde v Wilde, 7  
Wilding v British Telecommunications, CA, 95  
Wileman v Minilec Engineering Ltd, 9  
Williams v Birmingham CC, CA, 126  
Williams v Horsham DC, CA, 104  
Williams v Roffey Bros, 17  
Williams v Tower Hamlets, CA, 86  
Williams v Watsons Coaches Ltd, 19  
Williamson v Travel Promotions t/a Voyages Jules Verne (CA), 67  
Willowgreen Ltd v Smithers, 42, 45  
Wilson & others v Boston Fisheries, 5  
Wilson v Circular Distributors, 115  
Wilson v Ethicon, 78  
Wilson v First County Trust (No.2), CA, 86  
Wilson v First County Trust, CA, 84  
Wilson v Graham, 23  
Wilson v Howard, CA, 112  
Wilson v Pegasus (Holidays) London, 12  
Wilson v Robertsons (London), 113; CA, 118  
Wilson v SoS for Trade and Industry, HL, 99  
Wilson v South Kesteven DC, CA, 83  
Wilson v St Helens BC, 56; CA, 64; HL, 71  
Wiluszynski v Tower Hamlets LBC, 15  
Wimbledon & South Western Finance plc v Winning, 35  
Winch v Mid Bedfordshire DC, 99  
Wishart v National Association of CABx, 21  
Witley and District Men's Club v Mackay, 88  
Witt v R MacMillan Construction, 13  
Woking BC v Bystram, 43  
Wood v Coverage Care, 56

Wood v Cunard Line Ltd, 15; CA, 21  
Wood v SoS for Work & Pensions, 97  
Wood v William Ball, 78  
Woodchester Lease Management Services v Swain & Co, CA, 70  
Woodcock v Friends School, 2  
Woodruff v Hambro & others, 26  
Woodrup v Southwark LBC, CA, 97  
Woodward v Abbey National, 113; CA, 119  
Woolwich Building Society v Brown, 55  
Woolwich Building Society v Dickman, 56  
Woolwich Building Society v Inland Revenue Commissioners, 28  
Woolworths plc v Smith, 17  
Worsley v Hollins, 25  
Worthing BC v Secretary of State for Environment & another, 30  
Wren v Eastbourne BC & UK Waste Control Ltd, 40  
Wright v (1) Cherry Tree Finance Ltd (2) Scott, CA, 125  
Wright v Lodge, 34  
Wright v Mortimer, 56  
Wright v Scottbridge Construction, 88  
Wynnwith Engineering Co v Bennett, 91  
Wyre Forest DC v Secretary of State for the Environment, 18

### **X/Y/Z**

X (minors) v Bedfordshire CC, 52  
X v Commission of the European Communities, ECJ, 51  
X v Stevens, 99  
X v Y, 101  
XXX v YYY, CA, 105  
Yates v Thakeham Tiles Ltd, 45  
Yeboah v Crofton, CA, 94  
Yenula Properties Ltd v Naidu, 90; CA, 95  
Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v Meyrick, CA, 96  
York City & District Travel Ltd v Smith, 19  
York v Casey, CA, 68  
Yorkshire Bank Finance v Mulhall, CA, 131  
Yorkshire Bank plc v Hussain, 9  
Yorkshire Bank v Tinsley, CA, 105  
Yorkshire Blood Transfusion Service v Plaskitt, 41  
Young v DHSS, 24  
Young v Post Office, CA, 94  
Zabaxe Ltd v Nicklin, 23  
Zafar v Glasgow CC (HL), 66  
Zaiwalla & Co v Walia, 95  
Zalewska v Department for Social Development, CA (NI), 122; HL, 132  
Zoan v Rouamba, CA, 79  
Zonmor v Islington LBC, CA, 71