

ADVISER INDEX

ABSTRACTS INDEX

SUBJECT INDEX: EMPLOYMENT

This index includes cases appearing in issues 1 – 120. The index reference eg, Hopkins v Norcross, 32 indicates that the abstract appears in Adviser number 32. There are no Employment abstracts in issues 121 – 134.

AGE DISCRIMINATION

Principle of non-discrimination – Member States must uphold at all times, Mangold v Helm, ECJ, 115

COMPENSATION

Accelerated receipt, Brentwood Bros (Manchester) Ltd v Shepherd, CA, 99
Compensation – Injury to feelings, Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police v Vento (No.2), 91
Compensation for future loss of earnings – Wrongdoers should not benefit from their second wrong, HM Prison Service v Beart, 109; CA, 112
Compensation is 'pay', Mediguard Services v Thame, 46
Compensation reductions, Rao v Civil Aviation Authority, 44
Conduct post dismissal, Soros & Soros v Davison & Davison, 45
Contributory fault and reinstatement, Boots Co v Lees-Collier, 2
Credit for incapacity benefit, Morgans v Alpha Plus Security, 110
Disability discrimination - Compensation and medical evidence, Buxton v Equinox Design, 73
Disability discrimination/unfair dismissal – Calculating employee's loss, Aon Training v Dore, CA, 114
Dismissal without notice while sick, Langley v Burlo, 117
Employer's responsibility for ill health, Edwards v Governors of Hanson School, 89
Entitlement to wages during notice period when wages earned from another employer, Hardy v Polk (Leeds), 105
Ex gratia payments, Rushton v Harcross Timber & Building Supplies, 36
Ill health, Devine v Designer Flowers Wholesale Florists Sundries, 42
Injury to feelings caused by dismissal, Dunnachie v Kingston upon Hull Council, CA, 104
Injury to feelings, Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police v Vento (No.2), 91
Invalidity Benefit, Hilton International Hotels (UK) v Faraji, 45
Loss of statutory protection, Muffett v Head, 2
Mitigation of loss – Future loss of earnings, injury to feelings, taxation of awards, Orthet v Vince-Cain, 107
Must be reduced by Invalidity Benefit, Puglia v C James & Sons, 55
New employment, Whelan t/a Cheers Off Licence v Richardson, 67
No credit for income earned during contractual notice period, Voith Turbo v Stowe, 110

Notice period - No double recovery, Babcock Fata v Addison, 1; CA, 3
Off-setting ex gratia payment, Roadchef v Hastings, 9
Order of deductions, Heggie v Uniroyal Englebert Tyres, 70; CS, 78
Pay in lieu, Derwent Coachworks v Kirby, 48
Premium for delayed payment, Melia v Magna Kansei, 111
Race discrimination - Compensation for injury to feelings – Inadequate award can be error of law, Doshoki v Draeger Ltd, 92
Race discrimination - Compensation for personal injury – Not limited to cases of reasonably foreseeable harm, Essa v Laing Ltd, 99
Race discrimination - Indirect discrimination, JH Walker v Hussain, 54
Race discrimination - Psychological injury not reasonably foreseeable, Essa v Laing, CA, 104
Sex discrimination - Limits, Marshall v Southampton & South West Hampshire Area Health Authority (ECJ), 40
Unemployment Benefit, Morton v CAO, 11

CONTINUITY (see also REDUNDANCY, TRANSFERS OF UNDERTAKINGS, UNFAIR DISMISSAL)

Associated employers - Minority shareholders control, Harford v Swiftrim, 6
Associated employers - The 'control' test, Strudwick v IBL, 11
Break schemes – Employee must be regarded as in employment, Curr v Marks & Spencer, CA, 97
Effective date of termination where employee waives right to notice, Staffordshire CC v Secretary of State, 8
Employee working alternative weeks, Colley v Corkindale, 54
Employment continuing during unpaid leave, Ebony of Mayfair v Liburd, 20
Informal arrangements, Morris v Walsh Western (UK), 65
Partnership of ltd. cos. counts as associated employer, Pinkney v Sandpiper Drilling Ltd, 16
Reinstatement on internal appeal, London Probation Board v Kirkpatrick, 111
Seamen ordinarily working outside GB, Weston v Vegal Space Systems, 16
Seasonal work not continuous - Temporary cessation of work, Sillars v Charringtons Fuels, 9; CA, 13
Sickness absence - Return after early retirement, Pearson v Kent CC, 28
Successive contracts - Whether global contract, Letheby & Christopher v Bangurah, 7
Teacher's free time counts, Society of Licensed Victuallers v Chamberlain, 16
Ten day gap in employment - Whether continuous, Roach v CSB Moulds Ltd, 26
Timing of transfer and continuity - Whether employed 'at time of transfer', Clark & Tokeley t/a Spell Brook v Oakes, 65; CA, 72
Walk out breaks continuity, Minetti v D V K Executive Hotels, 13

CONTRACT

Absolute discretion – Irrational exercise by employer, Mallone v BPB Industries, CA, 93
Age discrimination - Whether breach, SoS for Scotland v Taylor, 65; HL, 82
Agency contract work - Employee status and dismissal, Ironmonger v Movefield t/a Deerings, 11
Agency workers – Implied contract of employment between worker and end-user,

Dacas v Brook Street Bureau, CA, 104
Agency workers are not employees, Montgomery v Johnson Underwood, CA, 86
Agency workers may be employees, Dacas v Brook Street Bureau (UK) Ltd, 98
Agreement valid to compromise employment contract claims, Sutherland v Network Appliance, 85
Alleged sexual abuse – Suspension breached trust and confidence, Gogay v Hertfordshire CC, CA, 84
Breach of implied term of trust and confidence – Wrongful conduct not part of dismissal process, McCabe v Cornwall County Council, CA, 97
Calculating holiday pay – Day’s pay should be grossed up, Taylor v East Midlands Offender Employment, 84
Casuals and employment status – Significance of ‘mutuality’, Carmichael v National Power, CA, 69; HL, 78
Casuals are not employees, Stevedoring and Haulage Services v Fuller, CA, 88
Change in place of work - Mobility clause, Rank Xerox v Churchill, 10
Collective agreements - Whether part of employment contract, Hamilton v Futura Floors, 23
Competition - Fidelity, Adamson v B & L Cleaning Services, 50
Compromise agreement – Whether includes future claim, Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital Trust v Howard, 96
Compromise agreements – Employer cannot rely on employee’s ignorance of relevant facts, Bank of Credit and Commerce International v Ali, 74; CA, 82; HL, 86
Compromise agreements - IT jurisdiction, Rock-It-Cargo v Green, 65
Continuity deliberately broken, Booth v USA, 72
Contract breached before commencement, Sarker v S Tees Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, 62
Contract of employment or contract for services – Written contract usually determinative, Staffordshire Sentinel Newspapers v Potter, 107
Contractual terms – Certainty as to the commitment is an essential element, Judge v Crown Leisure, CA, 113
Damages - Set-off, Hopkins v Norcross, 32
Damages - Unilateral reduction in wages, Rigby v Ferodo, 3
Damages can include injury to feelings, Johnson v UNISYS, HL, 86
Damages for breach - Unfair dismissal not relevant, Folsa Services (UK) v Birkett, 57
Deductions from wages – Meaning of ‘properly payable’, New Century Cleaning Co v Church, CA, 78
Detriment in employment – Whether can be suffered after termination, Woodward v Abbey National, CA, 119
Disciplinary procedure, Peace v City of Edinburgh Council, Court of Session, 75
Duty of mutual trust and confidence – Payment of substantial salaries does not entitle employer to treat employees abusively, Horkulak v Cantor Fitzgerald International, 102
Duty of trust and confidence – Employee entitled to insurance health benefits, Marlow v East Thames Housing Group Ltd, 95
Duty to take reasonable care – Nervous breakdown not reasonably foreseeable from failure to implement new system, Pratley v Surrey County Council, CA, 102
Effective control decides employment status, Motorola v Davidson (1) and Melville Craig (2), 85
Effective date of termination – Employment status pending appeal, Drage v Governors of Greenford High School, CA, 80

Employee excluded from beneficial terms of employment – Breach of implied term of trust and confidence, *Transco (formerly BG) v O'Brien*, CA, 93

Employee status – Must be contract with 'employer', *Hewlett Packard Ltd v O'Murphy*, 90

Employee status and personal service, *Express & Echo Publications v Tanton*, CA, 75

Employees - Trade secrets, *Lock International v Beswick*, 17

Employee's duty of confidentiality, *Re Company's application*, 17

Employer's breach of contract - Injunction or damages, *Ali v Southwark MBC*, 9

Employer's breach of contract - Injunction, *Hughes v Southwark MBC*, 9

Employer's breach of trust and confidence term - Stigma damages, *Employer's duty of care - Contractual hospital hours and overtime, Johnstone v Bloomsbury Health Authority*, 25

Employer's duty to explain rights, *Sally v Southern Health & Social Services Board*, 29

Employer's identity, *Clifford v Union of Democratic Mineworkers*, 27

Final wages withheld – Penalty clause unenforceable, *Giraud UK v Smith*, 83

Fixed term contract waivers - Extension part of original contract, *BBC v Kelly-Phillips*, 65; CA, 69

Frustration – Employee suspended while subject to bail conditions, *Four Seasons Health Care v Maughan*, 110

Garden leave, *Euro Brokers v Rabey*, 50

Go slow - Less pay?, *Miles v Wakefield MBC*, 3

Harassment – Negligent failure to protect employee, *Walters v Commissioner of Police*, HL, 84

Holiday pay - No implied right, *Morley v Heritage*, 40

Home tutor – Employment status and continuity, *Cornwall CC v Prater*, CA, 116

Identity of charitable employer, *Affleck v Newcastle Mind*, 75

Illegal contracts – Burden of proof on party alleging fraud, *Colen v Cebrian (UK)*, CA, 103

Illegality - Employee's knowledge, *Salvesen v Simons*, 43

Illegality - Irregular remuneration, *Annandale Engineering v Samson*, 43

Illegality and right to a fair hearing, *Soteriou v Ultrachem*, 107

Implied duty to inform – Employer must take reasonable steps, *Ibekwe v London General Services Transport*, CA, 101

Implied term – Dismissal to defeat redundancy claim, *Jenvey v Australian Broadcasting Corporation*, 94

Implied term against dismissal – Gross misconduct, *Briscoe v Lubrizol Ltd*, CA, 94

Implied term of trust and confidence – Applies during investigation, *King v University Court of the University of St Andrews*, CS, 92

Implied term of trust and confidence – Proving claim for stigma damages, *Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA v Ali (No.3)*, CA, 93

Implied terms - Right to suspend, *McClory v Post Office*, 38

Implied terms: trust and confidence - Extent of breach required, *BCCI v Ali*, 76

Incorporation of collective agreements, *Alexander v Standard Telephones & Cables (No. 2)*, 27

Is pay in lieu of notice debt or damages – Duty to mitigate loss, *Cerebus Software v Rowley*, 77; CA, 85

Judicial review - Scottish jurisdiction, *West v Secretary of State for Scotland*, 34

Limited industrial action - No partial pay for partial work, *Wiluszynski v Tower Hamlets LBC*, 15

Limited industrial action - Part performance of contract, *British Telecom v Tilehurst*, 31
Loyalty - Competition, *Laughton & Hawley v Bapp*, 1
Majority shareholder not employee, *Fleming v SoS for Trade & Industry*, 66
Malik v BCCI, HL, 64
Meaning of 'employee' – Mutuality of obligation, *Cotswold Developments Construction v Williams*, 115
Meaning of employee - Casuals, *Clark v Oxfordshire Health Authority*, CA, 67
Meaning of employee - Sole controlling shareholder, *Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Bottrill*, CA, 74
Meaning of employee, *Lee v Chung & Shun Shing Construction*, 20
Modern apprenticeships – Employment status, *Flett v Matheson*, 111; CA, 116
Modern apprenticeship not terminable on notice, *Whitely v Marton Electrical Ltd*, 98
Normal retiring age, *Bratko v Beloit Walmsley*, 54
Partnership - Identity of employer and restrictive covenants, *Briggs v Oates*, 23
Pay deductions for strike absences, *Smith v Bexley LBC*, 27
Pay during statutory notice period, *Scotts Company (UK) Ltd v Budd*, 97
Pay in lieu - Voluntary redundancy, *Baldwin v British Coal Corporation*, 49
Pay in lieu of notice - Breach of contract, *Gothard v Mirror Group*, 10
Pay in lieu of notice, *Rex Stewart etc v Parker*, 11
Payment in lieu – Whether taxable, *EMI Group Electronics v Coldicott*, CA, 77
Pensionable remuneration includes contractual overtime, *Newham LBC v Skingle*, 97; CA, 99
Permanent health insurance schemes – Unable to work excludes temporary work, *Walton v Airtours and Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada*, CA, 97
Place of work and jurisdiction, *Rutten v Cross Medical (ECJ)*, 62
Public holidays – No contractual entitlement to additional paid holiday, *Campbell and Smith Construction Group v Greenwood*, 88
Reasonable grievance procedure, *WA Goold (Pearmak) v McConnell*, 53
Receiver's adopt employee's contracts, *Powdrill v Watson*, 44
Receivers' liability for employees, *Powdrill & Atkinson v Watson*, CA, 44; HL, 50
References - Breach of implied term of trust and confidence, *TSB Bank v Harris*, 79
References – Extent of employer's duty, *Kidd v AXA Equity and Law Life Assurance*, 80
Repudiatory breach, *Boyo v London Borough of Lambeth*, 48
Restrictive covenants – Effect of breach of implied term of trust and confidence, *Cantor Fitzgerald International v Bird*, 96
Restrictive covenants - Employer's interest, *Cantor Fitzgerald (UK) v Wallace*, 28
Restrictive covenants - 'Garden leave' clauses, *Provident Group & Whitecross Estate Agency v Hayward*, 13
Restrictive covenants - Unreasonably wide, *J A Mont (UK) v Mills*, 36
Restrictive covenants - Whether injunction available, *Lansing Linde v Kerr*, 25
Restrictive covenants, *Allied Dunbar v Weisinger*, 9
Right to union representation – Reference to rule book, *English v UNISON*, 81
Shareholder can be employee, *Sellars Arenascene v Connolly*, CA, 86
Shareholder not employee, *Buchan & Ivey v Secretary of State*, 61
Sick leave suspension – Whether employee entitled to wages, *Beveridge v KLM UK*, 83
Sole shareholder can be employee, *SoS for Trade & Industry v Bottrill*, 67
Status – Who employs an agency worker, *Bunce v Postworth Ltd t/a Skyblue*, CA, 112

Status and mutuality of obligation, *Wilson v Circular Distributors*, 115
Stress - Duty of reasonable care, *Walker v Northumberland CC*, 48
Stress - Permanent disability, *Bradley v London Fire & Civil Defence Authority*, 48
Substitution consistent with contract of service, *MacFarlane v Glasgow CC*, 85
Terms and conditions of employment - IT's powers, *Eagland v British Telecom*, 34
Terms of employment - Restrictive covenants, *Office Angels v Rainer-Thomas & O'Connor*, 26
Tips - Remuneration, *Nerva v R L & Co*, 50
Unfair Contract Terms Act – Employees as consumers, *Brigden v American Express Bank*, 79
Unilateral variation - Breach of contract, *Lee v GEC Plessey Telecommunications*, 40
Variation of contract – Collective bargaining can vary by custom and practice, *Henry v London Transport Services Ltd*, CA, 93
Waltons & Morse v Dorrington, 64
Whether agency worker an employee, *McMeechan v Secretary of State for Employment*, 52; CA, 63
Whether employee, *Hall (Inspector of Taxes) v Lorimer*, 44
Whether 'reasonably suitable working environment' implied term,
Whether restrictive covenants implied, *Wallace Bogan & Co v Cove*, CA, 64
Whether sub-contractor can be employee, *Lane v Shire Roofing (Oxford)*, 52
Whether worker has employee status – Effect of 'entire agreement' clause, *National Lifeboat Institution v Bushaway*, 113
Whether wrongful dismissal terminates contract, *Dietman v Brent LBC*, 5
Wrongful dismissal damages, *Raspin v United News Shops*, 72

DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION

Cause of disability immaterial – Must be distinguished from impairment, *Power v Panasonic UK Ltd*, 97
Compensation – Injury to feelings, *Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police v Vento (No.2)*, 91
Compensation and medical evidence, *Buxton v Equinox Design*, 73
Compensation for future loss of earnings – Wrongdoers should not benefit from their second wrong, *HM Prison Service v Beart*, 109; CA, 112
Contract workers – Liability of principals, *MHC Consulting Services v Tansell*, 77; CA, 80
Counselling – Whether medical treatment, *Kapadia v Lambeth LBC*, 78
Deduced effects – Nature of evidence required, *Woodrup v Southwark LBC*, CA, 97
Definition of disability – Ability to carry out normal day to day activities, *Hewett v Motorola*, 105
Definition of disability – Consequences of impairment, *Murray v Newham Citizens Advice Bureau Ltd*, 99
Direct discrimination – Comparator must not have that particular disability, *High Quality Lifestyles Ltd v Watts*, 119
Disability and day-to-day activities, *Vicary v British Telecommunications*, 77
Disability discrimination can include constructive dismissal, *Catherall v Michelin Tyre plc*, 97
Disabled job applicant – Role of occupational health adviser, *Hammersmith and Fulham LBC v Farnsworth*, 83
Discretionary sick pay – Not a 'scheme or arrangement', *London Clubs Management*

Ltd v Hood, 89
Discrimination Act applies to post-employment discrimination, Jones v 3M Healthcare Ltd, HL, 100
Duty to make 'reasonable adjustments' - Steps for tribunal, Morse v Wiltshire CC, 69
Employer ignorant of disability – Failure to make reasonable adjustments, Quinn v Schwarzkopf, CS, 94
Employer's knowledge of disability – Ignorance does not justify discrimination, Quinn v Schwarzkopf, 85
Employer's knowledge of disability – Objective test of reason relating to a disability, HJ Heinz Co v Kenrick, 79
Employer's knowledge of disability, Callahan v Glasgow City Council, 89
Employer's knowledge - Relevance to 'reasons' for action, O'Neill v Symm & Co, 68
Employers must investigate what adjustments would be reasonable, Mid Staffordshire General Hospitals NHS Trust v Cambridge, 100
Employers required to carry out proper assessment before ruling out adjustments, Southampton City Council v Randall, 115
Failure to consult before dismissal – Failure to make reasonable adjustments, Rothwell v Pelikan Hardcopy Scotland, 115
Functional overlay not a disability, Rugamer v Sony Music Entertainment UK Ltd, McNicol v Balfour Beatty Rail Maintenance Ltd, 89
Goods, facilities and services – Relevance of tribunal awards, Purves v Joydisc Ltd, 99
Impairment resulting from treatment – Whether can be disability, Kirton v Tetrosyl, 96; CA, 99
Justification – Material to the circumstances of the particular case and substantial, Jones v Post Office, CA 87
Meaning of 'mental impairment' – Not confined to mental illness, Dunham v Ashford Windows, 112
Meaning of disability – Establishing physical impairment, College of Ripon and York St John v Hobbs, 91
Meaning of disability – Excluded conditions, Edmund Nuttall v Butterfield, 113
Meaning of disability – Fluctuating conditions, Cruikshank v VAW Motorcast Ltd, 90
Meaning of disability – Progressive conditions Mowatt-Brown v University of Surrey, 92
Meaning of 'disability', Goodwin v The Patent Office, 72
Medical evidence obtained after act complained of – Admissible if relevant, Surrey Police v Marshall, 96
Mental disability – Impairment must result from clinically recognised illness, McNicol v Balfour Beatty Rail Maintenance Ltd, CA, 95
Mental impairment – Clinically well-recognised illness, Morgan v Staffordshire University, 91
No comparator needed, British Sugar v Kirker, 71
No duty to make reasonable adjustments where nothing can prevent disadvantage – No duty to offer fundamentally different job, Archibald v Fife Council, CS, 103
Normal day-to-day activities – Tribunal should consider abilities affected, Ekpe v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, 89
Progressive condition – Must not result from treatment, Kirton v Tetrosyl Ltd, 96
Reasonable adjustment – May include dispensing with competitive interviews, Archibald v Fife Council, HL, 106
Reasonable adjustment test – Whether would have prevented detriment, Beart v HM

Prison Service, CA, 98
Reasonable adjustments – Applicant’s symptoms to be considered, Fu v London Borough of Camden, 86
Reasonable adjustments - Employer unaware of disability, Ridout v TC Group, 71
Reasonable adjustments – Employer’s duty to consider, Cosgrove v Caesar and Howie, 89
Reasonable adjustments - Scope of section 6 duties, Kenny v Hampshire Constabulary, 72
Relevant comparators - Reasonable adjustments and dismissal, Clark v TDG t/a Novacold, 71; CA, 74
Requirement for occupational health clearance not substantial disadvantage – Duty to make reasonable adjustments to process, Paul v National Probation Service, 103
Sickness absence procedure – May take account of disability, Royal Liverpool Children’s NHS Trust v Dunsby, 116
Sick pay scheme – Whether employers required to make reasonable adjustment, O’Hanlon v HMRC, 119
Small businesses exemption – Agency workers are ‘employees’, Burton v Higham t/a Ace Appointments, 98
Small employer exclusion – Associate companies, Hardie v CD Northern, 79
Time limits and internal appeals, Robinson v Post Office, 84
Use of Guidance – Abilities should not be balanced against restrictions, Leonard v Southern Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce, 85

DISCRIMINATION

Burden of proof – Application in discrimination cases, Laing v Manchester CC, 118
Equal pay – Need for objective justification of pay differences, Sharp v Caledonia Group Services, 115
Fixed-term employees – Less favourable treatment, Department for Work and Pensions v Webley, CA, 110
Shifting burden of proof – Two-stage process outlined, Igen (formerly Leeds Careers Guidance) v Wong; Chamberlin, Solicitors v Emokpae; Webster v Brunel University (EOC intervening), CA, 110
Unreasonable conduct not necessarily discriminatory, Law Society v Bahl, 101

EMPLOYER’S LIABILITY

Damages - Future loss and better conditions, Potter v Arafa, 52
Defamatory references - Duty of care, Spring v Guardian Assurance, 32; CA, 36; HL, 46
Defective equipment, Knowles v Liverpool CC, 42
Duty of care - Employer's reference, Lawton v BOC Transfield, 5
Duty to warn and instruct, Pape v Cumbria CC, 29
Employer's duty of care - Contractual hospital hours and overtime, Johnstone v Bloomsbury Health Authority, 25
Overseas employees - Employers duty of care, Square D v Cook, 31
References - Employer's duty of care, Bartholomew v Hackney LBC, CA, 74
Sub-contract labour - Duty of care, Morris v Breaveglen t/a Anzac Construction Co, 39
Vicarious liability – Definition of ‘in the ordinary course of business, Dubai Aluminium Co Ltd v Salaam, HL, 100
Vicarious liability – Dual responsibility is permissible, Viasystems (Tyneside) v

Thermal Transfer (Northern), CA, 114

Vicarious liability – Violent acts by employee, *Mattis v Pollock t/a Flamingo's Nightclub*, CA, 100

EQUAL PAY (see also EUROPEAN LAW, SEX DISCRIMINATION)

Application of two year rule unlawful, *Levez v TH Jennings (Harlow Pools)*, ECJ, 72

Appropriate comparator – Meaning of 'same establishment or service', *South Ayrshire Council v Morton*, CS, 92

Collective agreement breached discrimination prohibition, *Kowalska v Freie & Hansestadt Hamburg*, ECJ, 22

Comparators and contracting out, *Lawrence v Regent Office Care*, 73

Comparators and pay scales – Applicant must be put at same level, *Evesham v North Hertfordshire Health Authority and Secretary of State for Health*; *Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority and Secretary of State for Health*; *Hughes v West Berkshire Health Authority and Secretary of State for Health*, 73; CA, 80

Compulsory competitive tendering no defence, *Ratcliffe v N Yorkshire CC*, 51

Contingent claim permitted where comparator's claim pending, *South Ayrshire Council v Milligan*, CS, 97

Direct and indirect discrimination - Whether relevant to equal pay claims, *Ratcliffe v N Yorkshire CC*, 52

Discriminatory occupational pensions, *Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group*, ECJ, 20

Early retirement pension benefits not discriminatory, *Quirk v Burton Hospitals NHS Trust and Secretary of State for Health*, 85

Equal value claims - Effect of European law, *Pickstone v Freemans*, CA, 5; HL, 10

EU law - Part time workers, *Arbeiterwohlfahrt Der Stadt Berlin v Botel*, ECJ, 34

European law - Retirement schemes, *Coloroll Pension Trustees v Russell*; *Smith & others v Avdel Systems*; *Van den Akkers & others v Stichting Shell Pensioenfonds*, ECJ, 47

Genuine material difference - Economic circumstances of employer not sufficient, *Benveniste v University of Southampton*, 14

Genuine material factor - NHS rules defence fails against speech therapist, *R v Secretary of State ex parte Clarke*, 8

Indirect discrimination - Material factor defence, *Enderby v Frenchay Health Authority & Secretary of State for Health*, 25; CA, 30; ECJ, 42

Job evaluation - Required to be analytical, *Bromley v H & J Quick*, 7; CA, 9

Job evaluation after equal value claim, *Dibro v Hore*, 19

Job share pay indirectly discriminatory, *Hill and Stapleton v Revenue Commissioners and Department of Finance*, ECJ, 70

Justifiable difference in pay, *Rainey v Greater Glasgow Health Board*, 2

Limitation of arrears - Whether discriminatory, *Levez v TH Jennings (Harlow Pools)*, 58

Material difference - Guidelines, *McGregor v GMBATU*, 2

Material factor - Burden of proof, *Financial Times v Byrne*, 32

Material factor defence - Comparing male employees in other establishments, *Leverton v Clwyd C C*, 13

Material factor defence to equal value claims, *Davies v McCartneys*, 16

Material factor defence, *Calder & Ciazakowsky v Rowntree Mackintosh Confectionary*, 32

Maternity leave – Entitlement to SMP includes pay increases, *Alabaster v Woolwich*

plc & Secretary of State for Social Security, ECJ, 105
Maternity leavers entitled to pay rise, Gillespie v Northern Health & Social Services Board, 55
Maternity pay and pay rises, Alabaster v Woolwich and Secretary of State for Social Security, 83; CA, 93
Meaning of 'pay', Hayward v Cammel Laird, 9
Mistake is material factor, Yorkshire Blood Transfusion Service v Plaskitt, 41
No time limit on equal pay comparison, Kells v Pilkington plc, 95
Occupational pension scheme - EU law - Whether deduction of state pension lawful, Roberts v Birds Eye Walls, 24
Occupational pensions and part-timers – Two year pension period unlawful, Preston v Wolverhampton Healthcare NHS Trust; Fletcher v Midland Bank, ECJ, 80
Part timers - Sick pay, Rinner-Kuhn v FWW Spezialgebaudereinigung (ECJ), 17
Pay difference not attributable to single source – Article 141 not applicable, Lawrence v Regent Office Care Ltd, ECJ, 95
Pension rules outside definition of pay, Neath v Hugh Steeper (ECJ), 43
Piece workers entitled to equal pay, Specialarbejderforbundet: Danmark v Dansk Industri (ECJ), 51
Redundancy pay - Direct applicability of EU law, McKechnie v UBM Building Supplies, 27
Representative proportions of comparators, British Road Services v Loughran, 61
Same criteria can apply to valuation and material factor defence, Christie v John E Haith, 101
Successor comparators, Diocese of Hallam Trustee v Connaughton, 58
Supplementary pay excluded, Jamstalldhetsombudsmannen v Orebro Lans Landsting, ECJ, 81
Time limits - Cases under European law, Stevens v Bexley Health Authority, 15
Time limits referred to ECJ, Fletcher v Midland Bank; Preston v Wolverhampton Healthcare NHS Trust, HL, 68
Time limits, British Railways Board v Paul, 8
Time limits, Etherson v Strathclyde Region Council, 34
Two year bar unlawful, Magorriion & Cunningham v Eastern Health & Social Services Board (ECJ), 68
Whether agency workers can compare themselves with employees, Allonby v Accrington and Rossendale College, CA, 87
Work of equal value - No reasonable grounds, Dennehy v Sealink UK, 3

EUROPEAN LAW (see also EQUAL PAY, SEX DISCRIMINATION, TRANSFERS OF UNDERTAKINGS)

Breach of EU law - State liability, Brasserie Du Pecheur SA v Federal Republic of Germany; R v Secretary of State for Transport ex parte Factortame, ECJ, 56
Collective redundancy consultation, Griffin v South West Water Services, 48
Directive incorrectly implemented - State liability, R v HM Treasury ex parte British Telecom, ECJ, 56
Drivers' hours, Prime (Traffic Examiner) v Hosking, 49
Effect of 'recommendations' - Distinction between 'hard' and 'soft' law, Grimaldi v Fond des Maladies Professionelles, ECJ, 22
Liability of State for damages, Frankovich v Italian Republic, ECJ, 31
National courts must apply EU law, Verholen v SV Amsterdam, ECJ, 31

Place of employment, *Mulox IBC v Geels*, ECJ, 46
Privacy at work - Phone tapping, *Halford v UK*, ECJ, 64
Privacy, *X v Commission of the European Communities*, ECJ, 51
Redundancy pay - Direct applicability of EU law, *McKechnie v UBM Building Supplies*, 27
Sex discrimination - Time limits, *Cannon v Barnsley MBC*, 33
Time limits for claims brought under EU law, *Emmott v Minister for Social Welfare & Attorney General*, ECJ, 30
Tribunal jurisdiction - Direct application of EU law, *Secretary of State for Scotland v Wright & Hannah*, 26
UK bound by 48 hour week, *United Kingdom v Council of the European Union*, ECJ, 59

HARASSMENT

Conduct contrary to Protection from Harassment Act 1997 – Employer's vicarious liability, *Majrowski v Guy's and St Thomas's NHS Trust*, CA, 110; HL, 117

HEALTH & SAFETY

Contract of employment - Whether 'reasonably suitable working environment' implied term, *Waltons & Morse v Dorrington*, 64
Employer's duty of care – Employee continuing to work despite risk, *Coxall v Goodyear Great Britain Ltd*, CA, 95
Employer's liability for stress – No presumption of stressful employment, *Sutherland v Hatton*; *Somerset County Council v Barber*; *Sandwell Metropolitan BC v Jones*; *Baker Refractories Ltd v Bishop*, CA, 92
Hazardous substances – Exposure must be prevented or controlled, *Dugmore v Swansea NHS Trust*, CA, 97
Health and safety dismissals - Whether automatically unfair
Goodwin v Cabletel UK, 66
Limit to employer liability for defective equipment, *Fytche v Wincanton Logistics*, HL, 107
Occupational stress – Employer's duty to take reasonable care, *Young v Post Office*, CA, 94
Work changing facilities, *Post Office v Footitt*, 80

MATERNITY & PARENTAL LEAVE REGULATIONS

Parental leave may only be taken in blocks of one week, *South Central Trains v Rodway*, 107; CA, 112
Time off for dependants must be reasonable and necessary, *Qua v John Ford Morrison Solicitors*, 98

NATIONAL MINIMUM WAGE

Employment on salaried hours – Entitled to payment for full hours not just hours worked, *MacCartney v Oversley House Management*, 117
Enforcement notice – Tribunal duty to assess amount of underpayment, *Commissioners of Inland Revenue v St Hermans Estate Co*, 95
Enforcement notice must relate to current employees, *Inland Revenue Wales &*

Midlands v Bebb Travel, 95
Night watchman – All hours on employer's premises count, Scottbridge Construction Ltd v Wright, CS, 96
Reduced allowance unlawful deduction, Laird v AK Stoddard, 88
Sleeping time must be paid, Wright v Scottbridge Construction, 88
Tips can be treated as part of minimum wage, Nerva v United Kingdom, ECJ, 95
Unmeasured work governed by fair estimate agreement, Walton v Independent Living Organisation Ltd, CA, 100
Waiting to answer telephone call is work time, British Nursing Association v Inland Revenue, 89; CA, 93

PARENTAL LEAVE (see also MATERNITY & PARENTAL LEAVE REGULATIONS)

PART-TIME WORKERS

Comparison with full-time worker only if both employed under same type of contract, Meadows v Kent & Medway Towns Fire Authority, 102
No time off for public holidays – Whether less favourable treatment, McMenemy v Capita Business Services, 119
Remuneration for overtime – Whether application of same threshold for full- and part-timers discriminatory, Elsner-Lakeberg v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen, ECJ, 109

PAY

Itemised pay slip - Obligation on employer, Coales v John Wood, 2

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE

Absent witnesses – Procedure when party fails to attend hearing, Cooke v Glenrose Fish Co, 107
Adjourning Seymour-Smith cases, Davidson v City Electrical Factors, 67
Adjournments on health grounds – Party must be unfit to attend hearing, Andreou v Lord Chancellor's Department, CA, 95
Alcohol ban on GP – Respect for private and family life, Whitfield v General Medical Council, 96
Amendments to IT1s - Principles, Selkent Bus Co v Moore, 60
Appeals - What is an error of law, British Telecom v Sheridan, 18
Avoiding reinstatement - Compensation limit, Conoco UK v Neal, 12
Bad behaviour by tribunal lay member – Decision not saved by being unanimous, Stansbury v Datapulse, CA, 105
Bias allegation – Need for reasons and basis of costs order, Lodwick v Southwark LBC, CA, 106
Bias and Right to a fair hearing – Real possibility of unconscious bias, Lawal v Northern Spirit Ltd, CA, 95; HL, 100
Bias, Greenway Harrison v Wiles, 46
Breach of contract – No jurisdiction where compromise agreement reached after termination, Miller Bros & FP Butler Ltd v Johnston, 93
Civil Service can be required to give reasons, R v Civil Service Appeal Board ex parte Cunningham, 20
Compensation – Accelerated receipt, Brentwood Bros (Manchester) Ltd v Shepherd,

CA, 99

Compensation and mitigation of loss – Future loss of earnings, injury to feelings, taxation of awards, *Orthet v Vince-Cain*, 107

Compromise agreements - IT jurisdiction, *Rock-It-Cargo v Green*, 65

Compromise agreements - No duty to disclose prior breaches, *BCCI v Ali*, 74

Compromise agreements - Not confined to complaints made to tribunal, *Lunt v Merseyside TEC*, 76

Conduct of proceedings – Insults and allegations of bias by representative, *Bennett v Southwark LBC*, CA, 93

Contract claim - No EAT jurisdiction, *Pendragon v Jackson*, 65

Costs – Applicant withdrawing claim shortly before hearing, *McPherson v BNP Paribas*, CA, 105

Costs – Calderbank rule, *Kopel v Safeway Stores*, 102

Costs – Whether appropriate to award, *Salinas v Bear Stearns International Holdings*, 111

Costs order – Ability to repay, *Kovacs v Queen Mary & Westfield College*, CA, 93

Costs orders – Calderbank offers, *Monaghan v Close Thornton (Solicitors)*, 92

Costs warnings – Must be real risk of costs order, *Gee v Shell UK Ltd*, CA, 97

Crown employment - Whether judicial review available, *R v Civil Service Appeal Board ex parte Bruce*, 12

Damages for wrongful dismissal - Set-off against unfair dismissal compensation, *O'Laoire v Jackel International*, 27

Decision - Tribunal reasons, *Meek v City of Birmingham DC*, 5

Deposits for employment tribunal costs and time limits, *Kuttapan v Croydon LBC*, 75

Discontinuance – Does not amount to issue estoppel, *Ako v Rothschild Asset Management Ltd*, CA, 92

Discovery 'relevant and necessary' in redundancy selection, *FDR v Holloway*, 52

Discovery – Confidentiality of witnesses, *Asda Stores Ltd v Thompson*, 92

Discovery - Qualified privilege, *New Victoria Hospital v Ryan*, 37

Discovery – Relevant material to be disclosed, *Knight v Department of Social Security*, 92

Discovery refused where mass redundancy, *British Aerospace v Green*, 51

Discrimination claims – Drawing inferences when questions not dealt with properly, *Dattani v Chief Constable of West Mercia Police*, 110

Dismissal - Injunction to restrain, *Jones v Gwent CC*, 36

EAT cases - Obligation to provide notes of evidence, *Houston v Lightwater Farms; Walker v Lakhdari*, 23

EAT time limits – When reasons are 'sent', *Mock v Commissioners of Inland Revenue*, 78

Employment tribunal jurisdiction – Extent of extra-territorial jurisdiction, *Jackson v Ghost & Ghost*, 102

Employment tribunal time limits and jurisdiction – Admissibility of new evidence, *Glennie v Independent Magazines*, CA, 77

Employment tribunals – Case cannot be remitted for clarification, *Reuben v Brent LBC*, 79

Employment tribunals - Costs liability of representatives, *Benyon v Scadden*, 77

Employment tribunals – Exchange of closing statements, *Barking and Dagenham LBC v Oguko*, 79

Employment tribunals – Human rights and expert evidence guidance, *De Keyser v Wilson*, 87

Employment tribunals - Late amendments to applications, *Harvey v Port of Tilbury London*, 77

Employment tribunals – Majority decision not grounds for appeal, *Chief Constable of the Thames Valley Police v Kellaway*, 79

Employment tribunals - Remitted case cannot be re-heard, *Aparu v Iceland Frozen Foods (No.2)*, CA, 79

Enquiry procedure - Whether intentionally unfair, *R v Chief Constable of Avon ex parte Clarke*, 2

Equal pay equal value hearings – Experts’ reports, *Wood v William Ball*, 78

Expression of preliminary view not bias, *Jiminez v Southwark LBC*, CA, 100

Extension of time limits – Applicant pursuing internal procedure, *Apelogun-Gabriels v Lambeth LBC*, CA, 91

Fairness of investigation – Protecting the identity of informants, *Ramsey v Walkers Snack Foods; Hamblet v Walkers Snack Foods*, 107

Flexible working – Justification for refusing a request, *Commotion v Ruttly*, 115

Further & better particulars, *Byrne v Financial Times*, 29

Grievance procedure – When ‘without prejudice’ rule may be relied on, *BNP Paribas v Mezzotero*, 106

Guidelines on perversity of decision, *Piggot Brothers v Jackson*, 27

Human Rights and delay – Right to a fair hearing, *Somjee v United Kingdom*, ECJ, 96

Hybrid claims – Status of bankrupt to bring tribunal proceedings, *Khan v Trident Safeguards*, CA, 108

Inadequacy of extended reasons – Must be specifically pleaded, *Tran v Greenwich Vietnam Community*, CA, 95

Inadequate reasons – EAT practice approved, *Barke v Seetec Business Technology Centre*, CA, 112

Industrial Tribunals' powers to exclude press and public, *R v Southampton Industrial Tribunal ex parte INS News Group & Express Newspapers*, 50

Injunctions - Breach of contract, *Macpherson v Lambeth LBC*, 11

Interlocutory injunctions to compel employment - Whether 'subject to references' offer can be withdrawn, *Wishart v National Association of CABx*, 21

Issue estoppel – Claim withdrawn, *Sajid v Sussex Muslim Society*, CA, 91

IT cannot hear Frankovich actions, *Secretary of State for Employment v Mann*, 53

IT is an inferior court, *Peach Grey & Co v Sommers*, 51

Judge’s reasons must be adequate but need not be exhaustive, *English v Emery Reimbold & Strick*, CA, 101

Late appeals to EAT, *United Arab Emirates v Abdelghafar*, 50

Late applications to join respondents, *Drinkwater Sabey v (1) Burnett (2) Kent CC*, 50

Liability - Lifting the veil of incorporation, *Creasy v Breachwood Motors*, 35

Limits on preliminary hearings, *Welcome Foundation v Darby*, 59

Mitigation of loss – Offer of re-employment, *Wilding v British Telecommunications*, CA, 95

No costs on basis of party’s conduct prior to proceedings, *Health Development Agency v Parish*, 105

No reasonable prospects of success – Guidelines to tribunals, *HM Prison Service v Dolby*, 101

Notice of tribunal hearing - Meaning of 'sent out', *Derry BAA v Castro-Blanca*, 2

Notice of withdrawal of claim – No jurisdiction to set aside notice, *Khan v Heywood & Middleton Primary Care Trust*, 116

Oral and written decisions - Inconsistency, *Gutzmore v J Wardley Holdings*, 39

Power of review, Blockleys v Miller, 34
Power to make restricted reporting orders extended, X v Stevens, 99
Pre-hearing assessment, Ferodo v Bradbury, 20
Providing details of claim in tribunal applications, Grimmer v KLM Cityhopper, 112
Race discrimination - Whether incomplete IT1 'presented', Dodd v British Telecom, 8
Reasonably practicable to present claim in time – Ordinary course of post, Consignia plc v Sealy, CA, 95
Register of employment tribunal cases – Sufficient details of claim to be included, R v Secretary of the Central Office of the Employment Tribunals ex parte Public Concern at Work, 83
Review - Mistaken withdrawal of application, Harber v N London Polytechnic, 20
Right to a fair hearing – Choice of representation, Dispatch Management Services (UK) Ltd v Douglas, 93
Right to a fair hearing – Use of authorities not cited by parties, Albion Hotel (Freshwater) Ltd v Maia e Silva, 91
Right to a fair trial – Guidance on adjournments, Teinaz v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 95
Sample cases - Tribunal discretion to strike out later applications, Ashmore v British Coal Corporation, 21
Settlements - Extent of authority to settle, Freeman v Sovereign Chicken, 28
Sexual harassment – Evidence only admissible if relevant, XXX v YYY, CA, 105
Solicitor's negligence - Measure of damages for loss of unfair dismissal remedy, Siraj-Eldin v Campbell Middleton Burness & Dickson, 14
Statutory grievance procedure – Whether resignation letter can be 'grievance in writing', Shergold v Fieldway Medical Centre, 115
Striking out - Discovery, National Grid Co v Virdes, 39
Striking out for failure to comply with orders – Unreasonable conduct of proceedings, Blockbuster Entertainment v James, CA, 118
Striking out - Principles, Executors of Evans v Metropolitan Police Authority, 37
Striking out powers - Whether frivolous or vexatious, Telephone Information Services v Wilkinson, 26
Summary and full tribunal reasons, Gavas v William Hill, 20
Territorial jurisdiction – Habitual place of work, Weber v Universal Ogden Services Ltd, ECJ, 92
Time limits – EAT limits to be strictly applied, Kanapathiar v Harrow LBC, 100
Time limits – Effect of misleading advice, Marks & Spencer v Williams-Ryan, CA, 113
Time limits – Effective date of termination cannot be fixed by agreement, Fitzgerald v University of Kent, CA, 104
Time limits – Effective date of termination, Palfrey v Transco, 108
Time limits – Good practice to check receipt of postal applications Peters v Sat Katar Co Ltd, CA, 100
Time limits – Letter before action did not raise appeal, Piscitelli v Zilli Fish, 115
Time limits - Not reasonably practicable, Machine Tool Research Association v Simpson, 9
Time limits – Whole appeal document must be received, Woodward v Abbey National, 113
Time limits - Wrong advice from tribunal staff, Jean Sorelle v Rybak, 24
Time limits for unfair dismissal claims - Test for 'reasonably practicable', Schultz v Esso Petroleum, CA, 76
Tribunal adjudication - EAT powers to substitute decision, Morgan v Electrolux, 25

Tribunal award can be paid into court, *Zabaxe v Nicklin*, 23
Tribunal jurisdiction - Employee working outside UK, *Carver v Saudi Arabian Airlines, CA*, 75
Tribunal procedure - Amendments to IT applications, *British Newspaper Printing v Kelly*, 14
Tribunal procedure - Oral decision stands, *Guinness v Green*, 15
Tribunal procedure - Order of evidence and right of reply, *Aberdeen Steak House v Ibrahim*, 9
Tribunal procedure - Power to strike out, *O'Keefe v Southampton C C*, 11
Tribunal procedure - Res judicata and estoppel, *Munir v Jang Publications*, 14
Unfair dismissal - Adjournments during proceedings, *White v Worcester Royal Infirmary*, 54
Unfair dismissal compensation - Relevance of better paid job, *Fentiman v Fluid Engineering Products*, 27
Unfair dismissal compensation – Whether due when claimant unable to work during relevant period, *Dignity Funeral v Bruce, CS*, 109
Vexatious tribunal litigation – Restriction order Attorney General v *Wheen*, 83
When Chairs can sit alone, *Tsangelos v Amalgamated Chemicals*, 59
When Chairs cannot sit alone, *Mobbs v Nuclear Electric*, 59
Whether written reasons required on EAT refusal to review, *Persson v Matra Marconi Space UK*, 60
Withdrawal of claim does not involve automatic dismissal – Whether fresh claim abuse of process, *Verdin v Harrods*, 116
Witness orders - Employment tribunal discretion, *Noorani v Merseyside TEC, CA*, 73

PUBLIC INTEREST DISCLOSURE

Automatic unfair dismissal – Tribunal should focus on protected disclosure provisions, *ALM Medical Services Ltd v Bladon, CA*, 95
Pre-Act Disclosure – Protection for post-Act dismissal, *Miklaszewicz v Stolt Offshore Ltd, CS*, 92
Scope of protected disclosure – Legal obligation can be contractual, *Parkins v Sodexo Ltd*, 91
Subjecting worker to detriment – Disclosure must be cause of acts complained of, *Harrow LBC v Knight*, 97
Worker's reasonable belief – Need not be factually correct, *Damton v University of Surrey*, 97

RACE DISCRIMINATION

Aggravated damages for discrimination, *Linton v EJ Parkinson & Son*, 22
Army appeal procedure, *R v Army Board of Defence Council ex parte Anderson*, 29
Award of £28,500 not excessive, *Johnson v HM Prison Service*, 60
Burden of proof – Application in discrimination cases, *Laing v Manchester CC*, 118
Claim survives death, *Harris (Representative of Andrews) v Lewisham and Guys Mental Health NHS Trust, CA*, 80
Compensation – Psychological injury not reasonably foreseeable, *Essa v Laing, CA*, 104
Compensation for indirect discrimination, *JH Walker v Hussain*, 54
Compensation for injury to feelings – Inadequate award can be error of law, *Doshoki v*

Draeger Ltd, 92
Compensation for personal injury – Not limited to cases of reasonably foreseeable harm, *Essa v Laing Ltd*, 99
Complaint - Time limit, *Sougrin v Haringey Health Authority*, 33
Continuing discrimination, *Barclays Bank v Kapur*, 13
Correct comparator – Hypothetical where no actual, *Balamoody v United Kingdom; Central Council for Nursing Midwifery and Health Visiting, CA*, 92
Damages for personal injury - Jurisdiction to award, *Sheriff v Klyne Tugs (Lowestoft), CA*, 75
Denial of opportunity for training and promotion, *Mecca Leisure Group v Chatprachong*, 42
Direct and indirect claims, *Quarcoopome v Sock Shop Holdings*, 51
Discrimination Act applies to post-employment discrimination, *D'Souza v Lambeth LBC*, 66; HL, 100
Discriminatory advertising, *Cardiff Women's Aid v Hartup*, 46
Discriminatory customer policies - Effect on employee, *Weathersfield t/a Van & Truck Rentals v Sargent*, 64
Dismissal 'on racial grounds' – Does not protect employee dismissed for race discrimination, *Redfearn v Serco t/a West Yorkshire Transport Service, CA*, 117
Employer's vicarious liability, *Irving v Post Office*, 4
Establishing direct discrimination – Drawing inferences, *Anya v University of Oxford, CA*, 87
Evidence of less favourable treatment required – Tribunal can only rule on complaint, *Bradford Hospitals NHS Trust v Al-Shahib*, 96
Extension of time limits - Just and equitable test, *Aniagwu v (1) Hackney LBC (2) Owens*, 74
Failure to apply equal opportunities policy - Whether discrimination implied, *Qureshi v Newham LBC*, 26
Failure to regrade is a continuing discrimination, *Owusu v London Fire & Civil Defence Authority*, 53
Freedom of movement - Direct effect of EU law, *Bossa v Nordstress*, 69
Harassment - Meaning of 'in the course of employment', *Tower Boot Co v Jones, CA*, 60
Indirect race discrimination - Statutory immunity, *Hampson v Department of Education & Science*, 12; HL, 21
Inference of race bias, *King v Great Britain-China Centre*, 29
Inferring race discrimination, *Zafar v Glasgow CC, HL*, 66
Injury can amount to detriment, *Jiad v Byford, CA*, 98
Injury to feelings tariff, *ICTS (UK) v Tchoula*, 83
Less favourable treatment over period of time – Total picture not individual incidents to be looked at, *Rihal v Ealing LBC, CA*, 106
No direct and indirect discrimination on same facts, *Jaffrey v Department of Environment, Transport & Regions*, 95
No exemplary damages in discrimination cases, *Bradford Council v Arora*, 16
No offence of 'institutional racism', *Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Morgan*, 95
Race-specific conduct – 'In the course of' not equivalent to 'scope' of employment, *Sidhu v Aerospace Composite Technology*, 77
Racial groups - English and Scottish, *Northern Joint Police Board v Power*, 65
Racial harassment – Racist language must cause detriment, *Thomas v Robinson*, 96
Recruitment agency - Discouraging racial group, *Simon v Brimham Associates*, 6

Reference not discriminatory, Nagarajan v Agnew & London Regional Transport, 43
Requirement to provide evidence of right to work, Dhatt v Macdonalds Hamburgers, 24
Shift of onus of proof, Baker v Cornwall CC, 20
Time limits - Continuing discrimination, Littlewoods Organisation v Traynor, 38
Time limits – Exercise of discretion is exception not rule, Robertson v Bexley
Community Centre t/a Leisure Link, CA, 99
Time limits – Just and equitable test, Southwark LBC v Afolabi, CA, 98
Time limits - When action crystallises, Clarke v Hampshire Electro-Plating, 30
Time limits, Rovenska v GMC, CA, 63
Tribunal cannot recommend job promotion, British Gas v Sharma, 23
Vicarious liability – Employer not necessarily liable for employee's acts, Yeboah v
Crofton, CA, 94
Vicarious liability – Police officer not employee, Liversidge v Chief Constable of
Bedfordshire Police, CA, 94
Vicarious liability for harassment, Burton & Rhule v De Vere Hotels, 59
Victimisation - Conscious motive not required, Nagarajan v London Regional
Transport, HL, 76
Whether accurate stereotyping is discriminatory, R (on the application of European
Roma Centre) v Immigration Officer at Prague Airport, CA, 101; HL, 109
Whether 'contract workers' protected, Harrods v Remick, CA, 65
Whether Rastafarians are ethnic group, Crown Suppliers (PSA) v Dawkins, 27

REDUNDANCY (see also CONTINUITY, TRADE UNIONS, UNFAIR DISMISSAL)

Bumped employee not redundant, Church v W Lancashire NHS Trust, 66
Calculation of redundancy pay - Pension off-set, Royal Ordnance v Pilkington, 11
Collective redundancy definition, GMB v Man Truck and Bus (UK), 83
Compensatory award – Lack of consultation, Elkouil v Coney Island Ltd, 91
Computation of 4 week trial period in new job, Benton v Sanderson Keyser, 8; CA, 12
Consultation - Meaning of 'establishment', Rockfon A/S v Specialarbejderforbundet I
Danmark, ECJ, 56
Consultation – Scope of duty, Middlesbrough BC v TGWU, 92
Consultation – When consultations must begin, MSF v Refuge Assurance, 92
Consultation and proposal to dismiss, Scotch Premier Meat v Burns, 83
Continuity - Successive fixed term contracts, Pfaffinger v City of Liverpool Community
College, 58
Continuity and redundancy payments, Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v
Lassman, 75; CA, 81
Continuity of employment - Successive fixed term contracts, McCleod v Hellyer Bros, 5
Continuity of employment - Successive fixed term contracts, Wilson v Boston Fisheries,
5
Continuous employment - Normal hours of work, Surrey CC v Lewis, 1
Continuous employment - Temporary cessation of work, Flack v Kodak, 1
Contravention of customary arrangement, Suflex v Thomas, 7
Council's enhanced redundancy payments unlawful, N Tyneside MBC v Allsop, 31
Distinction between 'requirement' and 'need', AUT v University of Newcastle, 4
Failure to consult makes selection unfair, Brown v Gavin Scott, 8
Fixed term waiver, Housing Services Agency v Cragg, 63
Fresh claim barred, Barber v Staffordshire CC, 55
Insolvency - Union consultation, Re Hartlebury Printers (In Liquidation), 36

Insolvent employer - Partnerships, SoS for Trade & Industry v Forde, CA, 63
Lay off procedure - No formality required in employee's notice, Walmsley v Ferguson, 14
Meaning of dismissal by reason of redundancy, Safeway Stores v Burrell, 62
Meaning of 'redundancy' - 'Contract' or 'function' test, Murray v Foyle Meats, HL, 76
Meaning of redundancy - Diminishing work requirement, McCrea v Cullen & Davison, 8
Misconduct - Objective test, Bonner v Gilbert, 17
Mobility clauses - Effect on 'place' where employee is required to work, High Table v Horst (CA), 64
Partnership dissolution – Continuity of employment, Stevens v Bower, CA, 108
Pay in lieu - Waiver, Trotter v Forth Ports Authority, 29
Place of employment, Buss Leisure v Thomas, 44
Privatisation of council services - Whether dismissal for redundancy or reorganisation, Smith v Grampian Regional Council, 24
Protective awards - Consultation, GMB v Messrs Rankin & Harrison (Receivers of Lawtex), 36
Redundancy calculation - Overtime and average pay, British Coal Corp v Cheesbrough, 19
Redundancy fund age bar on woman lawful, Secretary of State for Employment v Levy, 16
Redundancy payments - Income tax, Mairs (Inspector of Taxes) v Haughey, 42
Reorganisation and redundancy - Employee refusing changed terms, McCafferty v Rankin Park Club, 22
Reorganisation not conclusive of redundancy, Shawkat v Nottingham City Hospitals NHS Trust (No.2), CA, 88
Secretary of State not liable for late claim, Crawford v (1) Secretary of State for Employment (2) Colmore Depot, 53
Start of consultation period, Securicor Omega Express v GMB, 103
Suitable alternative work, Cambridge & District Co-operative Society v Rouse, 38
Temporary cessation of work - Succession of fixed term contracts, Berwick Salmon Fisheries v Rutherford, 26
Termination by agreement, Scott v Coalite Fuels & Chemicals, 9
Test for redundancy, Perkins Engine Group v Overend, 18
Tests for deciding unfair redundancy, Langston v Cranfield University, 68
Trade Union consultation, Governing Body of the Northern Ireland Hotel & Catering College & North Eastern Education & Library Board v NATFE, 49
Trial periods, Elliot v Richard Stump, 5
Upper age limit indirectly discriminatory, Rutherford v Towncircle Ltd t/a Harvest & SoS for Trade & Industry (No.2); Bentley v SoS for Trade & Industry, 95

SEX DISCRIMINATION (see also EQUAL PAY, EUROPEAN LAW, UNFAIR DISMISSAL)

Agency worker – Pregnancy dismissal unlawful, Patefield v Belfast City Council, NICA, 83
Agency workers - Meaning of 'employment', BP Chemicals v (1) Gillick (2) Roevin Management Services, 49
Armed forces - Sexual orientation discrimination upheld, R v Admiralty Board of Defence Council ex parte Lustig-Pream & Beckett, CA, 55
Armed forces - Sexual orientation discrimination upheld, R v Ministry of Defence ex

parte Smith & Grady, 51; CA, 55
Automatic allocation of university places amounts to unlawful discrimination, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Kingdom of Norway, EFTACJ, 98
Banter comments discriminatory Driskel v Peninsular Services 79
Burden of proof – Applicant must show causation between less favourable treatment and sex, University of Huddersfield v Wolff, 106
Burden of proof – Material factor defence, Barton v Investec Henderson Crossthwaite Securities Ltd, 99
Burden of proof – Two stage test, Sinclair Roche & Temperley v Heard, 107
Burden of proof – Unfavourable treatment must not be significantly influenced by grounds of sex, 106
Compensation - Limits, Marshall v Southampton & South West Hampshire Area Health Authority (ECJ), 40
Compulsory retirement at pension age, Beets-Proper v F Van Lanschot Bankiers NV, ECJ, 4
Conduct of defence relevant to aggravated damages, Zaiwalla & Co v Walia, 95
Damages for psychiatric injury – Overlap with injury to feelings, HM Prison Service v Salmon, 87
Detriment defined, Shmoon v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary, HL, 98
Direct and indirect discrimination - Overt or covert on grounds of sex, James v Eastleigh BC, CA, 15; HL, 21
Direct discrimination - Different retirement ages, Bullock v Alice Ottley School, 27; CA, 36
Direct discrimination – Offensive comments made to all genders, Brumfitt v Ministry of Defence, 108
Discrimination Act applies to post-employment discrimination, Rhys-Harper v Relaxion Group, HL, 100
Discrimination in MSC Community Programme, Cobb v Secretary of State for Employment & MSC, 18
Discriminatory redundancy - Terms infringe Directive, Cato v Queen Charlotte's Special Health Authority, 5
Discriminatory retirement ages, James v Barclays Bank, 13
Dismissal after rape complaint, Re Truck Equipment, 18
Dismissal for extra marital sex and pregnancy - Whether sex discrimination, Berrisford v Woodward Schools, 26
Dismissal for pregnancy related sickness, Handels-og on behalf of Larsson v Dank Handel & Service, ECJ, 65
Downloading of pornographic images – Potentially less favourable treatment, Moonsar v Fiveways Express Transport, 108
Dress and appearance - Whether discrimination, McConomy v Croft Inns, 37
Dress code - Whether hair length discriminatory, Smith v Safeway 49; CA, 56
Dress restrictions, Burrett v Agnew & London Regional Transport, 43
Early retirement, Duke v Reliance Systems, 3
Elimination of inequalities – Apparent discrimination permitted, Lommers v Minister Van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer En Visserij, ECJ, 93
Employment policies must not breach Equal Treatment Directive, Kutz-Bauer v Freie Und Hansestadt Hamburg, ECJ, 99
Equal pay/sex discrimination – Pregnancy related sick leave, North Western Health Board v McKenna, ECJ, 114

Equal treatment - Dismissal for pregnancy, *Dixon v Rees*, 41
Equal treatment - Dismissal for pregnancy, *Hopkins v Shepherd & Partners*, 41
EU law - Pensions, *Ten Oever v Stichting*, ECJ, 41
Fixed-term contract redundancies – Indirectly discriminatory, *Whiffen v Milham Ford Girls' School*, CA, 87
Gender reassignment, *Chessington World of Adventures v Reed*, 65
Harassment at work, *Fall v Lothian Community Relations Council*, 4
Harassment not 'in the course of employment', *Waters v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis*, 53; CA, 65
Health and safety provisions – Employment and pregnant women, *Mahlburg v Land Mecklenburg-Vorpommern*, ECJ, 80
Illegality no bar to sex harassment claims, *Leighton v (1) Michael (2) Charalambous*, 54
Indirect comment discriminatory, *Hereford & Worcester CC v Clayton*, 60
Indirect discrimination - Agreement or condition, *Bhudi v IMI Refiners*, 44
Indirect discrimination - Applies equally to male complainants, *Jesuthasan v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC*, CA, 69
Indirect discrimination - 'Considerably smaller proportion' is fact, *London Underground v Edwards (No.2)*, 61
Indirect discrimination - Different retirement ages, *Robinson v Gordons Pharmacy*, 27
Indirect discrimination - Mobility clauses, *Meade-Hill & National Union of Civil & Public Servants v British Council*, 52
Indirect discrimination – Need to assess proportional impact, *Sinclair Roche & Temperley v Heard*, 107
Indirect discrimination - Part time work refused, *Clymo v Wandsworth LBC*, 15
Indirect discrimination – Requirement to show disproportionate adverse impact, *Nelson v Carillion Services Ltd*, CA, 99
Indirect discrimination - Whether adverse impact on women justifiable, *Commission of EC v Belgium*, ECJ, 30
Indirect discrimination - Whether 'desirable criteria' a 'requirement or condition', *Falkirk Council v Whyte*, 65
Indirect discrimination and part timers, *Gerster v Freistaat Bayern (ECJ)* 66; *Kording v Senator Fur Finanzen*, ECJ, 66
Inferring existence of discriminatory policy, *Kells v Pilkington plc*, 95
Injury to feelings – Guidelines on awards, *Vento v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire (No.2)*, 97
Interest not payable on awards, *Southampton & SW Hants Health Authority v Marshall*, 16
Joint and several liability – Guidelines, *Way v Crouch*, 112
Justifiable difference in pay, *Rainey v Greater Glasgow Health Board*, 2
Liability for 'knowingly aiding' discrimination, *AM v (1) WC (2) SPV*, 75
Linked acts of discrimination can amount to continuing act, *Hendricks v Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis*, CA, 97
Maternity leave – Failure to pay bonus, *Hoyland v Asda Stores*, 111
Maternity leave replacement discriminatory, *Rees v Apollo Watch Repairs*, 55
Meaning of 'organisation of employers', *National Federation of Self-Employed & Small Businesses v Philpott*, 63
MOD pregnancy dismissals - Compensation, *Ministry of Defence v Sullivan*, 41
MOD pregnancy dismissals, *Ministry of Defence v Cannock*, 47
No exemplary damages in discrimination cases, *Bradford Council v Arora*, 16
No remedy for post-employment discrimination, *Rhys-Harper v Relaxion Group*, CA,

Nude pin-ups not discriminatory, *Stewart v Cleveland Quest (Engineering)*, 46
Pension benefits - Whether pay, *Griffin v London Pension Fund Authority*, 38
Pensions - Retirement, *Birds Eye Walls v Roberts*, ECJ, 43
Positive action permitted, *Application by Badek*, ECJ, 81
Positive discrimination is lawful, *Marschall v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen*, ECJ, 66
Post dismissal victimisation - Can be subject of complaint, *Coote v Granada Hospitality*, 76
Post-operative transsexuals – Genuine occupational qualification, *A v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police*, CA, 96
Pregnancy - Dismissal, *Shomer v B & R Residential Lettings*, 34
Pregnancy – Failure to carry out risk assessment, *Hardman v Mallon t/a Orchard Lodge Nursing Home*, 95
Pregnancy – Fixed term workers, *Jimenez Melgar v Ayuntamiento de Los Barrios*, ECJ, 90
Pregnancy – Temporary workers, *Tele Danmark A/S v Handels-OG Kontorfunktionaerernes Forbund I Danmark (HK) acting on behalf of Brandt-Nielson*, ECJ, 90
Pregnancy and fixed term contracts, *Caruana v Manchester Airport*, 57
Pregnancy and surrounding circumstances, *O'Neill v Governors of St Thomas More RCVA Upper School (1), Bedfordshire CC (2)*, 57
Pregnancy dismissal tests, *Webb v EMO Air Cargo (UK) (No. 2)*, 53
Pregnancy dismissals - European law, *Webb v EMO Air Cargo (UK)*, 19; CA, 30; HL, 36; ECJ, 47
Pregnancy related illness dismissal discriminatory, *Brown v Rentokill*, ECJ, 70
Pregnancy related illness, *Brown v Rentokill*, 50
Pregnant servicewomen, *R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte EOC*, 30
Pre-operative transsexuals – Health and safety requirements, *Croft v Consignia*, 96
Refusal of job to pregnant woman - EU law - Direct sex discrimination, *Dekker v Stichting VJV Centrum Plus*, ECJ, 4
Requirement to inform of pregnancy is discriminatory, *Busch v Klinikum Neustadt GmbH & Co, Betriebs-KG*, ECJ, 101
Retirement - Equal Treatment Directive and the State, *Doughty v Rolls Royce*, 7; CA, 31
Retirement age - Dismissal, *Nicol v Ben Line Group*, 14
Retirement ages - Whether EU law overrides Northern Ireland law, *Finnegan v Clowney Youth Training Programme Ltd*, 21
Retrospective time limits, *British Coal Corporation v Keeble*, 63
Sex Discrimination Act procedures apply to EU claims, *Livingstone v Hepworth Refractories*, 31
Sex means gender – No liability for third party's discrimination, *MacDonald v Advocate General for Scotland; Pearce v Governing Body of Mayfield Secondary School*, HL, 101
Sexual harassment - Guidance, *Reed (1) and Bull Information Systems (2) v Stedman*, 74
Sexual harassment - 'Provocation' and compensation, *Wileman v Minilec Engineering*, 9
Sexual harassment - Statutory defence, *Balgobin & Francis v Tower Hamlets LBC*, 6
Sexual harassment - Tribunal evidence, *Snowball v Gardner Merchant*, 6
Sexual orientation - Not covered by Equal Treatment Directive, *R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte Perkins (No.2)*, 71
Sexual orientation and human rights, *Smith and Grady v UK*, ECJ, 77

Sexual orientation, R v Secretary of State for Defence ex parte Perkins, 62
Sexual orientation, Smith v Gardner Merchant, 57
Shift of onus of proof, Baker v Cornwall County Police, 20
Social activities - Whether 'in the course of employment', Chief Constable of the Lincolnshire Police v Stubbs, 73
State pensions - Retirement, Van Cant v Rijksdienst Voor Pensioenen, ECJ, 43
Sunday trading law, Chisholm v Kirklees MBC, 39
Suspensions alternative work and pay, British Airways (Gatwick) v Moore and Botterill, 80
Test for discriminatory harassment of gay man, Smith v Gardner Merchant, CA, 70
Time limits - Amendment of applications, Gillick v BP Chemicals, 40
Time limits - Change in the law, Foster v S Glamorgan Health Authority, 10
Time limits - Continuing discrimination, Calder v James Finlay Corp, 13
Time limits - Whether 'just and equitable', Mills & Crown Prosecution Service v Marshall, 70
Transfer of burden of proof – What facts applicant needs to establish, University of Huddersfield v Wolff, 105
Trans-sexual discrimination, P v S Cornwall CC (ECJ), 57
Transsexuals – Pre/post operative is not the test, Croft v Royal Mail Group, CA, 101
Transsexuals and human rights, Goodwin v United Kingdom, ECJ, 95
Two-year qualifying period justified, R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith (No.2), HL, 79
Unfair Dismissal - Redundancy selection, Brook v London Borough of Haringey, 35
Unfair dismissal - Two year rule unlawful, R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith & Perez, 46; CA, 52
Unfair dismissal - Two year rule, R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith, ECJ, 73
Upper age limits discriminatory, Nash v Marsh/Roe Group, 67
Verbal harassment, Insitu Cleaning Co v Heads, 48
Victimisation – Less favourable treatment, St Helens MBC v Derbyshire, 107
Victimisation – Whether reasonable attempt to settle dispute, CA, 113
Whether EU law overrides sex bias compensation claim, Marshall v Southampton Area Health Authority (No.2), 22
Whether part timers entitled to full timers rights, R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte EOC, 29; CA, 35; HL, 43
Whether sexual orientation protected, Secretary of State for Defence v MacDonald, 84; Court of Session 87
Whether upper age limit for unfair dismissal/redundancy claims discriminatory, Rutherford v Harvest Town Circle, 87
Women who are not employees – Failure to pay a bursary could amount to discrimination, Fletcher v Blackpool, Fylde and Wyre Hospitals NHS Trust, 113

TRADE UNIONS (see also REDUNDANCY)

Collective victimisation - Whether unlawful, National Coal Board v Ridgway, 2
Contract sweeteners - Action short of dismissal, Associated British Ports v Palmer; Associated Newspapers Ltd v Wilson; 35; CA, 38; HL, 50
Discrimination on trade union grounds – Compensation for injury to feelings, Hackney LBC v Adams, 101
Injury to feelings award, Cleveland Ambulance NHS Trust v Blane, 63

Recognition – Professional or worker test, R (on the application of the British Broadcasting Corporation) v Central Arbitration Committee, 101
Strike ballots, Blackpool & Fylde College v National Association of Teachers in Further & Higher Education, 44
Strike inducing breach of contract - Civil liability, Falconer v ASLEF & NUR, 2
Trade union duties - Time off, London Ambulance Service v Charlton, 36
Trade Union duties - Whether organised lobby of Parliament covered, Luce v London Borough of Bexley, 23
Union members rights - Unjustifiable discipline for refusing to strike, NALGO v Killorn & Simm, 22
Unjustifiable discipline - EAT compensation award to union members, Bradley v NALGO, 25
Unjustifiable discipline of union members - Definition of 'discipline', Medhurst v NALGO; TGWU v Webber, 23
Victimisation - Whether 'omission' constitutes, Ridgway v National Coal Board, 2

TRANSFERS OF UNDERTAKINGS (see also CONTINUITY, EUROPEAN LAW, UNFAIR DISMISSAL)

Agreements excluding TUPE – Compromise agreements, Solectron Scotland v Roper, 103
Asset/labour transfer required, Ayse Suzen v Zehnacker Gebandereinigung GmbH (ECJ), 61
Asset-intensive industries – Entity can retain identity without assets transfer, P&O Trans European Ltd v Initial Transport Services Ltd, 97
Assignment of employee, Buchanan-Smith v Schlekker & Co, 59
Automatic transfer of employees - Intention not relevant, Rotstart De Hertaine v J Benoidt SA & IGC Housing Service, SA, ECJ, 61
Automatic transfer of employees, D'Urso v Ercole Marelli Elettromeccanica General SpA (ECJ), 32
Change of bus contractor not a transfer, Oy Likenne AB v Liskojarvi and Juntunen, ECJ, 85
Cleanings services can be transferred, Schmidt v Spar und Leihkasse der Fruheren Amter Bordsholm, ECJ, 44
Collective agreement transfers, Whent v T Cartledge, 61
Commercial venture - Profit objective, Stirling v Dietsmann Management Systems, 28
Constructive dismissal – Fundamental breach of contract necessary, Rossiter v Pendragon plc; Air Foyle Ltd v Crosby-Clarke, CA, 93
Consultation liability passes to transferee, Kerry Foods v Creber, 78
Consultation liability stays with transferor, Transport and General Workers Union v (1) James McKinnon (2) JR (Haulage), 88
Continuity - 'At the time of transfer', Macer v Abafast, 20
Continuity - Date of transfer, Brook Lane Finance Co v Bradley, 10
Contracting out – Establishing TUPE transfer, Whitewater Leisure Management v Barnes, 82
Contracting out – Whether or not majority of workforce taken on only one factor, RCO Support Services v Unison, CA, 93
Contracting out of cleaning services, Dines v (1) Initial Health Care Services Ltd (2) Pall Mall Services Group, 40; CA, 45
Contracting-out - Whether identity of economic unit retained, North East Lincolnshire

Council v Beck, 75
Deliberate non-transfer of staff - Change of contractor is transfer, ECM (Vehicles Delivery Service) v Cox, 69; CA, 76
Dismissal before transfer unfair, Litster v Forth Dry Dock Engineering, 13
Dismissal in connection with the transfer, Ibex Trading Co v Walton, 47
Economic identity – Cannot be defined by employment status, Wynwith Engineering Co v Bennett, 91
Economic reasons dismissal, Gateway Hotels v Stewart, 11
Economic technical or organisational reason - Must be connected with conduct of business, Whitehouse v Chas A Blatchford & Sons, CA, 76
Economic, technical or organisational defence – Relevant factors, Thompson v SCS Consulting Ltd, 90
Employed at time of transfer – Transfer can be over period of time, Astley v Celtec Ltd, 90; CA, 95
Employee assigned to another part of business - Whether employed by transferred business, Duncan Webb Offset (Maidstone) v Cooper, 54
Employee cannot waive Directive rights, Viggosdottir v Islandspostur hf, EFTACJ, 93
EU Law - Contracting out, Watson Rask & Christiansen v ISS Kantineservice A/S, ECJ, 37
EU law - Employee objection to transfer, Katsikas v Konstantinidis (ECJ), 38
EU law - Health services transfers, Porter & Nanayakkara v Queen's Medical Centre (Nottingham University Hospital), 41
EU law - Relevant transfer, Dr Sophie Redmond Stichting v Bartol, (ECJ), 34
Jurisdiction - Injunction restraining dismissal refused, Betts v Brintel Helicopters & KLM ERA Helicopters (UK), 54
Justifying transfer dismissals, Wheeler v Patel & Golding Group, 5
Liability for negligent misstatements, Hagen v ICI Chemicals Ltd, 90
Liability for personal injury transfers, Bernadone v Pall Mall Group, CA, 82
Local authorities - Refuse collectors transferred, Wren v Eastbourne BC & UK Waste Control, 40
Meaning of commercial venture, Woodcock v Friends School, 2
Meaning of old-age benefits, Beckman v Dynamo Whicheloe MacFarlane Ltd, ECJ, 95
Mistaken belief no defence, BSG Property Services v Tuck, 55
No assets or workers transferred – Suzen disapplied, RCO Support Services and Aintree Hospital Trust v UNISON, 82
No assets transferred – Relevant entity must be identified, Cheesman (1) Onyx UK (2) v R Brewer Contracts, 84
No transfer of pension rights, Adams v Lancashire CC, 55; CA, 64
No TUPE application where irretrievable insolvency, Perth and Kinross Council v Donaldson, 103
Objecting to transfer – When constitutes wrongful dismissal, University of Oxford v (1) Humphreys and (2) Associated Examining Board, CA, 79
Objecting to transfer, Hay v George Hanson Building Contracts, 58
Pay increases transfer under TUPE, Glendale Managed Services v Graham, CA, 101
Pension rights - Whether transferable, Perry v Intec Colleges, 37
Pension rights, Walden Engineering Co v Warrener, 40
Performance related pay liability transfers, Unicorn Consultancy Services v Westbrook, 79

Personal assistant – No transfer where manager not transferred, CPL Distribution Ltd v Todd, CA, 96

Post-transfer variation is valid, Meade & Baxendale v British Fuels, 59, 64 (CA); Wilson v St Helens BC, 56; CA, 64; HL, 71

Pre-transfer settlement – Whether transferee liable, Thompson v Walon Car Delivery (1) & BRS Automotive (2), 63

Profit sharing scheme – Duty to provide substantially equivalent scheme, Mitre Managed Services Ltd v French, 95

Proposed transfer - Injunctions, Newins v British Airways, 38

Receiverships - Whether employees can be assets, Adams v (1) Healthcare & (2) Taltov, 22

Redundancy - Whether immediately before, Secretary of State for Employment v Spence, 1

Regulations deficient under European law, Bork Int v Foreningen (ECJ), 13

Relevance of 'the' transfer, Morris v John Grose Group, 71

Relevance of TUPE avoidance, Lightways (Contractors) v Associated Holdings, Court of Session, 80

Relevant transfer - Contracting out, Magna Housing Association v (1) Turner (2) Raison (3) Landscaping & Grounds Maintenance; Lightways (Contractors) v (1) Hood (2) Associated Holdings, 73

Relevant transfer – No contractual link between transferor and transferee, Temco Service Industries SA v Imzilyen, ECJ, 91

Relevant transfer and contracting out, Betts v Brintel Helicopters (1) KLM ERA Helicopters (2), 62

Relevant transfer, Charlton & Charlton v (1) Charlton Thermosystems (Romsey) (2) Ellis, 49

Relevant transfer, Kenny v South Manchester College, 39

Relevant transfers and contract workers, Francisco Hernandez Vidal SA v Gomez Perez, ECJ, 73

Relevant transfers, Merckx & Neuhuys v Ford Motor Co Belgium SA (ECJ), 58

Restrictive covenants - Whether transferred, Morris Angel & Son v Hollande, 38

Sex discrimination liability transferred, DJM International v Nicholas, 56

Single contract undertakings – Rygaard distinguished, Argyll Training v (1) Sinclair and (2) Argyll and the Islands Enterprise, 82

Sub-contractors not transferred, Ledernes Hovedorganisation on behalf of Ole Rygaard v Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening on behalf of Stro Molle Aknstik A/S, ECJ, 53

Substantial change can be constructive dismissal, Rossiter v Pendragon, 86

Transfer if different business, Crook v H Faiman, 18

Transfer not defeated by hive-down, In the matter of Maxwell Fleet and Facilities Management (In administration), 82

Transfer of franchise, LMC Drains (1) Metro Rod Services (2) v Waugh, 28

Transfer of 'unknown' employee, TC Cleaning Contractors v Joy, 59

Transfer to public body not excluded, Mayeur v Association Promotion De L'Information Messine (APIM), ECJ, 84

Transferor liable for transferor's failure to consult, Alamo Group (Europe) Ltd v Tucker, 98

Transferor's liability, Allan v Stirling DC, 44

Transfers - ETO defence, Trafford v Sharpe & Fisher (Building Supplies), 45

UK in breach of EU law, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland, 45

Variation of contract – Transfer must be sole cause, *Ralton v Havering College of Further & Higher Education*, 89
Whether economic identity retained, *Council of the Isles of Scilly v (1) Brintel Helicopters (2) Ellis*, 48
Whether employed 'at the time of transfer', *Clark & Tokeley t/a Spellbrook v Oakes*, 65
Whether 'human stock' assigned, *Securior Guarding v Fraser Security*, 59
Whether knowledge of transfers necessary - Employees automatically transferred, *Secretary of State for Trade & Industry v Cook*, 61
Whether knowledge of transfers necessary, *Photostatic Copiers (Southern) v Okuda & Japan Office Equipment*, 48
Whether TUPE applies - Employer's motive for failure to take on staff, *Astle v Cheshire CC*, 108
Work of single employee may constitute stable economic entity, *Dudley Bower Building Services Ltd v Lowe*, 98
Workers' consent unnecessary to change of employer, *Berg & Busschers v Besselsen*, ECJ, 16

UNFAIR DISMISSAL (see also CONTINUITY, SEX DISCRIMINATION, TRANSFERS OR UNDERTAKINGS)

Accepting changes by delay, *Rice v Bryson Meats*, 18
Adjourning Seymour-Smith cases, *Davidson v City Electrical Factors*, 67
Adjournments during proceedings, *White v Worcester Royal Infirmary*, 54
Agency workers may be employees – Implied contract, *Franks v Reuters Ltd*, CA, 99
Angry resignations, *Goodwill Inc. v Ferrier*, 17
Appeals - Automatic dismissals, *Post Office v Marney*, 20
Appeals - Reasonable procedures, *Byrne v BOC*, 36
Application of Polkey factor - Nature of dismissal relevant, *King v Eaton (No.2)*, CS, 72
Assessing compensation - Order of deductions, *Leonard v Strathclyde Buses*, CS, 72
Assessing compensation – Use of national minimum wage, *Paggetti v Cobb*, 96
Attempting to return after maternity leave - Exclusion of unfair dismissal right, *Dowuona v John Lewis*, 6
Award - Effect of cross claim, *Schofield v Church Army*, 2
Band of reasonable responses – Employee should only be found guilty of offence charged, *Strouthos v London Underground*, CA, 106
Band of reasonable responses, *Rentokil v Machin*, 15
Basic award - Redundancy payments, *Boorman v Allmakes*, 51
Breach of contract – Contractual and normal retirement age, *Royal and Sun Alliance Insurance Group v Payne*, 114
Burchell test not a formality, *Scottish Daily Records & Sunday Mail (1986) v Laird*, 60
Burchell tests too strictly applied, *Boys & Girls Welfare Society v McDonald*, 55
Chairs sitting alone - Chair to exercise discretion, *Sogbetun v Hackney LBC*, 72
Change in work place - Mitigation of loss, *McAndrew v Prestwick Circuits*, 12
Claim is personal to bankrupt, *Grady v HM Prison Service*, CA, 101
Claims lodged prior to dismissal, *Patel v Nagelson*, 51
Compensation - Conduct post dismissal, *Soros & Soros v Davison & Davison*, 45
Compensation - Contributory fault and reinstatement, *Boots Co v Lees-Collier*, 2
Compensation – Credit for incapacity benefit, *Morgans v Alpha Plus Security*, 110
Compensation - Dismissal without notice while sick, *Langley v Burlo*, 117

Compensation – Entitlement to wages during notice period when wages earned from another employer, *Hardy v Polk (Leeds)*, 105

Compensation - Ex gratia payments, *Rushton v Harcross Timber & Building Supplies*, 36

Compensation - Ill health, *Devine v Designer Flowers Wholesale Florists Sundries*, 42

Compensation – Injury to feelings caused by dismissal, *Dunnachie v Kingston upon Hull Council*, CA, 104

Compensation - Invalidity Benefit, *Hilton International Hotels (UK) v Faraji*, 45

Compensation - Loss of statutory protection, *Muffett v Head*, 2

Compensation – No credit for income earned during contractual notice period, *Voith Turbo v Stowe*, 110

Compensation - Off-setting ex gratia payment, *Roadchef v Hastings*, 9

Compensation – Order of deductions, *Heggie v Uniroyal Englebert Tyres*, 70; CS, 78

Compensation - Pay in lieu, *Derwent Coachworks v Kirby*, 48

Compensation – Premium for delayed payment, *Melia v Magna Kansei*, 111

Compensation - Unemployment Benefit, *Morton v CAO*, 11

Compensation and employer’s responsibility for ill health, *Edwards v Governors of Hanson School*, 89

Compensation and new employment, *Whelan t/a Cheers Off Licence v Richardson*, 67

Compensation during notice period - No double recovery, *Babcock Fata v Addison*, 1; CA, 3

Compensation is 'pay', *Mediguard Services v Thame*, 46

Compensation must be reduced by Invalidity Benefit, *Puglia v C James & Sons*, 55

Compensation reductions, *Rao v Civil Aviation Authority*, 44

Conduct - Blanket dismissals, *Frames Snooker Centre v Boyce*, 35

Conduct dismissal - Misusing employer's computer, *Denco v Joinson*, 25

Conduct related dismissals – Range of reasonable responses test applies to investigations, *Sainsbury’s Supermarkets Ltd v Hitt*, CA, 96

Constructive dismissal – ‘Last straw’ test, *Waltham Forest LBC v Omilaju*, CA, 108

Constructive dismissal - Effective date of termination, *Edwards v Surrey Police*, 76

Constructive dismissal - Employer's actions need not be sole cause, *Jones v F Sirl & Son*, 64

Constructive dismissal - Employer's mistaken belief in the contract, *Power Lines Pipes & Cables v Penrice*, 5

Constructive dismissal – Failure to notify of job opportunity, *Visa International Service Association v Paul*, 103

Constructive dismissal – Focus should be on conduct of employer, *Tolson v Governing Body of Mixenden*, 102

Constructive dismissal - Implied right to pay rise, *Murco Petroleum v Forge*, 3

Constructive dismissal - Implied terms, *Courtaulds v Sibson*, 9

Constructive dismissal - Imposition of new terms, *Greenaway Harrison v Wiles*, 46

Constructive dismissal - Mistaken belief, *Brown v JBD Engineering*, 42

Constructive dismissal – No implied term to avoid acquiescing in excessive workload, *Marshall Specialist Vehicles v Osborne*, 101

Constructive dismissal - Smoking, *Dryden v Greater Glasgow Health Board*, 35

Continuity - Breaks through sickness, *Donnelly v Kelvin International Services*, 36

Continuity - Exclusion from statutory protection if ordinarily working outside UK, *Wood v Cunard Line*, 15; CA, 21

Continuity - Hours as required, *Green v Roberts*, 36

Continuity - Transfers, *Gale v Northern General Hospital NHS Trust*, 45

Continuity despite frustration, *Tipper v Roofnec*, 15

Continuity of employment - Employment during successive weeks, *Sweeney v J&S Henderson (Concessions)*, 74

Continuity: meaning of 'year' – Date from which dismissal notice runs, *Pacitti Jones v O'Brien*, CS, 114

Continuous employment - 'Associated employer', *South West Launderettes v Laidler*, 1

Continuous employment - Normal hours of work, *Surrey CC v Lewis*, 1

Continuous employment - Temporary cessation of work, *Flack v Kodak*, 1

Contributory fault - Whether appropriate in sickness dismissals, *Slaughter v Brewer & Sons*, 23

Contributory fault and upper limit on dismissal award - When limit should be applied, *Walter Braund v Murray*, 22

Contributory fault, *Polentarutti v Autokfract*, 29

Costs - Taking account of representative's means, *Omar v Worldwide News*, 69

Deductions from compensation - Termination payment to be deducted first, *Cox v London Borough of Camden*, 57

Deductions from compensation - Treatment of excess redundancy payment, *Digital Equipment Co v Clements*, 60; CA, 67

Deductions from compensation - Treatment of excess redundancy payment, *Digital Equipment Co v Clements*, 60

Deductions from compensation - Whether Polkey deduction to be made first, *Digital Equipment Co v Clements*, 58

Deductions from compensation, *Savage v Saxena*, 68

Deductions from compensatory award - Ex gratia payments, *Horizon Holidays v Grassi*, 6

Demotion to part time work is dismissal, *Hogg v Dover College*, 13

Determining the employer, *Secretary of State for Education and Employment v Bearman*, 71

Different treatment of two employees, *Securicor v Smith*, 15

Disciplinary inconsistency, *Conlin v United Dairies*, 44

Disclosure of witness statements - Essence of case must be disclosed, *Hussain v Elonex*, CA, 75

Dismissal during maternity absence, *Woolworths v Smith*, 17

Dismissal for asserting statutory right – Complaint about non-payment of wages, *Elizabeth Claire Care Management v Francis*, 114

Dismissal for first offence - ACAS code, *Lock v Cardiff Railway Co*, 69

Dismissal for industrial action or redundancy?, *Baxter v Limb Group of Companies*, 47

Dismissal for pregnancy related sickness, *Handels-og on behalf of Larsson v Dank Handel & Service*, ECJ, 65

Dismissal for some other substantial reason – Lawful termination not a breach of trust and confidence, *Kerry Foods v Lynch*, 113

Dismissal on grounds of national security – Test of reasonableness still applies, *B v BAA*, 114

Dismissal or resignation - Angry resignations, *Langlands & McAinsh v Shearer*, 8

Drug offence, *Mathewson v R B Wilson Dental Laboratory*, 12

Effective date of termination - Agreed date, *Lambert v Croydon College*, 75

Effective date of termination - Breach of contractual procedure irrelevant, *Batchelor v British Railways*, 4

Effective date of termination - Extension by statutory notice, *Reuters v Atkinson*, 26

Effective date of termination, *McMaster v Manchester Airport*, 67

Employee walk out, *Smith v Phil's TV Service*, 27

Employees over employer's normal retirement age, *Cross v British Airways*, 111

Employees transferred between subsidiaries - Whether dismissed, *Lomas v Thomas Ness*, 4

Employment status of volunteer - Definition of 'employee', *Melhuish v Redbridge CAB*, 111

EU law - Time limits, *Methilhill Bowling Club v Hunter*, 50

Expiry of maternity leave - Contract continuing, *Lewis Woolf Griptight v Corfield*, 64

Expiry of written warning, *Bevan Ashford v Malin*, 51

Extending the time limit, *James Cook & Co v Tipper*, 20

Failure to give notice – No damages for loss of chance to claim unfair dismissal, *Harper v Virgin Net, CA*, 104

Failure to offer alternative work available after dismissal, *Octavius Atkinson v Morris*, 14

Fair consultation - *Polkey, Rowell v Hubbard Group Services*, 50

Fair procedure - Consultation, *Duffy v Yeomans & Partners Ltd*, 40; *CA*, 48

Fair procedure - Consultation, *Heron v Citilink - Nottingham*, 40

Final written warning can be constructive dismissal, *Stanley Cole (Wainfleet) Ltd v Sheridan*, 97

Findings of fact - Grounds for interfering, *East Berkshire Health Authority v Matadeen*, 33

Fixed term contract waivers - Extension part of original contract, *BBC v Kelly-Phillips, CA*, 69

Fixed term contracts, *Mulvine v University of Ulster*, 42

Fixed term waivers and extensions, *Bhatt v Chelsea & Westminster Health Care Trust*, 66

Frustration of contract - Borstal sentence, *FC Shepherd & Co v Jerrom*, 1

Frustration of contract, *Williams v Watsons Coaches*, 19

Fundamental breach – Implied term of trust and confidence, *Morrow v Safeway Stores*, 90

Future loss, *Dundee Plant Co v Riddler*, 13

Guidelines on reasonableness, *Whitbread & Co v Mills*, 12

Health - Intermittent absence, *Lyncock v Cereal Packaging*, 12

Health and safety dismissals – Industrial action is not 'reasonable means', *Balfour Kilpatrick v Acheson*, 101

Health and safety dismissals – Meaning of danger to other persons, *Masiak v City Restaurants*, 78

Health and safety dismissals – Meaning of danger, *Harvest Press v McCaffrey*, 78

Health and safety dismissals - Whether automatically unfair, *Goodwin v Cabletel UK*, 66

Ill health caused by employer, *London Fire & Civil Defence Authority v Betty*, 46

Ill health dismissals - Role of tribunals, *Eclipse Blinds v Wright*, 32

Illegal contracts, *Hewcastle Catering v Ahmed & Elkamah*, 29

Implied term of trust and confidence – No damages for way relationship terminated, *Eastwood v Magnox Electric, CA*, 93

Importance of warning and consultation, *Links & Co v Rose*, 28

Inadequate reasons, *Banks v Lavin*, 17

Indirect discrimination - The two year rule, *R v Secretary of State for Employment ex parte Seymour-Smith & Perez*, 46; *CA*, 52

Industrial action - Compensation, *TNT Express (UK) v Downes*, 40

Industrial action exclusion - Whether subjective or objective test, *Manifold Industries v*

Sims, 26
Industrial action, *Lewis & Brittan v E Mason & Sons*, 43
Injury to feelings – Non-economic loss not recoverable in unfair dismissal cases, *Dunnachie v Kingston upon Hull CC*, HL, 105
Insolvent employer - Partnerships, *SoS for Trade & Industry v Forde*, CA, 63
Internal appeal cures breach of natural justice, *Clarke v CAA*, 28
Internal appeals - Procedures, *Cabaj v Westminster CC*, 47
Interpretation of contracts - Collective agreements, *Hooper v British Railways Board*, 12
Invalidity Benefit deductions from compensation, *Rubenstein & Roskin v McGloughlin*, 60
Investigating conduct - Blanket dismissals, *Whitbread v Thomas*, 8
Investigation - Breach of natural justice, *Moyes v Hylton Castle Working Men's Club*, 2
Investigation must fall within band of reasonableness, *Panama v Hackney LBC*, CA, 98
Liability of State insolvency fund, *Rodriguez Cabellero v Fondo De Garantia Salarial (Fogosa)*, ECJ, 97
Losses too remote - Loan write off not ex gratia payment, *Simrad v Scott*, 61
Maternity leave - Redundancy, *Philip Hodges & Co v Kell*, 47
Maternity leave - Whether temporary job suitable, *McLean v William Hill*, 28
Maternity leave, *Hilton International Hotels (UK) v Kaissi*, 45
Maternity leave, *Institute of the Motor Industry v Harvey*, 34
Miners' strike dismissals - Defects in appeals procedure, *Watson v National Smokeless Fuels*, 4
Misconduct - Reasonable investigation, *Dick v Glasgow University*, 42
Misconduct - Whether single error constitutes, *R v Pharmaceutical Society of GB ex parte Sokoh*, 2
Misconduct away from work, *Nottinghamshire CC v P*, 28
Misconduct dismissals - Employer's duty to investigate, *Ulsterbus v Henderson*, 15
Misconduct investigation - Whether subjective or objective standard, *Scottish Midland Co-op v Cullion*, 26
Mitigation - Internal appeals, *Lock v Connell Estate Agents*, 46
Mobility clause subject to implied terms, *United Bank v Akhtar*, 17
Multiple reasons for dismissal - Employer must show all valid, *Smith v Glasgow DC*, 6
New contract terms – No requirement to agree reasonable terms, *Forshaw v Archcraft*, 112
No authority no COT3, *Gloystarne v Martin*, 86
No compensation for injury to feelings, *Dunnachie v Kingston Upon Hull City Council*, 99
No difference rule - Correct question for tribunal, *Polkey v Dayton Services*, 7
No implied acceptance of mobility clause, *Aparau v Iceland Frozen Foods*, 55
No right to cross-examine at disciplinary hearing, *Santamera v Express Cargo Forwarding*, 98
No service requirement where TUPE, *Milligan v Securicor Cleaning*, 51
Normal age of retirement, *Whittel v MSC*, 7
Normal retirement age – Employee in unique position, *Wall v British Compressed Air Society*, 102, CA, 103
Normal retiring age, *O'Brien v Barclays Bank*, 47
Notice period - Whether last day included, *West v Kneels*, 1
On grounds of union membership, *Discount Tobacco v Armitage*, 18
Part time workers, *Clifford v Devon CC*, 48
Part time workers, *Warren v Wylie & Wylie*, 45

Part timers - Retrospective claims, *Setiya v E Yorkshire Health Authority*, 51
Pay in lieu - Dismissal, *Marshall (Cambridge) v Hamblin*, 45
Political extremist refused re-engagement, *Greenwich LBC v Dell*, 54
Polkey - Consultation, *Robertson v Magnet (Retail) Division*, 42
Polkey - 'No difference' test, *Steel Stockholders (Birmingham) v Kirkwood*, 42
Polkey reduction guidance, *Fisher v California Cake & Cookie*, 62
Polkey reductions – Tribunal must invite submissions, *Market Force (UK) Ltd v Hunt*, 96
Pregnancy dismissal, *Clayton v Vigers*, 16
Pregnancy dismissals after maternity leave, *Caledonia Bureau Investment & Procedural defect and redundancy selection, Lloyd v Taylor Woodrow*, 78
Pregnant employee - Whether dismissal automatically unfair, *Brown v Stockton BC*, 5 (CA), 10 (HL)
Principles on additional award for failure to re-employ, *Mabirizi v National Hospital for Nervous Diseases*, 19
Procedural defects - No difference, *Fuller v Lloyds Bank*, 28
Procedure - Chance to explain, *Clarke v Trimoco Group*, 38
Property v Caffrey, 67
Reason for dismissal - Matters after dismissal notice, *Alboni v Ind Coope Retail*, CA, 67
Reasonable extension of time limits, *Marley (UK) v Anderson*, 54
Reasonable investigation – Gravity of charges relevant, *A v B*, 99
Reasonableness - Error of law, *E Berkshire Health Authority v Matadeen*, 34
Reasonableness of dismissal - Relevance of 'guilty' plea, *British Gas v McCarrick*, 27
Redundancy - Consultation, *De Grasse v Stockwell Tools*, 30
Redundancy consultation, *Mingford v Midland Bank*, 62
Redundancy selection - Burden of proof, *Eaton v King*, 49
Redundancy selection - Consultation, *King v Eaton*, 56
Redundancy selection - Fair opportunity to contest required, *John Brown Engineering v Brown*, 61
Redundancy selection - Trade union activities, *Dundon v GPT*, 52
Redundancy selection against customary arrangement, *Rogers v Vosper Thorneycroft*, 13
Re-employment - IT's duty, *Cowley v Manson Timber*, 49
Re-engagement - Practicability, *Port of London Authority v Payne*, 35; CA, 43
Re-engagement must not be more favourable, *Rank Xerox (UK) v Stryczek*, 53
Rehabilitation of offenders exemptions - Meaning of 'in connection with', *Wood v Coverage Care*, 56
Reinstatement - Whether practicable, *Cold Drawn Tubes v Middleton*, 32
Reinstatement and compensation, *Selfridges v Malik*, 65
Reinstatement did not make dismissal fair, *Ross v Raphael School Trust*, 19
Reinstatement order, *O'Laoire v Jackel International*, 18
Relevance of employer's actions after dismissal - Alternative job not offered, *Oakley v Labour Party*, 8
Reputation of profession more important than interests of members, *Patel v General Medical Council*, PC, 98
Resignation induced by employer's fraud, *Caledonian Mining Co v Bassett*, 5
Resignations in heat of moment, *Sovereign House SS v Savage*, 14
Retirement age - Discrimination, *Nicol v Ben Line Group*, 14
Retrospective claim barred, *Biggs v Somerset CC*, 52; CA, 55

Returning from maternity leave - Payment of maternity pay, McPherson v Drumpark House, 62

Returning from maternity leave - Whether actual return required, Crees v Royal London Mutual Insurance; Greaves v Kwik Save Stores 62; CA, 67

Right to be accompanied – Guidelines as what is a ‘formal warning’, London Underground Ltd v Ferenc-Batchelor, Harding v London Underground Ltd, 98

Right to privacy – Justifying employer’s interference with Human Rights, Pay v Lancashire Probation Service, 103

Right to time off due to death of dependant – Not a right to take compassionate leave, Forster v Cartwright Black, 107

Section 98(4) ERA test of fairness – Human rights principles apply, X v Y, 101

Settlement after hearing - Whether binding, Courage Take Home Trades v Keys, 1

Severance pay - Additional award, Darr v LRC Products, 39

Seymour-Smith adjournment refused, Thomas v The National Training Partnership, 57

Seymour-Smith adjournments, Street v Peacock, 58

Seymour-Smith ratio de minimis, R v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry ex parte Unison, 57

Smoking ban - Neither constructive dismissal nor unfair, Rogers v Wicks & Wilson, 8

Some other substantial reason – Dismissal of chief executive following takeover, Cobley v Forward Technology Industries, CA, 101

Some other substantial reason - Substituting decision, Ely v YKK Fasteners (UK), 41

Statutory dispute resolution – Partial reversal of Polkey, Kelly-Madden v Manor Surgery, 120

Strikes - Contributory fault, Crosville Wales v Tracey (No.2), CA, 56; HL, 66

Sub-postmasters not employees, Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Post Office Ltd, 98

Substantial reason - Reorganisation, Catamaran Cruisers v Williams, 46

Sufficient investigation, ILEA v Grant, 12

Sufficient reason - Proper test, St John of God (Care Services) v Brooks, 33

Sunday working not a breach of human rights, 113

Temporary cessation of work - Succession of fixed term contracts, Berwick Salmon Fisheries v Rutherford, 26

Termination by agreement - Not void, Logan Salton v Durham CC, 14

Test of reasonableness – Availability of alternative remedies, Wilson v Ethicon, 78

Test of reasonableness – Band of reasonable responses not abolished, Midland Bank v Madden, 80

Test of reasonableness – Band of reasonable responses test abolished, Haddon v Van Den Bergh Foods, 77

Test of reasonableness – Band of reasonable responses test approved, Beedell v West Ferry Printers, 81

Test of reasonableness – Band of reasonable responses test restored, Post Office v Foley; HSBC (Midland Bank) v Madden, CA, 84

Tests for deciding unfair redundancy, Langston v Cranfield University, 68

Threat of breach of Wages Act - Whether infringement of statutory right, Mennell v Newell & Wright (Transport Containers), 56

Time limits - Failure of post, Capital Foods Retail v Corrigan, 40

Time limits - Post - Expectations, St Basil's Centre v McCrossan, 29

Time limits - Reasonably practicable, London International College v Sen, 39

Time limits - Tests for 'reasonably practicable', Camden & Islington Community Services NHS Trust v Kennedy, 57

Time limits - Whether 'reasonably practicable' - Six principles, *Trevelyan v Norton*, 23
Time limits, *Morley (UK) v Anderson*, 44
Time limits, *Newman v Polytechnic of Wales Student Union*, 49
Time limits, *Pruden v Cunard Ellerman*, 39
Trade union activities - Polkey compensation, *Britool v Roberts*, 41
Tribunal jurisdiction – Meaning of 'employment in Great Britain', *Lawson v Serco*;
Botham v MOD; *Crofts v Veta*, HL, 117
Unambiguous resignations, *Kwik-Fit (GB) v Lineham*, 32
Unfair dismissal exclusion - Strikes or other industrial action, *Bolton Roadways v Edwards*, 7
Unfair redundancy - Polkey compensation, *Campbell v Dunoon & Cowal Housing Association*, 41
Unfair selection for redundancy - Relevance of attendance record, *Dooley v Leyland Vehicles*, 4
Unilateral variation is dismissal, *Alcan Extrusions v Yates*, 57
Union membership, *CGB Publishing v Killey*, 42
Unreasonable behaviour - Fundamental breach, *Hart Builders (Edinburgh) v Lyall*, 32
Upper age limit - Establishing 'normal retiring age', *Brooks v British Telecom*, 24; CA, 31
Upper age limits - Normal retirement ages, *Barber v Thames Television*, 26; CA, 33
Whether difficult personality a fair reason to dismiss, *Perkin v St Georges Healthcare NHS Trust*, CA, 114
Whether employee's breach is self dismissal, *Gentles v Santa Fe Offshore Construction Co*, 23
Whether fraud on employer makes contract illegal, *Broaders v Kalkare Property*, 23
Whether upper age limit amounts to indirect discrimination, *Rutherford v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry (No.2)*, 95; CA, 107; HL 117
Written reasons for dismissal - Unreasonable refusal to provide, *Greenwood v Miller*, 8
Wrongful dismissal – Notice period contractual, *Masiak v City Restaurants*, 78
Wrongful dismissal - Whether automatically unfair, *BBC Sports & Social Club v Morgan*, 6

WAGES ACT

Authorised deductions - How contract amendments treated, *York City & District Travel v Smith*, 19
Calculating accrued holiday pay, *Thames Water Utilities v Reynolds*, 56
Claim for unpaid commission - Court or tribunal?, *Rickard v PB Glass Supplies*, 15
Collective agreements need agreement, *Davies v Hotpoint*, 47
Deduction exceeds wages due, *Alsop v Star Vehicle Contracts*, 18
Deductions - Tribunal jurisdiction, *Fairfield v Skinner*, 37
Deductions - Whether pay in lieu is wages, *Kournavous v Masterton & Sons*, 19
Demotion - Deductions, *Morgan v West Glamorgan CC*, 49
Entitlement to disability benefit – 'Unable to work' defined, *Jowitt v Pioneer Technology (UK) Ltd*, 96; CA, 99
Entitlement to statutory sick pay – Tribunal jurisdiction, *Taylor Gordon & Co v Timmons*, 103
Equitable set-off where large counterclaim, *New Centurion Trust v Welch*, 19
Exceptions - Deductions in consequence of disciplinary proceedings, *Chiltern House v Chambers*, 19
Expenses not wages, *London Borough of Southwark v O'Brien*, 58

Failure to pay bonus, *Barlow v A J Whittle*, 18
Lawful deductions - Strikes, *Sunderland Polytechnic v Evans*, 39
Loan repayments, *Potter v Hunt Chemicals*, 30
Nature of payment in lieu of notice - Whether net or gross, *Foster Wheeler v Jackson*, 22
Non-contractual commission payable, *Kent Management Services v Butterfield*, 32
Notification of deductions, *Kerr v The Sweater Shop (Scotland)*, 58
Overtime - Employee below 'annualised hours', *Ali v Christian Salvesen Food Services*, 54
Overtime pay cut is deduction, *Bruce v Wiggins Teape (Stationery)*, 47
Pay in lieu - Non-payment, *Delaney v Staples*, 18; CA, 24; HL, 31
Payment in lieu - Bonus, *Janstorp International (UK) v Allen*, 22
Properly payable tips, *Saavedra v Aceground t/a Terrazza Est*, 50
Recoupment of overpayment, *Home Office v Ayres*, 30
Reduction in wages - Whether 'unauthorised deduction', *Hussman Manufacturing v Weir*, 69
Summary dismissal - Money owed, *Greg May v Dring*, 17
Time limits - Test for late applications, *Taylorplan Services v Jackson*, 57
Time limits and deductions, *Group 4 Nightspeed v Gilbert*, 63
Transfers of undertakings - Variation of contract unlawful, *Wilson v St Helens BC*, 56; CA, 64
Unilateral change of contract, *McCree v Tower Hamlets LBC*, 29
Unilateral imposition of short-time working – Unauthorised deduction, *International Packaging Corporation (UK) Ltd v Balfour*, 96
Unilateral variation - Working under protest, *Macruary v Washington Irvine*, 45
Unlawful deductions - Pay in lieu of notice, *Jackson v Foster Wheeler*, 15
Unlawful deductions – When bonus becomes 'wages', *Farrell Matthews & Weir v Hanson*, 109
When deduction agreed, *Discount Tobacco & Confectionary v Williamson*, 39
Whether 100% deduction unlawful, *Penname v Paterson*, 12
Whether underpayment is a 'deduction', *Reid v Camphill Engravers*, 19

WORKING TIME REGULATIONS

48 hour working week - Statutorily implied term in employment contracts, *Barber v RJB Mining (UK)*, 74
Entitlement to holiday pay while on sick leave, *Kigass Aero Components Ltd v Brown; Bold Transmission Parts Ltd v Taree; Macredie v Thrapston Garage*, 92
Entitlement to paid annual leave, *BECTU v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry*, ECJ, 87
Excess holiday – No recovery unless agreed, *Hill v Chappell*, 96
Holiday pay – Calculation uses working days, *Leisure Leagues UK Ltd v Maconnachie*, 94
Holiday pay – Including overtime payments in calculating earnings, *Barnsley v Albon Engineering & Manufacturing*, CA, 105
Holiday pay – Meaning of 'week's pay', *Evans v Malley Organisation Ltd t/a First Business Support*, CA, 97
Holiday pay – Rolled up holiday pay unlawful, *MPB Structures Ltd v Munro*, 94; CS, 99
Holiday pay – Whether included in hourly rate, *Blackburn v Gridquest Ltd t/a Select*

Employment, 91; CA, 94
Holiday pay – Workers on long-term sick leave, Commissioners of Inland Revenue v Ainsworth, CA, 110
Hospital doctor – On call hours are working time, Landeshauptstadt Kiel v Jaeger, ECJ, 102
Industry-wide collective agreement cannot take away right to accrued holiday pay on termination, Witley and District Men's Club v Mackay, 88
Maternity leave – Entitlement to annual leave is different, Merino Gomez v Continental Industrias del Caucho, ECJ, 104
On call counts as working time, Sindicato de Asistencia Publica (Sinap) v Conselleria de Sanidad y Consumo de la Generalidad Valenciana, ECJ, 84
Paperboy not 'worker', Addison t/a Brayton News v Ashby, 98
Right to rest breaks – Meaning of 'need for continuity of service production', Gallagher v Alpha Catering Services, CA, 109
Road transport exception referred to ECJ, Bowden v Tuffnells Parcels Express, 81
Rolled-up holiday pay – Employer must show holiday pay is additional amount, Smith v A J Morrisroe & Sons, 109
Rolled-up holiday pay – Provisions do not contravene regulations, Caulfield v Marshalls Clay Products; Clarke v Frank Staddon, CA, 105
Rolled-up holiday pay can be lawful, Marshalls Clay Products v Caulfield, 101
State employees and annual leave, Gibson v East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 73
Time limits for claims – Wages includes holiday pay, List Design Group Ltd v Douglas, List Design Group Ltd v Catley, 96
Time spent on call is working time – Entitlement to rest breaks, MacCartney v Oversley House Management, 117
Wage cut to meet holiday pay unlawful, Davies v MJ Wyatt (Decorators), 84
Worker status – Approved substitute only permitted, Byrne Brothers (Formwork) Ltd v Baird, 91
Worker's agreement to 48-hour opt-out – Incorporation of collective agreement not sufficient, Pfeiffer v Deutsches Rotes Kreuz, ECJ, 109

WRITTEN REASONS

Request necessary to challenge, Catherine Haigh Harlequin Hair v Seed, 20
Written reasons untrue, Harvard Securities v Younghusband, 18

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL

Damages and work-related stress, Johnson v Unisys, CA, 73
No damages for lack of proper notice and loss of chance of receiving unfair dismissal compensation, Virgin Net v Harper, 102
Tribunal award capped at jurisdictional limit – Whether breach of contract claim for excess can be pursued in High Court, Fraser v HLMAD Ltd, CA, 118, 119