

Issues 1 – 134 December 1986 – July 2009

ADVISER INDEX

ABSTRACTS INDEX

SUBJECT INDEX: HOUSING

This index includes cases appearing in issues 1 – 134. The index reference eg, Paterson v Agio, 6 indicates that the abstract appears in Adviser number 6.

CHILDREN

After-care under s.24 Children Act – Which council responsible, R v Kent CC ex parte Salisbury and Pierre, 79
Children Act 1989 - Housing authority's duty under Children Act, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Byas, 38
Children Act 1989 - Transfer of property for benefit of children, Re J (a minor), 36
Ouster order for mother, Re M, 43
Housing need - Children Act assessment, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Bradford, 63
Inadequate assessment under s.17 Children Act, R v Nottingham CC ex parte SB and AB, 88
Section 27 referral under Children Act 1989, R v Northavon DC ex parte Smith, 39, CA; 45, HL
Suitability of accommodation, R v Brent LBC ex parte Sawyers, 43
Transfer of tenancy, B v B, 45

COMMUNITY CARE

Accommodating asylum seekers – Councils responsible where needs do not arise solely from destitution, Westminster CC v The National Asylum Support Service, 87
Accommodation and assistance with accommodation are within scope of Children Act services, R(W) v Lambeth LBC, CA, 95
Accommodation for asylum seekers – Adequacy must be considered in context, R (on the application of Ali) v (1) NASS (2) Waltham Forest LBC, CA, 106
Accommodation offered under NAA 1948 – Council must take account of needs, R v Richmond LBC ex parte H, 86
Adequate accommodation for asylum seeker – Local authority unable to provide more suitable accommodation, R (on the application of A) v (1) National Asylum Support Service (2) Waltham Forest LBC, 103
After-care services under Mental Health Act 1983 are free, R v Redcar & Cleveland BC ex parte Armstrong; R v Manchester CC ex parte Stennett; R v Harrow LBC ex parte Cobham, HL, 96
Assessment must be carried out, R v Bristol CC ex parte Penfold, CA, 68
Assistance available to those in UK illegally, O v Wandsworth LBC; Bhikha v

Leicester City Council, CA, 83

Availability of NASS accommodation - Councils required to provide accommodation under NAA 1948, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Mani, R v Enfield LBC ex parte Tasci, R v Enfield LBC ex parte J, 97

Breach of public law duties and human rights – No positive Article 8 obligations unless serious consequences, Anufrijeva v Southwark LBC; R (N) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (M) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 105

Charges for community care services - Chronically Sick and Disabled Person's Act 1970, R v Powys CC ex parte Hambridge (Secretary for Health), CA, 72

Church members living communally in church premises – HB refusal not ECHR breach, Campbell v (1) South Northamptonshire DC (2) Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, CA, 111

Complaints process should have been used rather than judicial review proceedings, Frank Cowl v Plymouth CC, CA, 93

Cost of nursing care responsibility of NHS, R v North and East Devon Health Authority ex parte Coughlan, CA, 76

Council need not confine itself to providing only bed & breakfast accommodation, R v Newham LBC ex parte C, 77

Council's duty under NAA 1948 – When council entitled to treat it as discharged, R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Kujtim, CA, 77

Councils cannot charge for after-care accommodation Watson's Stennet's Cobham's and Armstrong's Application for Judicial Review v Richmond BC Manchester CC Harrow LBC and Redcar BC, CA, 83

Decision to move elderly person from residential care to nursing care quashed where decision-making process seriously flawed, R (Goldsmith) v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 111

Delay in notification of refugee status- Damages awarded for maladministration, r (A & Kanidagli) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 112

Denial of asylum support not necessarily breach of Human Rights, R (on the application of T) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 103

Denial of support to asylum seekers unlawful, R (on the application of Q) v SoS for the Home Department, 98; CA, 100

Deportation of failed asylum seeker – Lack of access to medical care not 'exceptional circumstance', N v Secretary of State for the Home Department, HL, 113

Disabled asylum seeker with children – NASS and social services must both support, R (on the application of O) v Haringey LBC and Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 107

Dispersal of asylum seeker not justified when no adequate services available, R v SoS for the Home Department ex parte M, 96

Dispersal of asylum seekers – No breach of Convention rights, R (on the application of Gezer) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 101

Domestic violence – Need for care and attention, Khan v Oxfordshire County Council, 98

Duty to carry out community care assessment and provide accommodation – Applicant with mental health problem, R v Newham LBC ex parte Patrick, 86

Duty to carry out community care assessment and provide accommodation –

Council cannot rely on lack of resources, R v Islington LBC ex parte Batantu, 86

Hard cases asylum support scheme – Decision not to publish details unlawful, R (Salih and Rahmani) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 103

Human Rights - Failure to provide community care services, R (Bernard) v Enfield LBC, 98

Illegal entrant or overstayer - Assistance under National Assistance Act, R v Brent LBC ex parte D, 75

Interim accommodation pending final decision – Council duty to provide, AA (R on the application of) v Lambeth LBC, CA, 94

Loan to help fund adaptations to disabled child's home – Reasonable for council to impose conditions, R (on the application of BG) v Medway Council, 114

Local authority not required to provide accommodation that meets preferences but not needs, Khana v Southwark LBC, CA, 88

Local authority responsibility for accommodating asylum seekers – Needs not arising solely from destitution, Westminster CC v National Asylum Support Service, CA, 94; HL, 97

Meaning of 'residential accommodation', R v Newham LBC ex parte Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture, 71

National Assistance Act 1948 - Cash or cash vouchers not permitted, R v Secretary of State for Health ex parte Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 73

National Assistance Act 1948 - Extent of council's duty, R v Newham LBC ex parte Plastin, 71

No charitable support available for asylum seekers – Refusal of State support breach of human rights, Secretary of State for the Home Department v (1) Limbuela (2) Tesema (3) Adam and Shelter (intervening party), CA, 107

No purpose in Children Act assessment, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte A, CA, 71

No urgent need for accommodation - No s.21 duty, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Abdul Wahid, 89; CA, 93

Out of time appeal against asylum adjudicator's decision – Applicant not entitled to IS, R (on the application of Erdogan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, CA, 109

Person unable to be deported - Entitled to National Assistance Act help, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Sarhangi, 74

Person unlawfully present in UK – Council can fund return to country of origin, Lambeth LBC v Grant, CA, 112

Power available to social services to assist with accommodation, R (on the application of J) v Enfield LBC, 93

Right to a fair hearing) – Social services authorities review panels, R (Beeson) v Dorset CC, 93

Section 17 Children Act - Local authority has no enforceable duty to provide accommodation to a child in need, R v Barnet LBC ex parte G; R v Lambeth LBC ex parte W; R v Lambeth LBC ex parte A, HL, 104

Section 21 National Assistance Act 1948 – Applicant not in need of care and attention, R (on the application of M) v Slough BC, HL, 131

Unaccompanied asylum seeker children over 16 – Whether owed Children (Leaving Care) Act duties, 103

FORFEITURE

Forfeiture – Position of mortgage lender, Bristol & West BS v Turner, 28
Forfeiture – Denial of landlord's title, WG Clark (Properties) v Dupre, 30
Forfeiture of lease – Notice must allow time for compliance, Cardigan
Properties v Consolidated Property Investments, 25
Late application by mortgagee, Rexhaven v Nurse, 52
Peaceable re-entry - Relief from forfeiture, Billson & others v Residential Ltd,
25, CA; 30, HL
Proviso for re-entry - Whether 'obligation' imposed on tenant, Paterson v Agio,
6
Relief against forfeiture, Fullers v Judy Properties, 30
Whether right of forfeiture waived, Van Haarlam v Kasner, 35

HARASSMENT AND ILLEGAL EVICTION

Agreement to move out - Limited damages, King v Jackson, CA, 67
Damages for illegal eviction, Brook & Arden v Woodcock & Woodcock, 16
Damages for illegal eviction, Jones v Miah, 33
Damages for illegal eviction, Tagro v Cafane & Patel, 17; CA, 25
Harassment, Criminal proceedings, R v Burke, 20
Harassment not a tort, Patel v Patel, 6
Harassment, Sheffield CC v Blaskey & Sallis Properties, 1
Illegal eviction, Hussain v Singh, 41
Illegal eviction, Mansfield DC v Carter; Mansfield DC v Fitzpatrick, 49
Illegal eviction, Parsons v Nasar, 26
Landlord imprisoned - Whether justified, Saxby v McKinley, 60
Landlord's agent not liable in harassment, Sampson v Wilson, 46; CA, 51
Mitigation of damages - Tenant's conduct, Regalgrand v Dickerson & Wade,
CA, 65
Relationship between remedies - Joint liability, Francis v Brown, CA, 65

HOMELESSNESS (see also INTENTIONAL HOMELESSNESS, LOCAL CONNECTION and PRIORITY NEED)

Accommodation accepted subject to review – Homelessness duty not
discharged, R v Haringey LBC ex parte Muslu, 86
Accommodation must be suitable, R v Brent LBC ex parte Omar, 26
Accommodation pending county court appeal - Judicial review not appropriate
remedy, R v Brighton & Hove Council ex parte Nacion, CA, 75
Accommodation until judicial review, R v Broxbourne BC ex parte Willmoth,
11
Actions as a child can affect application as an adult, White v Southwark LBC,
CA, 131
Adequacy of decision letter, R v Northampton BC ex parte Carpenter, 40
Advice and assistance - Safety standards in B & B accommodation, Ephraim
v Newham LBC, 37
After intentional homelessness, R v Merton BC ex parte Ruffle, 12
Allocation scheme with quota for homelessness unlawful, R v Westminster
CC ex parte Al-Korsan, 80
Appeal covers judicial review issues – Accommodation abroad may be

'available', Begum v Tower Hamlets LBC, CA, 78
Appeals from county court homelessness decisions to Court of Appeal –
Stringent test for leave, Azmi v Newham LBC, 84
Appeals under s.204A Housing Act 1996 – No power to consider main appeal,
Francis v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 99
Applicant cannot accept offer and request review – No requirement to notify
right of review in suitability cases, Alghile v Westminster CC, CA, 87
Applicant continuing to occupy statutorily overcrowded accommodation can
be deemed reasonable, Harouki v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC, CA, 127
Applicant's statement taken at face value – No obligation to invite further
representations, Rowley v Rugby BC, CA, 124
Application - Use of interpreters, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex
parte Jalika Begum, 34
Application as homeless as 'child in need' – Whether council entitled to deal
with her under HA 1996, R (on the application of M) v Hammersmith and
Fulham LBC, CA, 121
Application by a 'person', R v Bristol CC ex parte Preece, 28
Application for damages for breach of public law duty, R v Northavon DC ex
parte Palmer, 40, 43, CA, 52
Applications by children and disabled people, R v Bexley LBC ex parte B; R v
Oldham MBC ex parte G, 33; HL, 38
Applications by children and disabled people, R v London Borough of Tower
Hamlets ex parte Begum, 32, CA, 35; HL, 38
Applications, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Rahman, 35
Assured shorthold tenancy can be settled accommodation, Knight v Vale
Royal BC, CA, 103
Assured shorthold tenancy, R v Croydon LBC ex parte Jarvis, 42
Breach of statutory duty - Damages claim, O'Rourke v Camden LBC, 61
Challenge to possession by homeless person, R v London Borough of Barnet
ex parte Grumbridge, 34
Challenging council failure to complete review in time, R v Newham LBC ex
parte Aguiar, 97
Change in circumstances – Council's duty to accommodate, R v Brent LBC ex
parte Sadiq, 84
Change of circumstances - Asylum & Immigration Act 1996, R v Secretary of
State for the Environment ex parte Shelter & Refugee Council, 59
Costs for judicial review proceedings, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex
parte Ghebreslasie Ghebregiorgis, 54
Council enquiries inadequate, R v Sefton MBC ex parte Healis, 45
Council interview procedure flawed, R v Brent LBC ex parte McManus, 41
Council must consider poverty, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Hawthorne, 45
Council policy upheld, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Thrasyvoulou, 21
Council referring to previous application – Decision reached following full
enquiries, Eren v Haringey LBC, CA, 124
Council should not have disregarded uncorroborated evidence, R (McCauley
and Stewart) v Highland Council, CS, 103
Council's s.190 duty to secure accommodation – Challenge is by way of
review and appeal, R (on the application of Ahmed) v Waltham Forest LBC,
93
Council's actions unfair, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Walters, 41

Council's decision not perverse, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Ben-el-Mabrouk, 51

Council's reasoning error, R v Croydon LBC ex parte Graham, 45

Court has duty to hear appeal in relation to ending of duty, Warsame v Hounslow LBC, CA, 76

Damages for breach of statutory duty, R v London Borough of Brent ex parte Barnes, 38

Decision based on mistake of fact – Authority can change its mind, Porteous v West Dorset CC, CA, 106

Deficiency in initial decision letter – Local authorities must follow Reg 8(2) of review procedure, Lambeth LBC v Johnston, CA, 130

Delay in providing accommodation lawful, R v Brent LBC ex parte Macwan, 39; CA, 44

Delay in providing suitable accommodation, Re Donella Cohen, 34

Discharge of duty - Assured shorthold tenancy, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Wingrove, 59

Discharge of duty - Grant of shorthold tenancy, R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.1), 73

Discharge of duty - Settled accommodation post-Awua, R v Newham LBC ex parte Miah, 55

Discharge of duty by assured shorthold, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Crooks, 49

Discharge of duty, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Campbell, 47

Discharge of Part VII duty – Local authority can determine constitution of household, R (Ariemuguvbe) v Islington LBC, 134

Dominant cause of homelessness, R v Newham LBC ex parte Campbell, 41

Duties following voluntary transfer, R v W Dorset DC & W Dorset HA ex parte Gerrard, 47

Duty to give reasons, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Campbell, 51

Duty to protect possession of homeless persons is restricted, Deadman v Southwark LBC, CA, 83

EEA national seeking interim accommodation pending review – Local authority prohibited from exercising its power to accommodate, R (on the application of Mohamed) v Harrow LBC, 116

Eviction from temporary accommodation, Hackney LBC v Lambourne, 36

Extension of period for requesting review – Council's discretion where not clear applicant will succeed, R (on the application of Slaiman) v Richmond on Thames LBC, 118

Failure to enquire about risk of violence, Patterson v Greenwich LBC, 44

Failure to give reasons, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Davies, 48

Failure to make necessary enquiries, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Solomon, 48

Failure to make relevant enquiries, R v Greenwich LBC ex parte Patterson, 40

Family to be housed together – Threatened with homelessness while awaiting bailiffs, R v Newham LBC ex parte Ruksana Khan and Farzana Hussain, 82

Favourable decision on appeal of enduring benefit to applicant – Council cannot prevent appeal by withdrawing decision, Tower Hamlets LBC v Deugi, CA, 118

Homeless after unlawful eviction, R v Waltham Forest LBC ex parte Halil Direk & Okkes Arslan, 17

Homeless application - Reasonably expected to reside with, R v Hackney LBC ex parte Tonniodi, 73

Homeless at home – Council should accommodate within six weeks, R (on the application of Aweys) v Birmingham CC, 123

Homeless if unreasonable to remain, R v Broxbourne BC ex parte Willmoth, 14, CA, 16

Homeless person found not intentionally homeless – Unlawful for council to refuse to accept referral, R v (1) Westminster CC (2) Southwark LBC ex parte Bantamagbari, 103

Homelessness cannot precede cause, R v Islington LBC ex parte Hassan, 50

Homelessness decision - Whether authority can reconsider, R v Dacorum BC ex parte Walsh, 34

Homelessness duties affected - Section 9 Asylum & Immigration Act 1996, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bediako, 62

Homelessness duties affected - Section 9 Asylum & Immigration Act 1996, R v Westminster CC ex parte Zafu, 62

Homelessness enquiries not confidential, R v Poole BC ex parte Cooper, 47

Homelessness enquiry duty, R v Camden LBC ex parte Adair, 57

Homelessness or threatened with homelessness, R v Inverness DC ex parte Stewart, 28

Hostel accommodation not settled, R v Rushcliffe BC ex parte Summerson & Buckley, 43

Housing Act 1985 application - Part II or Part III, R v Islington LBC ex parte B, 69

Housing Act procedures not exhausted – Judicial review abuse of process, R v Enfield LBC ex parte Campbell, 88

Housing aid for asylum seeker, Lismane v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 72

Housing appeal policy unlawful, R v Newham LBC ex parte Laronde, 44

Human Rights – Failure to provide accommodation no breach, R v Newham LBC ex parte Morris, 95

Immigration status - Reasonable time to make decision, R v Brent LBC ex parte Miyanger, 66

Immigration status, R v Secretary of State for Environment ex parte Tower Hamlets LBC, 33; CA, 38

Inadequate enquiry into relevant fact, R v Westminster CC ex parte Moozary-Oraky, 44

Inflexible appeals procedure, R v Newham LBC ex parte Gentle, 46

Injunction pending judicial review, Hammell v Kensington & Chelsea LBC, 11

Insufficient enquiry, R v Wycombe BC ex parte Homes, 14

Interim accommodation decision – Can be made by officer who made homelessness decision, R (on the application of Abdi) v Lambeth LBC, 126

Interim accommodation pending review, R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Fleck, 71

Interim housing duty – Ends where applicant rejects offer, R (on the application of Carstens) v Basildon BC, 122

Interim housing pending appeal - Enforceable in the county court, Halonen v Westminster CC, 65

Interim housing policy - Council must exercise discretion, R v Camden LBC ex parte Mohammed, 63

Interim/temporary accommodation – No protection from eviction, *Desnousse v (1) Newham LBC (2) Paddington Churches HA (3) Veni Properties, CA*, 120

Judicial review - Limitations on applications, *R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Thomas*, 3

Judicial review – Not available where applicant has missed county court time limit, *R v Brent LBC ex parte O'Connor*, 79

Judicial review or breach of statutory duty, *Tower Hamlets LBC v Ali*, 32

Judicial review proceedings - No private law claim for breach of duty, *R v Ealing LBC ex parte Parkinson*, 55

LA's cavalier treatment, *R v Camden LBC ex parte Gillan*, 11

Location of offer suitable without 'risk assessment', *R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Woodburne*, 69

Material change of circumstances – Meaning, *R v Waltham Forest ex parte J*, 96

Meaning of 'homelessness', *R v Chilterns DC ex parte Roberts*, 29

Must location of temporary accommodation take account of applicant's needs – Not homeless until evicted, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Sacipima*, 78; CA, 85

New homeless application - Authority must assess change of circumstances, *R v Southwark LBC ex parte Campisi*, CA, 73

New information affects decision, *R v Hackney LBC ex parte Decordova*, 50

No compensation for breach of homelessness duty – No breach of Human Rights, *O'Rourke v United Kingdom*, ECtHR, 94

No duty to consider second application from family member, *R v Camden LBC ex parte Hersi*, CA, 85

No existing licence, *R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Minton*, 10

No judicial review for delay in securing suitable accommodation, *R v Merton LBC ex parte Sembi*, 76

No power to issue second s.64 notice, *R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Miah*, 48

No requirement to house family together, *R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Tai Mui Ly*, 1

Non-domestic violence - Inadequate notification letter, *R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte H*, 10

Not threatened with homelessness - Lack of possession order, *R v City of Bradford ex parte Parveen*, 59

Notice of right of appeal need not be in writing – No general duty to advise applicant to seek independent advice, *R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Rahim*, CA, 84

Offer of accommodation - Council's policy applied unlawfully, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Begum*, 56

Offer of accommodation, *R v Wycombe DC ex parte Hazeltine*, 39

Offer of assured shorthold tenancy – Refusal can discharge council's duty, *Griffiths v St Helens MBC*, 112; CA, 118

Ombudsman - Maladministration in homelessness application, Complaint against Tunbridge Wells BC, 30

parte Price, 57

Priority need in split families, *R v Oxford CC ex parte Doyle*, 68

Prison cell not accommodation, *R (on the application of B) v Southwark LBC*, 102

Proceedings unsustainable, *R v Westminster CC ex parte Tansey*, 13

Provision of temporary accommodation pending appeal – Exceptional

circumstances, *Williams v Tower Hamlets*, CA, 86

Reasonable to continue to occupy - Council's decision not perverse, *R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Kassam*, 46; CA, 51

Reasonable to remain, *R v Westminster CC ex parte Alouat*, 13

Reconsideration of homelessness decision – Time still runs for appeal to county court, *Demetri v Westminster CC*, CA, 78

Refusal of further offer of suitable alternative accommodation – Homelessness duty ends if applicant in temporary accommodation, *Brent LBC v Muse*, CA, 132

Refusal of housing - Immigration status, *R v Westminster CC ex parte Castelli*; *R v Westminster CC ex parte Tristan-Garcia*, 53; CA, 55

Refusal of housing - Immigration status, Rehousing policy - Race Relations Act 1976, *R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Mohib Ali*, 38

Refusal of offer of accommodation – Discharge of council's duties, *Slater v Lewisham LBC*, CA, 119

Rehousing under Land Compensation Act 1973, *R v Hertfordshire DC ex parte Smith*, 26

Remission for further review – Intentional homelessness decision varied where no prospect of different conclusion, *Ekwuru v Westminster CC*, CA, 103

Restrictions on right to review unlawful, *R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Byfield*; *R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Rouass*, 74

Review – Council's decision what enquiries are necessary, *Cramp v Hastings BC*; *Phillips v Camden LBC*, CA, 113

Review of suitability decision – Facts to be considered as at review date, *Sahardid v Camden LBC*, CA, 112

Review of suitability of offer – Relevant date is date of original decision, *Omar v City of Westminster*, CA, 129

Reviews involving material disputes of fact – Must be undertaken by independent body, *Adan v Newham LBC*, CA, 92

Rights of unborn child, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Dale*, 47

Scope of inquiries - Deterioration of property not emergency, *R v Walsall MBC* ex Right to a fair hearing - Review procedure not in breach of Human Rights, *Begum v Tower Hamlets LBC*, CA, 93; HL, 98

Section 204 appeal allowed outside of 21-day time limit, *Barrett v Southwark LBC*, 132

Section 208 Housing Act 1996 – Cost is relevant in deciding whether to house applicant outside council's area, *R (on the application of Calgin) v Enfield LBC*, 113

Section 9 Asylum & Immigration Act 1996 - Not retrospective, *R v Hackney LBC ex parte K*, CA, 66

Sufficient enquiries - Hearsay evidence, *R v Nottingham CC ex parte Costello*, 13

Suitability - Accommodation during enquiries, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.3)*, 74

Suitability - Conflicting medical opinion, *R v Islington LBC ex parte Thomas*, 69

Suitability - Court's discretion on judicial review, *R v Islington LBC ex parte Degnan*, CA, 73

Suitability - Discharge of duty, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Micah*, 71

Suitability - Interim accommodation for asylum seekers, R v Ealing LBC ex parte Surdonja, 73

Suitability - No medical assessment, R v Haringey LBC ex parte Sampaio, 75

Suitability – Offer of conventional accommodation to Gypsies can discharge council's duty, Codona v Mid-berkshire DC, CA, 109

Suitability - Policy on children's education, R v South Holland DC ex parte Baxter, 74

Suitability - Reasons for decision to be given, R v Islington LBC ex parte Okocha, 70

Suitability - Reasons for decision, R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Assister, 60

Suitability - Temporary accommodation, R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Korneva, 60

Suitability and discharge of homelessness duty – Property with internal stairs suitable for disabled child, R (Bibi) v Newham LBC, 105

Suitability appeals – Court cannot consider alternative property, CA, 102

Suitability of accommodation – Applicant's child would have to change schools, Williams v Birmingham CC, CA, 126

Suitability of accommodation - Council's decision irrational, R v Haringey LBC ex parte Karaman, 66

Suitability of accommodation - Distance from child's school, R v Camden LBC ex parte Spencer, 57

Suitability of accommodation – Overcrowding, Ali and Nessa v Newham LBC, CA, 87

Suitability of accommodation - Relevance of medical condition, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Taylor, 57

Suitability of accommodation is continuing obligation, R v Westminster CC ex parte Zaher, 99

Suitability of accommodation, R v Camden LBC ex parte Jibil, 65

Suitability of offer – Applicant must be told about right of review, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Mbidi, 84

Suitability of temporary accommodation - Wasted costs order, R v Westminster LBC ex parte Geehan & Butler, 57

Suitable accommodation - Consideration of non-medical and medical needs, R v Lewisham LBC ex parte Dolan, 35

Surrender of shared lease, R v Hillingdon BC ex parte Tinn, 10

Suspension from rehousing list unlawful, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khalique, 44

Temporary accommodation - Tenancy or licence, Family Housing Association v Jones, 16

Temporary accommodation in different area not suitable, R v Enfield LBC ex parte Yumsak, 93

Temporary accommodation not protected, Mohammed v Kensington & Chelsea RBC, 50

Temporary accommodation on application for judicial review, R v Cardiff C C ex parte Barry, 23

Temporary accommodation pending appeal - Jurisdiction to grant injunctions to continue, R v Westminster CC ex parte Ali; R v Camden LBC ex parte Nairne, CA, 73

Temporary accommodation, R v Westminster CC ex parte Mulonso, 49

Threatened with homelessness, R v Rugby BC ex parte Hunt, 34
Two year housing duty cannot be deferred – Council should use own housing stock, R v Newham LBC ex parte Begum and Ali, 77
Unfair homeless interview, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khatun, 41; CA, 48
Unintelligible s.64 letter, R v Islington LBC ex parte Hinds, 49
Unlawful eviction not housing emergency, R v Bristol CC ex parte Bradic, 51
Unreasonable refusal of offer, R v Ealing LBC ex parte Denny, 52
Unreasonable to continue to occupy, R v Medina BC ex parte Dee, 33
Unsuitable accommodation – Relevant factors for mandatory order, R (on the application of Khan) v Newham LBC, 94
Unsuitable accommodation - Whether action can be taken in county court or by judicial review, Guest v Manchester CC, 23
Unsuitable offer of accommodation - Racial harassment, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Abdul Subhan, 34
Whether applicants can view offered properties – Unfair Contract Terms Regs apply to local authority tenancies, Khatun, Zeb & Iqbal v Newham LBC & OFT, 104; CA, 106
Whether boat is permanent accommodation, R v Hillingdon LBC ex parte Bax, 43
Whether children might reasonably be expected to reside with father, R v Port Talbot BC ex parte McCarthy, 27
Whether family home is settled accommodation, Elizabeth Robson v Kyle & Carrick DC, 43
Whether minor can apply as homeless, Re B (a minor); Re G (a minor), 35
Whether secure tenancy granted, Tower Hamlets LBC v Ayinde, 47
Whether temporary accommodation settled, R v Brent LBC ex parte Awua, 43; CA, 44; HL, 51
Wrong edition of Code of Guidance, R v Newham LBC ex parte Bones, 40

HOME OWNERSHIP

Address for service, Willowgreen v Smithers, 45
Adjournment where arrears paid by date of hearing, Halifax BS v Taffs, CA, 81
Administration of Justice Act 1970 - Protection ceases on execution of warrant, Mortgage Agency Services v Bal, 74
Application to challenge validity of possession order out of time, Abbey National plc v Miller, CA, 124
Breach of Land Registration Act, Clark v Chief Land Registrar, 41
Charging Order - Wife unable to prevent sale, Barclays Bank v Hendricks, 53
Common law duty of care – Failure to remedy leak causing damage to flat below, Irontrain Investments v Ansari, CA, 117
Damages for injuring trespasser, Revill v Newbury, 54
Defective dwelling – No duty to publicise financial assistance, Hodge v Newport LBC, CA, 86
Deposit paid to estate agent - Liability, Ojelay v Neosale, 4
Disability discrimination – No duty to instal lift on common staircase, Richmond Court (Swansea) v Williams, CA, 123
Duty of person assuming control of goods left behind after eviction, Solomon v

Solomon, 98
Establishing beneficial interest in matrimonial home, *Buggs (Josephine) v Buggs (David Maurice)*, 103
Existence of beneficial interest does not override bank's mortgage, *National Westminster Bank v (1) Navjet Malhan (2) Anil Malhan (3) Puneeta Malhan and Secretary of State for Constitutional Affairs & Lord Chancellor*, 107
Fixtures and fittings, *TSB Bank v Botham*, 49
Forfeiture - Whether mortgagee barred from relief, *United Dominions Trust v Shellpoint Trustees*, 36
Increased repair costs due to landlord's delay – Whether full cost recoverable as service charge, 122
Inferring share of interest in home, *Midland Bank v Cooke*, 52
Joint mortgage - No undue influence, *Britannia BS v Pugh*, 58
Joint owners - Notice of severance of joint tenancy, *Kinch v Bullard*, 74
Joint owners - Tenants in common, *Tinsley v Milligan*, CA, 28; HL, 40
Joint purchase, *Cheese v Thomas*, 40
Late registration of mortgage - Tenancy binding, *Pourdanay v Barclays Bank*, 60
Law of Property Act 1925 - Order for sale, *Lloyds Bank v Byrne & Byrne*, 29
Leasehold enfranchisement, *Duke of Westminster v Birrane*, 49
Leasehold Valuation Tribunal has jurisdiction over service charges that have been paid, *R v London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal ex parte Daejan Properties*, 85
Leasehold valuation tribunal, *Waitt v Morris*, 47
Legal charge signed before house purchase - No need for fresh legal charge, *First National Bank v Thompson*, 52
Lender's right to evict tenants - Waiver of rights subject to statutory grounds of possession, *Woolwich BS v Dickman*, 56
Management company's charges subject to 'reasonableness' test, *Cinnamon Ltd v Morgan*, CA, 94
Mortgagee can appoint receiver and sell property without court order, *Horsham Properties Group v (1) Clark and (2) Beech (3) GMAC (third party) and (4) Secretary of State for Justice (intervening)*, 132
Mortgagee's duty on sale, *Parker-Tweedale v Dunbar Bank*, 22
Mortgagee's right to possession - Relevance of counterclaim, *Citibank Trust v Ayivor*, 3
Mortgagee's right to possession against statutory tenants, *Britannia Building Society v Earl*, 22
Mother's payment not a gift, *Sekhon v Alissa*, 13
Negligent survey - Lender's liability, *Harris v Wyre Forest DC*, 8
Negligent survey, *Sneesby v Goldings*, 49
Negligent survey, *Syrett v Carr & Neave (a firm)*, 22
No expectation of payment unless sale - Lender entitled to possession, *Bristol & West BS v Ellis*, 57
No general power to stay execution of possession order, *State Bank of New South Wales t/a Colonial State Bank v Harrison*, CA, 95
No liability to pay full council tax on never lived in property, *Bennett v Copeland BC*, CA, 108
Option agreement not readily explicable – Undue influence principles in *Etridge* applied, *Macklin v Dowsett*, CA, 111

Order for sale in favour of beneficial owner, Halifax BS v Stansfield, 40
Overriding interest depends on date of registration, Abbey National BS v Cann, 19
Possession action - Remedying breach of covenant, Sawa v Houssein, 57
Possession order granted despite Limitation Act, National Westminster Bank v Powney, 19
Previous owner did not lose rights to beneficial interest when legal ownership transferred, Ali v Khan, CA, 96
Property cannot be sold against life tenant's will, Abbey National v Moss, 42
Property information – Failure to disclose problems with neighbours was misrepresentation, Doe v Skegg, 128
Property Misdescriptions Act 1991 – Houses during the course of construction, Lewin v Barratt Homes, 82
Proprietary estoppel – Claim to deceased's property, Jiggins v Brisley & others, 102
Proprietary estoppel, Wayling v Jones, 40
Purchaser entitled to damages for damp, Dean v Ainley, 6
Quantifying beneficial interest – Subsequent actions not relevant where extent agreed at date of creation, Crossley v Crossley, CA, 115
Recovery of legal costs through service charges, Iperion Investments v Broadwalk House Residents, 52
Registration of charges, Walthamstow BS v Davies, 19
Right to buy - Payment of mortgage, Burrows v Sharp, 20
Right to buy – Statutory discount is direct contribution to purchase, R (on the application of Kelly) v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, 107
Right to buy - Store room was appurtenance of flat, Viscount Chelsea v McGirk, 57
Right to buy, City of Bradford Metropolitan Council v McMahon, 38
Right to buy, Harrow LBC v Tonge, 36
Right to buy, Muir Group Housing Association v Thornley, 36
Sale of repossessed property - Lender's duty, Minah v Bank of Ireland, 53
Service charge – Whether lease covered landlord's costs of Leasehold Valuation Tribunal proceedings, Staghold v Takeda, 114
Service charges payable under long leases, Coventry City v Cole, 41
Setting aside legal charge - Bank under no duty to wife, Mumford & Smith v Bank of Scotland, 53
Setting aside mortgage for misrepresentation or undue influence – Borrower not prevented from arguing by affirming mortgage, Habib Bank v Tufail, CA, 119
Severing joint tenancy - Estoppel, Price v Hartwell, 57
Shared ownership lease is assured tenancy – Possession leads to loss of financial interest in property, Richardson v Midland Heart Ltd, 130
Squatter not prevented by estoppel from relying on adverse possession, Ellis v Lambeth LBC, CA, 76
Squatters rights – Adverse possession violates owner's human rights, JA Pye (Oxford) v United Kingdom, ECJ, 115
Surveyor had personally duty of care despite being employed by company, Merrett v Babb, CA, 87
Surveyor's negligence damages, Farley v Skinner, CA, 82
Surveyor's fees, Naiva v Covent Garden Group, 49

Suspended possession order - Adjournment of money judgment, Cheltenham & Gloucester BS v Johnson, 57
Tenancy created by first mortgagee – Whether binds second mortgagee, Berkshire Capital Funding v Street, CA, 78
Tenancy for life, Costello v Costello, 44
Tenants in common, Ivin v Blake, 39
Trustee in bankruptcy's application for joint tenancy severance to be set aside – Intention of parties considered, Singla v Brown & Malden-Browne, 124
Undue influence – Deed of gift of house to sister, Pesticcio v (1) Huet (2) Abbey National and (3) Niersmans, 102
Warrant for possession - Omission of amount of arrears not fatal, Abbey National BS v Gibson, CA, 67
Whether agreement to occupy created life interest, Dent v Dent, 58

HOUSING BENEFIT (see also BENEFITS index)

Account to be taken of student occupier in apportionment of rent, R v Camden LBC ex parte Naghshbandi, CA, 97
Administration of HB, R v Haringey LBC ex parte Ayub, 43
Benefit paid directly to landlord – Landlord is 'person affected by a determination', Godwin v Rosendale BC, CA, 95
Calculation of local rent under rent officer's HB functions, R v Rent Service ex parte Saadat, Dinsdale, Wilson & Shaw, CA, 91
Claimant in breach of life licence – No entitlement to benefit, R v (1) Hammersmith & Fulham LBC (2) SoS for Work & Pensions ex parte Waite, CA, 94
Local reference rent scheme – Locality, R v Rent Service ex parte Cumpsty, 100
Extension of time for review - Special reasons, R v Newham LBC ex parte Kaur, 65
Non-dependant deduction – Assessment should be based on 'reasonable balance of probabilities' in the absence of evidence, CH/48/2006, 125
Review board decision - Insufficient reasons, R v Sefton HBRB ex parte Brennan, 65
Right to a fair hearing – Council must provide detailed reasons, R v Rent Service ex parte Cumpsty, 100

HOUSING CONDITIONS

Abatement notice can served on managing agents, Camden LBC v Gumby, 77
Assessment of damages for landlord's breach of implied repairing covenant, English Churches Housing Group v Avrom Shine, CA, 108
Building converted from single house into flats is house in multiple occupation, Stanley v Ealing LBC, CA, 102
Carbon monoxide poisoning, Health & Safety Executive v Beedie; Hull CC v Beedie, 47
Damages for children, McCaffery v Lambeth LBC, 45
Definition of 'house in multiple occupation', Norwich CC v Billings, CA) 68
Designated defective home, R v Sandwell BC ex parte Cashmore, 40

Disabled facilities grant - Finance irrelevant, R v Birmingham CC ex parte Mohammed, 71

Disrepair - Breaches of covenant, Hackney LBC v Mullen, 59

Disrepair claim – Judge not entitled to depart from opinion of single joint expert without good reason, Montoya v Hackney LBC, 114

Disrepair claims – Whether council employee witness, Field v Leeds CC, CA, 79

Disrepair damages not excessive, Brent LBC v Murphy, 51

Experts not liable for damages, Preston v Torfaen BC, 41

Freeholder not responsible for predecessor's failure to repair, Kemra (Management) v Lewis, 81

Grants policy outside statutory powers, R v Sunderland CC ex parte Redezeus, 53

Guidance on what constitutes 'keep in ... proper working order', O'Connor v Old Etonians Housing Association, CA, 95

House in multiple occupation – Landlord liable for fire started by occupants of communal areas, Ribee v Norrie, CA, 89

House in multiple occupation, Inquest into the deaths of Catherine Harrison & Terri-Anne Jones, 47

Improvement grant - Conditions for application, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Westwoods, 52

Improvement grant, R v Bristol CC ex parte Naqvi, 45

Insertion of damp-proof course not a repair, Eyre v McCracken, CA, 91

Keeping external installations in working order – Sub-landlord's liability, Niazi Services v Van der Loo, CA, 108

Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 – Landlord not liable for serious damp to property, Southwark LBC v McIntosh, 96

Landlord not entitled to carry out improvements if this affects tenant's right to quiet enjoyment, Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v Meyrick, CA, 96

Landlord's duty of care – Knowledge of defect not required, Sykes v Harry, CA, 87

Landlord's duty of care under Defective Premises Act 1972 – No breach where landlord has no right of entry for repairs, Wadsworth v Nagle, 113

Landlord's obligation to repair, Toff v McDowell, 41

Layout of premises unhygienic - Statutory nuisance, Oakley v Birmingham CC, 74; HL 85

Liability for public nuisance, Wandsworth LBC v Railtrack plc, CA, 89

Most satisfactory course of action, R v London Borough of Southwark ex parte Cordwell, 41

No claim against landlord for behaviour of tenant – No breach of human rights, Mowan v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 86

No reasons required, R v Bristol CC ex parte Bailey, 48

Non-compliance with abatement notice - Illness reasonable excuse, Butuyuyu v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, 65

Notice of disrepair – Breach of repairing covenant, Johnson v London & Quadrant Housing Trust, CA, 78

Nuisance - Private rights, Hunter v Canary Wharf; Hunter v London Docklands Development Corporation, 53

Nuisance action - Interest in property necessary, Hunter v Canary Wharf, CA, 53; HL, 64

Nuisance from water damage, Bar-Gur v Squire, 41
Obligation to do works continuous, Camden LBC v Marshall, 58
Penal notice mandatory, R v Wandsworth CC ex parte Munn, 48
Premises prejudicial to health - Expert evidence sufficient to establish, R v Knowsley MBC ex parte O'Toole, 76
Refurbishment of property and duty of care – Council acting in accordance with accepted practice, Adams v Rhymney Valley DC, CA, 83
Responsibility for statutory nuisance, Pike v Sefton MBC, 85
Sewage treatment works can be statutory nuisance, Hounslow LBC v Thames Water Utilities 104
Shared house not an HMO, Sheffield CC v Barnes, 51
Statutory nuisance - Abatement notice must specify works, Kirklees MBC v Field, 67
Summons issue obligatory, R v Highbury Corner Magistrates Court ex parte Edwards, 48
Tenant's repairing obligation – Injunction disproportionate to claimant's loss, Hammersmith and Fulham LBC ex parte Creska (No.2), 84
To keep in 'good condition' goes beyond 'repair', Welsh v Greenwich LBC, CA, 85
Unfitness and repair notices – No incompatibility with human rights, R (Erskine) v Lambeth LBC & ODPM, 105
Whether traffic noise constitutes statutory nuisance, Haringey LBC v Jowett, 76

ILLEGAL EVICTION (use HARASSMENT AND ILLEGAL EVICTION)

INSOLVENCY

Bankruptcy - Protected tenancy, Eyre v Hall, 4

INTENTIONAL HOMELESSNESS

Ability to pay, R v Brent LBC ex parte Grossett, 55
Acquiescence, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Khatun, 53
Acquiescence, R v London Borough of Barnet ex parte O'Connor, 24
Acquiescence, R v Ealing LBC ex parte Salmons, 27
Acquiescence - Unaware of fact, R v Mole Valley DC ex parte Burton, 10
Acquiescence - Unaware of relevant fact, R v East Northamptonshire DC ex parte Spruce, 10
Actions done in 'good faith' – No abuse of homelessness scheme, Ugiagbe v Southwark LBC, CA, 133
Act or omission causing loss of tenancy – Not deliberate if done in good faith, O'Connor v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 106
Act or omission in good faith, R v City of Westminster ex parte Ali & Bibi, 38
Adequate inquiries, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Bayani, 16; CA, 20
Affordability not addressed in decision, Odunsi v Brent LBC, 76
After repossession, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Onwudiwe, 45
Anti-social behaviour and IRA threats, R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte PP, 15

Anti-social behaviour, R v Nottingham CC ex parte Edwards, 73

Applicant giving up housing association tenancy – Whether evidence of occupation, Lee-Lawrence v Penwith DC, CA, 123

Arrears not applicant's fault, R v Thanet DC ex parte Groves, 15

Assured shorthold tenant intentionally homeless because s.21 notice served due to rent arrears, Bratton v Croydon LBC, CA, 95

Availability of accommodation, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Rouf, 15; CA, 28

Available for occupation, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Doran, 24

Awareness of facts - Actual facts must differ from applicant's perception, R v Westminster CC ex parte N'Dormadingar, 66

Breaking the chain of causality - Must be unconnected with nature of accommodation, R v Brighton BC ex parte Harvey, 71

Ceasing to occupy - Good cause, R v Islington BC ex parte Bibi, 58

Council acted reasonably, R v Reading BC ex parte Glenton, 18

Council can make belated decision – Extending time for review request, Crawley BC v B, CA, 81

Council cannot make judgments about domestic violence, Bond v Leicester CC, CA, 89

Daughter's needs, R v Westminster CC ex parte Bishop, 39

Decision flawed, R v Shrewsbury & Atcham BC ex parte Griffiths, 43

Duty to consider all the evidence, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Dodia, 71

Enquiries, Reynolds v Sevenoaks DC, 22

Eviction due to rent arrears – Failure to consider affordability, Quinton v East Hertfordshire DC, 97

Eviction for non-payment of rent – Claimant's mental condition did not prevent him from managing his affairs, Hijazi v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 102

Eviction, R v Westminster CC ex parte Reid, 48

Exercise of homelessness duty – Authority can take its own resources into account, Conville v Richmond upon Thames LBC, 113, CA, 120

Failure to act in good faith, Hobbs v Sutton LBC, 44

Failure to provide housing file to review hearing – Not unfair where no relevance to review decision, Goodger v Ealing LBC, CA, 95

Fiancee intentionally homeless, R v London Borough of Barking & Dagenham ex parte Okuneye, 49

Following damage, R v Rochester upon Medway CC ex parte Williams, 44

Former prisoner intentionally homeless despite arrangement for payment of the rent, Stewart v Lambeth LBC, CA, 95

Good faith, R v Exeter CC ex parte Tranckle, 44

Homelessness application – Council tenancy given up for unaffordable private rented accommodation, F v Birmingham CC, CA, 122

Homeless person found not intentionally homeless – Unlawful for council to refuse to accept referral, R v (1) Westminster CC and (2) Soutwark LBC ex parte Bantamagbari, 103

Hotel accommodation – Lawful requirement to inform council of whereabouts, Orejudos v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 105

House never occupied - Applicant not intentionally homeless, R v Westminster CC ex parte D'Souza, 56

House rules – Unreasonable behaviour in the family home, Denton v Southwark LBC, CA, 124

Inability to control children's behaviour did not justify adverse decision, Griffiths v St Helens MBC, 109

In priority need but intentionally homeless – Extent of council's duty, R (Raynor) v Ipswich BC, 109

Insufficient enquiries, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Nadia Saber, 35

Insufficient enquiry, R v Dacorum BC ex parte Brown, 15

Insufficient enquiry, R v Westminster CC ex parte Iqbal, 14

Intimidation by landlord, R v Swansea DC ex parte Evans, 24

Legal interest in property, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Oteng, 39

Living with parents, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Kyere, 30

Loss of accommodation in women's refuge, Manchester CC v Moran; Richards v Ipswich BC, CA, 128

Making inquiries - Authority cannot take short cuts, R v Camden LBC ex parte Cosmo, 73

Mandatory possession ground, R v Newham LBC ex parte Ugbo, 42

No absolute requirement for oral hearing of review – No procedural unfairness where allegations dealt with during previous interview, Lomotey v Enfield LBC, CA, 107

No break in causal chain, Hynds v Midlothian DC, 1

Non-acquiescence, R v Great Grimsby BC ex parte Pennell, 35

Not reasonable to remain, R v Wycombe DC ex parte Queenie Homes & Dean Homes, 20

Occupation of unauthorized caravan sites – No break in chain of causation, Steward v Kingston upon Thames LBC, CA, 126

Offences deliberate acts - Housing refusal justified, R v Hounslow LBC ex parte R, 61

Offending causes intentional homelessness – Change of accommodation irrelevant, Minchin v Sheffield City Council, CA, 82

Omission in good faith, R v Christchurch BC ex parte Lorraine Conway, 3

Partner acquiesced in non-payment of rent, R v Nottingham CC ex parte Caine, 57

Person with whom might reasonably expect to live, R v Peterborough CC ex parte Carr, 18

Power to reconsider review decision, R v Westminster CC ex parte Elioua, CA, 74

Proper inquiries - Council's duty, R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Silchenstedt, 65

Protected shorthold tenancy, R v Tynedale DC ex parte Shield, 20

Real cause of homelessness - Temporary accommodation, R v Hackney LBC ex parte Ajayi, 70

Reasonableness of occupying overcrowded accommodation, Osei v Southwark LBC, CA, 125

Reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Northampton BC ex parte Clarkson, 33

Reasonable to continue to occupy - Council's decision not perverse, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Ben-El-Mabrouk, 47; CA, 51

Reasonable to continue to occupy - General housing conditions prevailing, R v Brent CC ex parte Bariise, CA, 68

Reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Broadland DC ex parte Price, 35

Reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Newham LBC ex parte McIlroy, 27
Reasonable to occupy travellers' site, Peter Stewart v Inverness DC, 33
Reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Monaf, 5; CA, 9
Refusal to reconsider intentionality decision – Judicial review exceptionally allowed, R (Van der Stolk) v Camden LBC, 97
Remission for further review – Decision varied where no prospect of different conclusion, Ekwuru v Westminster CC, CA, 103
Rent arrears, R v Gillingham BC ex parte Ashgar, 24
Rent arrears - Consideration of financial circumstances, R v Brent LBC ex parte Baruwa, 57; CA, 61
Return from abroad - Risky venture, R v Camden LBC ex parte Aranda, 59; CA, 69
Sale of home due to financial difficulty, R v Leeds CC ex parte Adamiec & Adamiec, 32
Settled accommodation, R v London Borough of Croydon ex parte Easom, 39
Settled accommodation - Whether reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Winchester CC ex parte Ashton, 31; CA, 35
Settled accommodation, R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Luisi, 25
Suitability of accommodation, R v Croydon LBC ex parte Marchant, 15
Supplementary evidence - Refusal reasons cannot be changed, R v Westminster CC ex parte Ermakov, 50; CA, 54
Temporary accommodation - Council cannot rely on earlier decision, R v Harrow LBC ex parte Fahia, 59; CA, 69; HL, 70
Termination of interim accommodation - Reasonable notice required, R v Newham LBC ex parte Ojuri (No.5), 73
Unaware of relevant fact, Monklands DC v W, 11
Unaware of relevant fact, R v Westminster CC ex parte Obeid, 58
Unaware of relevant fact, R v Wycombe DC ex parte Mahsood, 10
Unlawful policy - Arrears caused homelessness, R v Havant BC ex parte Marten, 58
Voluntary sale of property, R v Westminster CC ex parte Ali, 64
Whether lease or licence, R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte O'Sullivan, 29
Whether occupying accommodation, R v City of Westminster ex parte Khan, 27
Whether reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Hammersmith LBC ex parte Hussain, 30
Whether reasonable to continue to occupy, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Bibi; R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Ojo, 30
Whether reasonable to continue to occupy, R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Hasib Ullah, 37
Whether reasonable to continue to occupy – Test to be applied when behaviour took place, Adair v Bolton MBC, 104
Settled accommodation, R v Ealing LBC ex parte Sukhija, 48

LANDLORD & TENANT (see also FORFEITURE, RENT, TENANCY OR LICENCE and PUBLIC SECTOR)

Address for service of notice, Rogan v Woodfield Building Services, 46
Address for service of notices - Section 48 Landlord and Tenant Act 1987,
Drew Morgan v Hamid Zadeh, CA, 76
Adverse possession – Possessory title established by factual possession plus
intention to possess, Purbrick v Hackney LBC, 103
Adverse possession and implied consent – Whether reasonable person would
have believed consent was present, Batsford Estates v Taylor, CA, 114
Agreements to surrender, Woodruff v Hambro, 26
Agricultural holding - Term of years, EWP v Moore, 28
Agricultural holding - Valid notice to quit, National Trust v Knipe, CA, 64
Agricultural tenancy, R v East Herts DC ex parte Dallhold Resources, 16
Anti-social behaviour abated – Reasonable to make possession order, Accent
Peerless v Kingsdon, CA, 128
Appointment of managing agents, Howard v Midrome, 24
Assignment of tenancy, Crago v Julian, 30
Assured shorthold tenancy – No s.21 possession proceedings before expiry of
fixed term, Gloucestershire Housing Association v Phelps, 102
Assured shorthold tenancy - Oral agreement, Mundy v Hook, CA, 64
Assured shorthold tenancy - Possession notice valid, Marath v Macgillivray,
55
Assured shorthold tenancy - Private residence, Brewer v Andrews, 62
Assured tenancy - Error in failing to make possession order, West Kent HA v
Davies, CA, 72
Assured tenancy - Prior notice ground, Mustafa v Ruddock, CA, 63
Assured tenancy - Rent assessment committee not bound by rent cap, R v
London RAC ex parte Cadogan Estates, 63
Assured tenancy – Sham rent review clause unenforceable, Home4Rent v
Killelea, 106
Assured tenancy - Whether 'just and equitable' to waive notice, Hegab v
Shamash, CA, 74
Assured tenancy 'rent book' – Does not create assured tenancy agreement,
Andrews & Andrews v Cunningham, CA, 126
Assured tenancy ends when possession order takes effect, Knowsley
Housing Trust v White, 122
Assured tenancy protection on termination of long lease, R v London Rent
Assessment Committee ex parte Morris, CA, 98
Assured tenant after possession order is tolerated trespasser, Stirling v
Leadenhall Residential, CA, 88
Assured tenants – Whether tolerated trespassers on date for possession,
Stan v Stadium Housing Association, 123
Breach of quiet enjoyment - Damages for loss of rental income, Mira v Aylmer
Square Investments, 22
Breach of tenancy by keeping dog, Sheffield CC v Jepson, 39
Break clause - Landlord's notice requiring possession effective, Fawaz v
Aylward, 58
Business tenancy ends - Whether subtenancy survives, Wellcome Trust v
Hammad, CA, 65
Case 9 possession proceedings, Baker v Maciver, 19
Cheque accepted by landlord on day of possession hearing, Coltrane v Day,
CA, 99

Common mistake by parties – Assured shorthold tenancy can be set aside/rescinded, *Nutt v Read*, CA, 80

Company let - Whether protected as 'sham' tenancy, *Hilton v Plustile & Rose*, 11

Continental breakfast is board, *Otter v Norman*, CA, 6; HL, 10

Continuity of Rent Act 1977 status under Housing Act 1988 – Only applies to people who were protected statutory tenants, *Secretarial Nominee Co v Thomas*, CA, 113

Contractual notice validly served when posted and returned to landlord undelivered, *Blunden v Frogmore Investments Ltd*, CA, 95

Cooking facilities essential attribute of dwelling *Uratemp Ventures v Collins; Uratemp Ventures v Carrell*, CA, 80

Council housing register can be used in fixing rent, *Forebury Estates v Chiltern Thames and Eastern Rent Assessment Panel*, 84

Council must fulfil statutory duties even when housing is scarce, *R v East Hertfordshire DC ex parte Dallhold Resources Management (UK)*, 23

Creation of tenancy - Landlord's intention irrelevant, *Brent LBC v Cronin*, CA, 69

Creation of tenancy, *Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v Bello*, 33

Damages for breach of covenant, *Surrey CC v Bredero Homes*, 30

Damages for loss of tenancy, *S Kirkham v E Mason*, 40

Damages for loss of tenancy, *Sutherland v Wall*, 45

Defective s.20 notices valid, *Osborn & Co v Dior; Marito Holdings SA v Deneche*, CA, 100

Definition of principal home, *Crawley BC v Sawyer*, 7

Determining registered rent under 'capping' order – Last registered fair rent relevant, *Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the North Western RAC & Bigio*, 89

Disability Discrimination Act and possession orders, *North Devon Homes v Brazier*, 101

Disabled tenant unable to control son's actions – Whether possession order can be suspended so long as no further acts of nuisance, *Knowsley Housing Trust v McMullen*, CA, 120

Dual damages against landlord, *Kaur v Gill*, 51

Dwelling – Cooking facilities not required, *Uratemp Ventures Ltd v Collins*, HL, 89

Dwelling not fixed to land - Whether protected tenancy, *Elitestone Ltd v Morris*, HL, 63

Effect of termination of lease on right to possession against subtenants, *Alamo Housing Co-operative v Meredith*, CA, 101

Ending periodic assured shorthold tenancy – Incorrect date invalidates notice, *Hyde Housing Association v Laborieux*, 112

Ending periodic assured shorthold tenancy – Notice must specify last day of period, *McDonald v Fernandez*, CA, 103

Excluded licence - No protection from eviction, *West Wiltshire DC v Snelgrove*, 69

Execution of warrant of possession against tenant who should have been in receipt of HB – Tenant must satisfy court there has been abuse of process, *Circle 33 Housing Trust v Ellis*, 113; CA, 115

Fair rent application form not invalidated by incomplete replies, *R v Rent Officer of Kensington and Chelsea ex parte Hartley*, 87

Family agreement was tenancy, *Nunn v Dalrymple*, 15
Family dispute over agricultural holding, *Padbury v York*, 23
Flat over shop, *Pittalis v Grant*, 13
Forfeiture for non-payment of rent – Waived by landlord demanding and accepting rent, *Thomas v Ken Thomas Ltd*, CA, 123
Grant of tenancy, *Prudential Assurance v London Residuary Body*, 30
Ground 14 (conviction of tenant) – Tenancy agreement restricts possession grounds, *Pollards Hill Housing Association v Marsh*, CA, 94
Ground 7 claims – ‘Proceedings for the recovery of possession’ refers to court proceedings, *Osada v Shepping*, CA, 84
Hallmarks of tenancy not decisive - Other factors in existence, *Mehta v Royal Bank of Scotland*, 74
Harassment and illegal eviction – Aggravated and exemplary damages awarded, *Daley, James, Wiseman and Reynolds v Mahmood and Rahman*, 114
Harassment and unlawful eviction – Two-year custodial sentence not excessive, *R v Khan*, CA, 91
Houseboat – Whether dwelling occupied under tenancy, *Chelsea Yacht and Boat Co v Pope*, CA, 84
Housing Benefit - Payments by non-tenant, *Bessa Plus v Lancaster*, CA, 69
Implied HB condition in tenancy agreement ends tenancy, *Graves v Graves*, CA, 127
Injunction, *Love v Herrity*, 24
Land Compensation Act 1973 - Provision of temporary accommodation, *R v E Hertfordshire DC ex parte Smith*, 22
Landlord & Tenant Act 1954 - Residential subtenancy, *Grosvenor Estate Belgravia v Cochran*, 30
Landlord by purchase, *Ammadio v Dalton*, 28
Landlord licensee - No power to create tenancy, *Bruton v London & Quadrant Housing Trust*, CA, 64
Landlord may not rely on fraudulent notice to quit, *Rous v Mitchell*, 23
Landlord not liable for nuisance, *Habinteg Housing Association v James*, 49
Landlord’s repairing obligation – Main structure includes integral part of structure of building, *Marlborough Park Services v Rowe*, CA, 115, CA, 120
Landlord's duty of care - Premises safe at time of letting, *Boldack v East Lindsey DC*, CA, 75
Landlord’s failure to act - Whether waiver of breach, *Chrisdell v Tickner*, 5
Landlord's kitchen use restricts tenancy, *Mortgage Corporation v Ubah*, 56
Landlords not entitled to legal costs under lease as service charge, *St Mary’s Mansions Ltd v Limegate Investments Co Ltd*, CA, 98
Leasehold enfranchisement – Combined houses constitute a house, *Collins v Howard de Walden Estates*, CA, 103
Leasehold enfranchisement, *Mayhew v Keepers & Governors of the Possessions*, 29
Leasehold reform - Whether property a 'house', *Malpas v St Ermin's Property Co*, 35
Leasehold Reform Act 1967 – Meaning of ‘house’, *Malekshad v Howard De Walden Estates Ltd*, HL, 98
Letter inadmissible as evidence, *V G Fraulo & Co v Papa*, 41
Letting agents negligent, *Hellings v Parker Breslin Estates*, 46

Liability for burglary losses, *Marshall v Rubypoint*, 60; CA, 63

Licence to occupy during works, *Tyler v Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea*, 29

Licensee can grant tenancy, *Bruton v London & Quadrant Housing Trust*, HL, 76

Loss of statutory protection on expiry of long lease, *R v London Rent Assessment Committee ex parte Morris*, 88

Maximum fair rents order not in breach of Human Rights, *Spath Holme Ltd v UK*, ECJ, 96

Mistakes in s.20 notice - Did not invalidate, *York v Casey*, CA, 68

New tenancy granted – Fresh possession proceedings required, *Waltham Forest Community Based Housing Association v Adkins*, 88

No merit in tenant's appeal against possession order – Wrong exercise of discretion to grant adjournment, *Home from Home v Tina Home*, CA, 122

No misdirection in harassment finding – Justices reasons were sufficient, *R (McGowan) v Brent Justices*, 94

No occupation as residence - No statutory tenancy, *Prince v Robinson*, CA, 73

No protected tenancy where new tenancy follows possession proceedings, *Governing Body of Rugby School v Edwards*, 108

No subtenancy where head tenant has abandoned property, *Moreland Properties v Dhokia*, CA, 105

No surrender of assured shorthold tenancy – Rent in lieu of notice, *Lane v Cadwallader*, CA, 85

No surrender of lease in return for keys, *Proudreed v Microgen Holdings*, 52

No tenancy of almshouse, *Gray v Taylor*, CA, 69

Non-action by police does not prevent illegal eviction proceedings, *Dacorum BC v El-Kalyoubi*, 94

Non-compliance with tenancy deposit protection – Counterclaim in Ground 8 action, *Badhan v Singleton and Hill*, 129

Notice of assured shorthold, *Panayi v Roberts*, 38

Notice of protected shorthold tenancy, *R J Dunnell Property Investments v Thorpe*, 17

Notice of rent increase – Invalid where different date used from tenancy agreement, *White v Riverside Housing Association*, CA, 115

Notice requiring possession 'at the end of the period of your tenancy' – Whether valid notice under s.21 Housing Act 1988, *Notting Hill Housing Trust v Roomus*, CA, 120

Obligation to supply electricity tokens sufficiently related to land to bind new owner, *Cardwell v Walker*, 105

Occupation amounted to a licence, *Brennan v Lambeth LBC*, CA, 68

Omission renders landlord's s.20 notice invalid, *Manel v Memon*, CA, 81

Ownership agreement void for uncertainty – Proprietary estoppel and compensation, *Cook v Norlands Ltd*, PC, 94

Position of sub-tenant after surrender by head tenant, *Bromley Park Gardens Estates v George*, 27

Possession - Agricultural tenancies, *Springfield Investments v Bell*, 21

Possession - Leave to defend conditional, *Agyeman v Boadi*, 56

Possession action – Disability discrimination and reasonableness, *Servite Houses v Perry*, 107

Possession claims – Mandatory ground 8 and adjournments, North British Housing Association v Matthews, CA, 109

Possession for nuisance - Racial abuse by tenant's son, West Keny HA v Davies, CA, 74

Possession of assured tenancy - Landlord requiring as principal home, Boyle v Verrall, 58

Possession of statutory protected tenancy - Assured tenancy offered as alternative, Laimond Properties v Al Shakarchi, CA, 67

Possession order - Setting aside after execution, Governors of the Peabody Donation Fund v Ham, 3

Possession order based on repudiatory breach of contract set aside, Beazer Investments v Soares, CA, 107

Possession order by consent – No admission of grounds, Chimwe Gil v Baygreen Properties Ltd, CA, 96

Possession order for rent arrears – Order must specify mandatory ground, Diab v Countrywide Rentals, 92

Possession order made for offence before committed before tenancy began, Raglan Housing Association v Fairclough, CA, 127

Possession order set aside after premises re-let – Former tenant cannot sue for breach of quiet enjoyment, Botu v Brent LBC, CA, 81

Possession proceedings - Agent cannot bring in own name, Chesters Accommodation Agency v Abebrese, CA, 65

Possession proceedings against sub-tenant, R C Glaze Properties v Alabdinoni, 36

Possession proceedings by resident landlord, Bevan v Johnston, 19

Possession proceedings following stock transfer – Dispensing with s.8 notice, Knowsley Housing Trust v Revell; Helena Housing Ltd v Curtis, 97; CA, 99

Possession under Case 11, Ibie v Trubshaw, 22

Possession under Rent Act 1977 Case 11, Mistry v Isidore, 19

Possessory title – Adverse possession claim fails if insufficient evidence of uninterrupted residence, Murray King t/a Oakland Services UK v Job, CA, 96

Possessory title – Adverse possession claim not defeated by owner's mere entry, Smith v Waterman, Waterman and Bostock, 102

Premises let for mixed residential and business purposes – Whether Protection from Eviction Act applies, Rasiah Pirabkaran v Navichandra Manibhai Patel, CA, 121

Prohibition on grant of tenancy - Creation of new tenancy, Mann v Nijar, CA, 75

Promissory estoppel - Possession proceedings, Guest Health v Mirza, 20

Proprietary estoppel – Claim for possession of house resided in for 12 years, Century (UK) v (1) G Clibbery (2) M Clibbery, 109

Propriety estoppel - No interest for tenants, Brinnand v Ewens, 5

Protected shorthold tenancy - Effect of new tenancy, Dibbs v Campbell, 8

Protected shorthold tenancy - Position after expiry, Gent v De La Mare, 7

Protected shorthold tenancy - Service of notice, Trustees of Henry Smith's Charity v Kyriakou, 20

Protected shorthold tenancy, Ridehalgh v Horsefield, 32

Protection from Eviction Act – Does not apply to 'hostels' or 'interim accommodation', Rogerson v Wigan MBC, 109

Protection from Eviction Act applies to letting of room in hotel annexe, Nortons

Letting Agents v Zaman, 86
Recovering cost of replacement windows, Reston v Hudson, 23
Recovering possession for daughter, Bostock v Tacher de la Pagerie, 3
Referral made on day received by rent assessment committee – Statutory procedure not breach of right to a fair trial, R (on the application of Lester) v London Rent Assessment Committee, CA, 103
Registered Social Landlord can be public body – No breach of ‘legitimate expectation’ by use of Ground 8, R (on the application of Weaver) v London and Quadrant Housing Trust, 130
Regulated tenancy - Whether gay partner can succeed, Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association, CA, 64
Relief from forfeiture – Non-payment of service charges, Mohammadi v Anston Investments, CA, 103
Rent Act 1977 - Case 9, Potsos & Potsos v Theodotou, 28
Rent Act 1977 – Suitable alternative accommodation, Akram v Adam, CA, 98
Rent Act 1977 - Tenancy granted as a result of fraud, Killick v Roberts, 27
Rent Act 1977 - Whether possession reasonable, Dawncar Investments v Plews, 39
Rent Act 1977, Kaye v Massbetter & Kanter, 32
Rent Act 1977, Mansukhani v Sharkey, 33
Rent Act protected tenancy, Taboada v Nelson, 33
Rent Act sub-tenant, Keepers & Governors of the Free Grammar School of John Lyon v James, 52
Rent Act succession, Hedgedale v Hards, 27
Rent arrears - Counterclaim for disrepair, Televantos v McCulloch, 27
Rent Assessment Committees – Referral made on day received, R v London Rent Assessment Committee ex parte Lester, 99
Rent review clause – Failure to serve tenant’s counter-notice, Starmark Enterprises Ltd v CPL Distribution Ltd, CA, 90
Rent review clause inconsistent with assured tenancy, Shah v Mori, 103
Requirement for written notice, Jones v White, 42
Resident landlord - Rent Act 1977, Lewis-Graham v Cnacher, 29
Resident landlord not in occupation, Jackson v Pekic & O'Brien, 19
Restrictive covenant - Use of dwellings for the working classes, Westminster CC v Duke of Westminster, 24; CA, 33
Right of appeal against suitability of alternative accommodation, Law Land v Sinclair, 31
Right of first refusal, Bhatti v Berkeley Court Investments, 26
Right of first refusal, Cousins v Metropolitan Guarantee, 17
Right of first refusal, Denetower Ltd v Toop, 26
Right to acquire new lease – Validity of notice signed by attorney, St Ermines Property Ltd v Tingay, 99
Same sex partner can succeed to statutory tenancy, Ghaidon v Mendoza, HL, 108
Same sex partner can succeed to statutory tenancy, Mendoza v Ghaidon, CA, 97
Section 20 notices valid despite errors, Ravenseft Properties v Hall, CA, 92
Section 214 Housing Act 2004 – Late provision of deposit scheme information does not contravene, Harvey v Barnforth, 131
Separate liability precludes joint tenancy, Mikeover v Brady, 15

Separate possession claims within 12-month period – Landlord cannot rely on same Notice of Seeking Possession, *Shaftsbury Housing Association v Rickards*, 113

Serious drug offences in accommodation locality – Outright possession order appropriate, *Knowsley Housing Trust v Prescott and Prescott*, 134

Service charges, *Skilleter v Charles*, 32

Service occupancy or tenancy, *Norris v Checksfield*, 26

Service of s.20 notice on tenant's agent gives rise to assured shorthold tenancy, *Yenula Properties Ltd v Naidu*, 90; CA, 95

Setting aside warrant for possession – Effect of delay, *Southwark LBC v Sarfo*, CA, 78

Shared ownership lease is assured tenancy – Possession leads to loss of financial interest in property, *Richardson v Midland Heart Ltd*, 130

Shared premises excluding tenancy – Whether occupied by landlord as only or principal home, *Sumeghova v McMahon*, CA, 100

Significance of oral notice, *White v Jones*, 46

Squatter's adverse possession, *Bucks CC v Moran*, 13

Statutory damages - Valuation of landlord's interest, *Melville v Bruton*, 56

Statutory tenancy, *Daejan Properties v Mahoney*, 49

Statutory tenancy, *Re Vedmay*, 42

Statutory tenant by succession - Whether resident with deceased, *Swanbrae v Elliot*, 3

Substantial repairs can justify fair rent increase within two year period, *R v West Sussex Rent Officer ex parte Haysport Properties*, 86

Sub-tenant holding over - No new tenancy created, *Vaughan-Armatrading v Sarsah*, 54

Succession - Deathbed gift of house, *Sen v Headley*, 25

Succession and same sex relationships – Degree of commitment similar to marriage required, *Nutting v Southern Housing Group*, 112

Succession by same sex partner – Refusal violates Human Rights legislation, *Kamer v Austria*, ECJ, 102

Succession to statutory tenancy - Membership of tenant's family, *Sefton Holdings v Cairns*, 7

Succession to statutory tenancy, *Hildebrand v Moon*, 18

Suitable alternative accommodation, *Jones & Massey v Cook & Cook*, 23

Suitable alternative accommodation, *Lohan v Hilton*, 45

Summary possession actions, *Filemart v Avery*, 13

Surrender by operation of law, *London Borough of Brent v Sharma & Vyas*, 40

Surrender leaving sub-tenancy intact, *Barrett v Morgan*, CA, 73

Surrender of tenancy, *Chamberlaine v Scally*, 34

Suspended possession order on grounds of nuisance – Set aside as no evidence of change of behaviour, *New Charter Housing v Ashcroft*, CA, 107

Suspended possession orders – Cannot be conditional on payment of damages, *Raeuchle v Laimond Properties*, CA, 84

Tenancy - Family arrangements, *Ward v Warnke*, 21

Tenancy remained Rent Act protected despite change of premises, *Arogol Co v Rajah*, CA, 88

Tenancy transfer under Matrimonial Homes Act - Possession order not enforceable, *Church Commissioners for England v El-Emerah*, 57

Tenant not entitled to two sets of damages, *Mason v Nwokorie*, 41

Tenant's bankruptcy – Possession order granted despite no rent arrears, Cadogan Estates v McMahon, HL, 86

Tenants estopped from re-claiming overpaid rent, Ridgehill Housing Association v Chapman and Field, 103

Tenants not deprived of assured tenancy protection by sham rent review clause, Bankway Properties v Dunsford, CA, 87

Tenant's spouse has interest in possession proceedings, Wandsworth LBC v Fadayomi, 6

Term of assured shorthold tenancy, Bedding v McCarthy, 47

Terms for transfer of landlord's interest, 139 Finborough Rd Management v Mansoor, 22

Tied accommodation - Nature of employee's duties, Surrey CC v Lamond, CA, 75

Tied accommodation, Elvidge v Coventry CC, 40

Tied accommodation, S Glamorgan CC v Griffiths, 31

Tied accommodation, Whitbread W Penines v Reedy, 12

Time not of the essence of rent review clause, Riverside Housing Association v White, 109

Tomlin Order – Waiver of right to show defendant was not protected tenant, Carphone Warehouse v Malekout, CA, 121

Treatment of tenant's improvements on rent increase, Rowe v South West Rent Assessment Panel, 96

Unlawful eviction – Measure of damages, Lord and Haslewood-Orgam v Jessop, CA, 78

Unlawful eviction – Police owed no duty of care to tenant, Cowan v Chief Constable for Avon and Somerset Constabulary, CA, 95

Unlicensed HMO – Order to re-pay tenants 50% of rent, O'Hagan and Ballard v Bahi, 131

Unprotected tenant moved with family, O'Sullivan v Barnett, 45

Vacant possession agreement does not end tenancy, Appleton v Aspin, 7

Whether airspace is included in lease, Davies v Yadegar, 23

Whether client remained statutory tenant despite considerable absences – Question of fact for judge, Kerr v Stephens, CA, 118

Whether granting possession is reasonable, London Borough of Barking & Dagenham v Hyatt & Hyatt, 35

Whether landlord resident, Palmer v McNamara, 26

Whether licence was term of employment contract, Burgoyne v Griffiths, 26

Whether maximum fair rents order ultra vires, R v Secretary of State for the Environment Transport and the Regions and Secretary of State for Wales ex parte Spath Holme, CA, 80; HL, 85

Whether possession can be taken without the bailiff, Haniff v Robinson, 36

Whether possession order reasonable, Crompton v Broomfield, 23

Whether possession reasonable, Dame Margaret Hungerford Charity Trustees v Beazley, 39

Whether premium lawful, Steele v McMahon, 23

Whether residence used for business purposes, Wright v Mortimer, 56

Whether tenancy business or residential, Gurton v Parrott 26

LOCAL CONNECTION

Accommodation while asylum claim determined – Not normal residence of choice, *Al-Ameri v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC*; *Osmani v Harrow LBC*, 97; CA, 100

Attendance at local mosque - Not ground for local connection, *R v Westminster CC ex parte Benniche*, 56

Date for determining – Change of circumstances between decision and review, *Ealing LBC v Surdonja*; *Mohammed v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC*, CA, 80; HL, 91

Decision letter defective, *R v Slough BC ex parte Khan*, 53

Discretion not to refer back - Expert medical evidence ignored, *R v East Devon DC ex parte Robb*, 70

Discretion over local connection, *R v Harrow LBC ex parte Carter*, 43

Family association – Bond between family members not sufficient, *Ozbek v Ipswich BC*, CA, 120

Family association - Relevance of length of residence, *McMillan v Kyle & Carrick DC*, 57

Limit to family connection - Cousin, *R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Avdic*, 57; CA, 69

Local connection through residence - Permanent intention, *R v Southwark LBC ex parte Hughes*, 74

Meaning of employment, *R v Ealing LBC ex parte Fox*, 68

No ground to establish local connection, *R v Islington LBC ex parte Adigun*, 12

No provision for referral to Ireland, *R v Westminster CC ex parte Esmail*, 13

Reasonable to live together, *Kensington & Chelsea RBC and Westminster CC - Referee's determination*, 12

Referral after intentionality decision, *R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Camden LBC*, 14

Referral and renewed application, *R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Ali*; *R v London Borough of Tower Hamlets ex parte Bibi*, 38

Referral and renewed application - Referring council must consider homelessness reasons, *R v Newham LBC ex parte Tower Hamlets LBC*, 19

Referral to another authority – Permissible if this unlikely to lead to further violence, *Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v Danesh*, CA, 122

Refusal to refer application – No right to statutory review, *Hackney LBC v Papinder Sareen*, CA, 99

Residence while asylum claim determined does not give rise to, *Osmani v Harrow LBC*; *Al-Ameri v Kensington & Chelsea LBC*, HL, 105

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Housing stock transfer, *R v Secretary of State for the Environment ex parte Newham LBC*, 4

LOCAL TAXES (see also MONEY ADVICE index)

Liability for rates following separation, *Doncaster MBC v Lockwood*, 3

Rates - Rateable occupation question of fact, *Moore v Durham CC*, 4

MATRIMONIAL (use RELATIONSHIP BREAKDOWN)

MOBILE HOMES

Caravan site licence, Vale of White Horse DC v Mirmalek-Sani & Mirmalek-Sani, 40
Chalet within statutory definition of caravan, Wyre Forest DC v Secretary of State for the Environment, 18
Conditions on consent to assignment - No remedy in damages, Berkley Leisure Group v Lee, CA, 65
Definition of 'gypsy', R v Gloucestershire CC ex parte Davies; R v S Hams DC ex parte Gibb; R v Warwickshire CC ex parte Waller, 42
Definition of 'gypsy', Extending mobile home does not terminate agreement, Howard v Charlton, CA, 97
Houseboat - Rights over towpath, Sussex Investments v Jackson, 40
Pitch fee review clause - Interpretation, Stroud v Weir Associates, 3
Planning permission, Newbury DC v Secretary of State for Environment, 25
Protected site, Adams v Watkins, 21
Recovery of owner's costs - Pitch fee increase, Walker v Badcock, CA, 65
Removal of caravans during winter, Babbage v N Norfolk DC, 23

OMBUDSMEN

Allocations, Housing Benefit, rent accounts and homelessness, Complaint against Northampton BC, 113
Care in the home, Complaint against Derbyshire CC, 105
Council failed to act following access complaint by neighbour, Complaint against Plymouth CC, 99
Council failed to follow own policy on succession and assignment, Complaint against Southwark LBC, 100
Council failed to give proper reasons for refusing mutual exchange, Complaint against Derby CC, 88
Council's failure to fix damp in tenant's home, No.06/B/05370, 127
Council's failure to provide information to tenants about impending increase in home loss payment, Complaint against Bolton MBC, 111
Council pressurised homeless applicant to accept property that was not fit to live in, Complaint to Local Government Ombudsman, 126
Delay by council in carrying out repairs, Complaint against Lambeth LBC, 116
Delay by council in dealing with transfer application – Applicant left in unsuitable accommodation, Complaint to Local Government Ombudsman, 125
Delay in taking effective action to remedy disrepair amounts to maladministration, Complaint against Liverpool City Council, 79
Delays and errors in dealing with HB claim leading to tenant's eviction, Complaint against Lambeth LBC, 112
Delays and inconvenience following disrepair, Complaint against Lambeth LBC, 108
Duty to store belongings continues after discharge of homelessness duty, Complaint against Sutton LBC, 112
Failure to alleviate short term housing difficulties – Failure to publicise re-housing points scheme, Complaint against Lewisham LBC, 82

Failure to allocate properties in accordance with council's own scheme, Complaint against Stockton-on-Tees BC, 108

Failure to apply homelessness legislation correctly and lack of proper eviction procedure, Complaint against Richmondshire DC, 100

Failure to deal effectively with repairs, Complaint against Nottingham CC 97

Failure to follow own policy with regards to evicting vulnerable tenant, Complaint against Barnet LBC, 82

Failure to notify decision on Housing Benefit claim, Complaint against Ealing LBC, 83

Flawed procedures for processing housing application, Complaint against Tower Hamlets LBC, 109

Homelessness - Offer of accommodation, Complaint against London Borough of Hackney, 40

Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit – Non-payment leading to enforcement action, Complaint against Northampton BC, 123

Housing Benefit delays cause injustice, Complaint against Southwark LBC, 97

Housing costs for asylum seekers, Complaint against Lambeth LBC, 105

Landlord and tenant - Racial harassment, Complaint against Bristol CC, 40

Medical assessment causes maladministration, Complaint against Birmingham City Council, 83

Neighbour nuisance complaint not dealt with effectively, Complaint against Manchester CC, 108

Neighbour nuisance, rent accounts and housing benefit, Complaint against Sandwell MBC, 120

No procedure to deal with ant-social behaviour complaints, Complaint against Cambridge CC, 108

No provision of interim accommodation by LA, No.06/B/07896, 127

Notice to quit served in breach of council procedure, Complaint against Southwark LBC, 97

Racial harassment, Complaint against Leicester CC, 49

Refusal of mutual exchange due to rent arrears, Complaint against Chichester DC, 99

Rent accounts, housing benefit and council house management, Complaint against Waltham Forest LBC, 116

Repairs – Failure to act promptly, Complaint to Housing Ombudsman, 105

Repairs – Tenant refuses access, Complaint to Housing Ombudsman, 105

Residential care charges – Complaint against Lincolnshire CC, 105

Right to Buy application – Failure to follow procedure caused tenant to pay more, Complaint against Newcastle CC, 117

Right to buy delay, Complaint against Leeds CC, 105

Shared ownership – Effect of s.106 agreement, Complaint to Housing Ombudsman, 106

Tenant misled about entitlement to removal expenses, Complaint against Oxford CC, 108

Unjustified refusal to pay HB, Complaint against Manchester CC, 109

OWNER OCCUPATION (use HOME OWNERSHIP)

PLANNING

Conservation area, *S Lakeland DC v Secretary of State for Environment*, 31
Consistency of decisions, *Runnymede BC v Secretary of State for the Environment*, 42
Enforcement notice, *R v Wychavon DC ex parte Saunders*, 30
Listed building, *Watson-Smythe v Secretary of State for the Environment*, 29
Material change of use, *Pope v Secretary of State for Environment*, 30
Material change of use, *Worthing BC v Secretary of State for Environment*, 30
Restrictive covenant, *Good v Epping Forest DC*, 42
Secretary of State's powers – Availability of judicial review ensures human rights compatibility, *R v Secretary of State for the Environment Transport and the Regions ex parte Holding and Barnes*, HL, 87

POSSESSION (use HOME OWNERSHIP, LANDLORD AND TENANT, PRACTICE & PROCEDURE, PUBLIC SECTOR)

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE

Appeal should exceptionally be treated as re-hearing where it constitutes first opportunity to present case, *Bank of Ireland v Robertson*, 102
Appealing county court homelessness decision – Important point of principle or practice required, *Okeneye v Newham LBC*, CA, 103
Assessing disposable income for public funding – Net rent payable not rent actually paid, *R (on the application of Southwark Law Centre) v Legal Services Commission*, 124
Consent order - Variation of undertaking, *Kensington Housing Trust v Oliver*, CA, 67
Costs - Solicitors' contractual arrangement, *British Waterways Board v Norman*, 42
Court can rely on untrue admission in defence – Defendant should apply to amend, *Loveridge v Healey*, CA, 109
Defence under Part 21 CPR – Refusal to adjourn mandatory possession hearing not unreasonable, *S v Floyd (The Equality and Human Rights Commission, intervening)*, CA, 132
Failure to comply with possession order – Contempt of court justifying custodial sentence, *Tuohy v Bell (trustee in bankruptcy)*, CA, 93
Grant of possession after failure to submit defence not breach of human rights, *Arogundale v Brent LBC*, CA, 88
Injunction to prevent breach of tenancy – Contractual rights end with tenancy, *Medina Housing Association Ltd v Case*, CA, 99
Interim possession order - Formalities to be complied with, *Bristol Churches Housing Association v Ward*, 54
Judicial review delays, *R v Dairy Produce Quota Tribunal ex parte Caswell*, 15
Landlord failed to reply to tenant's proposals – Costs order reduced to reflect increased costs of hearing, *Fifield Investments v Mousari*, 104
Leave to appeal refused – Judicial review only exceptionally available, *R (on the application of Milburn) v Morpeth & Berwick County Court*, CA, 104
Magistrates' refusal of adjournment – No appeal by way of case stated, *North Somerset DC v Richards*, 97
Notice delivered by hand outside court hours deemed 'filed', *Van Aken v Camden LBC*, CA, 104

Possession summons – No automatic presumption of service, Patel v Smeaton, CA, 86
Properly executed possession warrant not oppressive, Jephson Homes Housing Association v Moisejevs, CA, 84
Right to buy - Possession claim pending, Bristol CC v Lovell, CA, 58; HL, 67
Service of proceedings under CPR6.5 – Residential property let to tenants not owner's 'place of business', O'Hara v McDougall, CA, 116

PRIORITY NEED

Addict not vulnerable, Ortiz v City of Westminster, 52
Child subject to immigration control disregarded - Whether compatible with applicant's human rights, R (on the application of Morris) v Westminster CC, 103
Children in care, R v Lewisham LBC ex parte Creppy, 32
Children not dependent - Inquiries adequate, R v Westminster CC ex parte Bishop, 64
Dependant children, R Lambeth BC ex parte Vaglivello, 20
Council must consider facts giving rise to vulnerability, Crossley v Westminster CC, CA, 117
Council prevented from taking account of ineligible pregnant spouse – Declaration of incompatibility, R (Gabaj) v Bristol CC and First Secretary of State, 118
Dependant children, R v London Borough of Lambeth ex parte Bodunrin, 37
Dependent child not subject to qualifying person provisions, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Kimvono, 90
Destitute refugees - Whether 'other special reason', R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Llunga-Llunga; R v Islington LBC ex parte Araya; R v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC ex parte Kihara; R v Westminster CC ex parte Pavlov, 56; CA, 57
Disregarding child subject to immigration control incompatible with ECHR, R (on the application of Morris) v Westminster CC and First Secretary of State, 109
Epileptic not vulnerable, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Banbury, 5
Illegal entry to UK - No priority need, R v Westminster CC ex parte Jaafer, 71
Joint succession impossible, Newham LBC v Phillips, CA, 66
Medical evidence from council's own medical adviser – Must be properly evaluated, CA, 125
Only or principal home - Objective question, Ujima Housing Association v Ansah, CA, 65
Part-time parent in priority need, R v Kingswood BC ex parte Smith-Morse, 48
Possession - Execution of warrant is final, Tower Hamlets LBC v Azad, CA, 65
Possession - Serious offences by tenant, Bristol CC v Monah, CA, 65
Postponement of decision for mediation – Applicant no longer in priority need, Robinson v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC, CA, 121
Priority need from epilepsy, R v Sheffield CC ex parte Leek, 48
Reasonableness for possession - Relevant issues, Darlington BC v Sterling, CA, 66
Residence order of family court – Not binding on local authority, Holmes-

Moorhouse v Richmond-upon-Thames LBC, HL, 133
Right to buy - Possession claim pending, Bristol CC v Lovell, CA, 58; HL, 67
Right to buy - Reasonable terms, Guinan v Enfield LBC, CA, 67
Secure tenancy - Basic ingredients, Parkins v Westminster CC, CA, 66
Shared care of children - Reasons for decision must be given, R v Leeds CC ex parte Collier, 73
Split families - Whether reasonable for children to reside with applicant, R v Oxford CC ex parte Doyle, 68
Test for vulnerability is composite, R v Camden LBC ex parte Pereira, 68
Test of vulnerability – Does not include ‘likelihood’ of harm, Griffin v Westminster CC, CA, 106
Transfers - Policy applied rigidly, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Melak, 66
Unborn child is not alive, R v Newham LBC ex parte Dada, 50
Validity of mutual exchange, Sanctuary Housing Association v Baker, CA, 68
Vulnerability requires composite assessment, R v Camden LBC ex parte Pereira, CA, 70
Vulnerability, Re Susan Wilson, 34
Vulnerability – Street homelessness does not mean being on the streets 24 hours a day, Frempomah v Haringey LBC, 119
Vulnerable person test – Applicant must provide evidence of priority, Bellouti v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 112
Vulnerable person test – Council should not assess on basis of ability to fend for oneself while still housed, Osmani v Camden LBC, CA, 112
Vulnerable person test – Procedural fairness, Mehmet v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 112
Vulnerable woman intentionally homeless, R v Wirral MBC ex parte Bell, 51
Whether illegal eviction confers priority need, R v Bristol CC ex parte Bradic, 48; CA, 51
Whether under 18 spouse can be dependent child – Whether breach of human rights, Hackney LBC v Ekinici, CA, 88
Youth under training not dependant, R v Kensington & Chelsea RBC ex parte Amarfio, 47; CA, 50

PUBLIC HEALTH

Access to HMO to carry out works, Wandsworth LBC v Bowes, 26
Adjournment of compulsory purchase enquiry pending control order appeal, Webb v Secretary of State for the Environment & Ipswich BC, 23
Authority must consider repair at reasonable expense, R v Forest of Dean DC ex parte Trigg, 22
Breach of repairing covenant, Greenwich LBC v Discreet Selling Estates, 24
Closing order, Branchett v Beaney, 32
Compulsory purchase order - Relevance of harassment, R v Secretary of State for the Environment ex parte Kensington & Chelsea RBC, 3
Council's breach of duty, Murphy v Brentwood DC, 17
Damages for disrepair, Rees v Davis, 5
Dampness and disrepair, Staves v Leeds C C, 24
Defective premises, Targett v Torfaen BC, 30
Defective Premises Act 1972, Andrews v Schooling, 28
Defective Premises Act 1972 - Garden step, McCauley v Bristol C C, 27

Defective sewers – No breach of human rights or ground for nuisance action, Marcic v Thames Water Utilities, ca, 97; HL, 111

Designated defective houses - Notice of determination, R v Thurrock BC ex parte Wellham, 26

Enforcing landlord's repairing obligations - Receiver's expenses, Evans v Clayhope Properties, 3

Failure to remove rubbish - Whether negligent, Dear v Newham LBC, 4

Fire regulations - HMO or boarding house, R v Mabbott, 6

Flat not house for repairs notice, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Clayhope Properties, 5

Frozen pipes - Landlord's failure to act, Stockley v Knowsley MBC, 3

Grant aided work - Liability for defects, Curran v N Ireland Co-ownership Housing Association, 4

House in multiple occupation - Council unreasonable to give notice to hotel, R v Hackney LBC ex parte Evenbray, 6

House in multiple occupation - Service of notice, Rushmoor BC v Reynolds, 30

House in multiple occupation - Whether county court has jurisdiction, Nolan v Leeds C C, 23

Implied repairing obligation, Barrett v Lounova (1982), 9

Improvement grant - Qualifying dwelling, R v Oldham Justices ex parte Garrity, 4

Legal aid for s.99 appeal, R v Inner London Crown Court ex parte Bentham, 15

Liability for acts of trespassers - Negligence, Smith v Littlewoods, 4

No duty of care to council tenant, McNerny v Lambeth LBC, 12

Noise abatement order, Southwark LBC v Ince, 14

Nuisance order - Whether appropriate for block of flats, Birmingham DC v McMahon, 5

Possession action based on fraudulent misrepresentation – Landlord can only use Schedule 2 Housing Act 1985 grounds, Islington LBC v Uckac, CA, 119

Public Health Act - Laying of information, Sandwell MBC v Bujock, 20

Public nuisance, Gillingham BC v Medway (Chatham) Dock, 30

Redecoration liabilities, McDougall v Easington DC, 13

Repair notices, Swansea CC v Glass, 31

Residential care home registration, Isle of Wight CC v Humphreys, 31

Right to buy application – Expires when tenant becomes 'tolerated trespasser', Islington LBC v Honeygan-Green, 124

Section 11 Landlord & Tenant Act 1985, Irvine v Moran, 30

Secure tenancy - Repairs to garden path, King v South Northamptonshire DC, 30

Serving an abatement notice, Pollway Nominees v Havering LBC, 15

Statutory nuisance, Herbert v Lambeth LBC, 31

Statutory nuisance - Notification by tenant not required, Sandwell MBC v Bujok, 16

Succession on death of secure tenant – Whether resident during 12-month period prior to tenant's death, Sheffield CC v Wall, CA, 120

Surveyor's home inspection - Disclaimer not reasonable, Smith v Eric S Bush, 4

Surveyor's report - Whether notice of disrepair, Hall v Howard, 10

Unreasonable delay in carrying out repairs - Whether breach of contract, *Morris v Liverpool CC*, 10

PUBLIC SECTOR

Acknowledgement of council ownership defeats adverse possession claim, *Archangel v Lambeth LBC*, CA, 86

Action against minor – Breach of trust by local authority, *Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Alexander-David*, CA, 134

Action for under-occupancy against successor tenant not reasonable, *Bracknell Forest BC v Green*, CA, 134

Acts causing nuisance - Linked to life in an area, *Northampton BC v Lovatt*, CA, 66

Allocation of housing - Council debts, *R v Forest Heath DC ex parte West & Lucas*, 30

Allocation policy unlawful because statutory preferences not secured, *Lambeth LBC v A*; *Lambeth LBC v Lindsay*, CA, 95

Allocation scheme designed to prevent leapfrogging not unreasonable, *R v Lambeth LBC ex parte El Yemlahi*, 95

Allocations policy should reflect that more than one person in medical need, *R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Udding Tahid and Curtis*, 83

Altering grounds for possession, *Camden LBC v Oppong*, 56

Anti-social behaviour orders – Information from criminal proceedings admissible, *S v Poole BC*, 96

Anti-social behaviour orders – People working in council housing offices are not 'residing', *Nottingham CC v Clifton Thames*, CA, 96

Arrears agreement - Whether new tenancy, *Burrows v Brent LBC*, 52; HL, 60

Arrears caused by benefit delays - Possession not reasonable, *Brent LBC v Marks*, CA, 73

Article 8 ECHR cannot defeat possession claim on mandatory ground, *Newham LBC v Kibata*, CA, 104

Assignment of secure tenancy to potential successor, *London Borough of Croydon v Buston & Triance*, 31

Assignment of secure tenancy, *City of Westminster v Peart*, 34

Assignment to potential successor, *Camden LBC v Goldenberg & another*, 56

Assured shorthold possession procedure not inconsistent with human rights – Registered social landlords may be public bodies, *Donoghue v Poplar Housing and Regeneration Community Association*, CA, 87

Consent order - Variation of undertaking, *Kensington Housing Trust v Oliver*, CA, 67

Council cannot provide homes through company, *Credit Suisse v Waltham Forest LBC*, 57

Council liable in nuisance for failure to control travellers' site, *Winch v Mid Bedfordshire DC*, 99

Council not bound by Tenants' Charter, *Palmer v Sandwell MBC*, 7

Council not liable for tenant's torts, *Hussain v Lancaster CC*, CA, 70

Council under no duty to fit window locks, *Stevens v Blaenau Gwent CBC*, CA, 108

Council undertaking not to evict occupier – Eviction in breach contempt of

court, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bempoa, 94
Council's decision to serve notice to quit flawed, R v Hammersmith and Fulham LBC ex parte Quigley, 83
Council's housing powers - Whether fettered by restrictive covenant, R v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC ex parte Beddowes, 1
Court not entitled to assume Housing Benefit payable – Judge's constant interruptions rendered trial unfair, Southwark LBC v Kofi-Adu, CA, 118
Creation of tenancy, Ellis v Greenwich LBC, 51
Creation of tenancy, Westminster C C v Basson, 24
Damages for loss of occupation, White v Lambeth LBC, 51
Damages for unlawful eviction - Reduction owing to tenant's conduct, Wandsworth LBC v Osei-Bonsu, CA, 74
Death of joint tenant – Surviving joint tenant not 'successor', Walker v Birmingham CC, CA, 121
Defending possession proceedings – Defendant in apparent need of community care services, Haringey LBC v Williams, 109
Deferment of transfer application for two years reasonable, R v Hackney LBC ex parte Fell, 86
Deferral of cases in allocation scheme not unlawful or irrational, R v Fareham BC ex parte Giles, 99
Demoted tenancy review – Procedure compatible with Article 6 ECHR, R (Gilboy) v Liverpool CC and Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, CA, 131
Disability discrimination – Court applied incorrect comparator test, Mayor and Burgesses of Lewisham LBC v Malcolm, HL, 129
Dishonesty in obtaining housing, Shrewsbury & Atcham BC v Evans, CA, 69
Disposal of housing stock – Separate consultations not required, R v Hackney LBC ex parte Boulbour, CA, 83
Disrepair not breach of Human Rights, Lee v Leeds CC; Ratcliffe v Sandwell MBC, 94
Distant relative not entitled to succeed to secure tenancy – Whether breach of Human Rights, Michalak v Wandsworth LBC, CA, 93
Duty of care to tenant – Local authority not negligent in the absence of knowledge of risk, Mitchell and Mitchell v Glasgow CC, HL, 133
Employees do not have tenancies, Redbank Schools v Abdullahzadeh, 54
Ending of joint tenancy - Deed of release, Burton v Camden LBC, CA, 67
Eviction - Racist behaviour of son, Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v Simmonds, 58
Eviction of non-tenant spouse not in breach of ECHR, Kensington & Chelsea RLBC v O'Sullivan, CA, 101
Eviction of overstayer wrong, Akinbolu v Hackney LBC, 57
Exception from right to buy, R v Secretary of State for the Environment ex parte W Oxfordshire DC, 46
Exercising the right to buy in the green belt – Extent of SoS's discretion, R v Secretary of State for the Environment Transport and the Regions ex parte O'Byrne, 83
Extending suspended possession order does not revive secure tenancy, Richmond v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, CA, 117
Failed injunction application - Council liable for damages, Southwark LBC v Storrie, CA, 66

Failure to monitor refuse collection – No breach of covenant for quiet enjoyment, *Southwark LBC v Long*, CA, 94

Friend left in possession - No surrender of tenancy, *Zonmor v Islington LBC*, CA, 71

Fulfilling of public function does not necessarily make act a public one, *R (on the application of Heather) v Leonard Cheshire Foundation*, 91; CA, 95

Ground 2A not made out where violence one of several reasons for partner leaving, *Camden LBC v Mallett*, CA, 90

Growing cannabis – Outright possession order deemed appropriate, *Sandwell MBC v Hensley*, CA, 129

Home loss payment – Claim fails where tenant on transfer list, *Ingle v Scarborough BC*, CA, 97

Home loss payment - Set-off of rent arrears, *Khan v Islington LBC*, CA, 75

House in multiple occupation – Occupiers with reason to live together, *R v Kettering BC and English Churches Housing Group ex parte Hossack*, 96

Housing allocation - Overcrowding criteria too rigid, *R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Ashley*, 64

Housing application - Weighing relevant factors, *R v Wolverhampton MBC ex parte Watters*, 57; CA, 62

Housing transfer application under Part VI can be considered at same time as homelessness application, *R (on the application of Bilverstone) v Oxford CC*, 104

Human rights and reviving tenancy, *Clements v Lambeth LBC*, 86

Injunction for breach of planning consent – Court must consider proportionality, *South Buckinghamshire DC v Porter*, CA, 92

Interim ASBO without notice – No breach of human rights, *R (on the application of M) v (1) Secretary of State for Constitutional Affairs (2) Leeds Magistrates Court*, CA, 106

Introductory tenancies – Out of time review not necessarily fatal to possession proceedings, *R (on the application of Chelfat) v Tower Hamlets LBC*, 118

Introductory tenancies – Review must consider merits of decision to seek possession, *R (on the application of McDonagh) v Salisbury DC*, 91

Introductory tenancies do not breach human rights, *R v Bracknell Forest DC ex parte Johns and McLellan*, 87

Introductory tenancy - Possession proceedings, *Manchester CC v Cochrane*, CA, 74

Introductory tenancy – Proceedings brought when claim issued by court, *Salford County Court v Garner*, CA, 109

Introductory tenancy – Tenant not prejudiced by failure to serve fresh notice of proceedings, *R (on the application of Laporte) v Newham LBC*, 106

Introductory tenants – No requirement for second notice, *Cardiff CC v Stone*, CA, 94

Issuing warrant for possession without hearing is not breach of human rights, *St Brice v Southwark LBC*, CA, 88

Joint tenancy - Deed of release of secure tenancy not effective, *Burton v Camden LBC*, HL, 81

Judge's discretion to award possession – When appeal court will interfere, *Westminster CC v Garcia*, CA, 83

Keeping dog in breach of agreement, *Sheffield CC v Green*, 42

Legitimate expectation - Council policy may be relied on, *R v Lambeth LBC ex*

parte Trabi, 73
Licence - Whether secure tenancy, *Ogwr v Dykes*, 12
Mandatory possession ground – Successful defence of disability discrimination, *Lewisham LBC v Malcolm & Disability Rights Commission*, 124
Minor can succeed to secure tenancy, *Kingston-upon-Thames RLBC v Prince*, CA, 74
Minor's claim separate, *C (a minor) v Hackney LBC*, 54
No public law defence in possession proceedings, *R (on the application of Muscat) v Three Rivers DC*, 99
No secure tenancy of hostel room, *Westminster CC v Clarke*, CA, 26; HL, 31
No secure tenancy unless direct landlord and tenant relationship, *Kay v (1) Lambeth LBC (2) London & Quadrant Housing Trust*, CA, 109; HL, 118
Non-secure tenancy – Gateway B defence to possession action, *McGlynn v Welwyn Hatfield DC*, CA, 133
Non-secure tenancy regime – Recovery of possession compatible with Human Rights, *Sheffield CC v Emma Smart; Central Sunderland Housing Company v Janet Wilson*, CA 96
Notice of seeking possession, *Dudley MBC v Bailey*, 20
Notice to quit – Former joint tenant remaining in occupation may rely on Human Rights, *Harrow LBC v Qazi*, CA, 92
Notice to quit - Joint tenancies, *London Borough of Barnet v Smith*, 23
Notice to quit - Joint tenancies, *London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham v Monk*, 23 (CA), 30 (HL)
Notice to quit - Service not valid, *Enfield LBC v Devonish & Sutton*, 60
Notice to quit by joint tenant without prior consultation – No breach of trust, *Brackley v Notting Hill Housing Trust and Brackley*, CA, 87
Notice to quit by one joint tenant – Not exceptional so as to require justifying possession proceedings, *Bradney v Birmingham CC*, CA, 104
Notice to quit, *Tower Hamlets LBC v Abdi*, 36
Nuisance – Recent good behaviour does not prevent possession, *Waltham Forest LBC v Partridge*, CA, 84
Once tenant complies with possession order possession date cannot be postponed; *Dunn v Bradford MBC; Marston v Leeds CC*, CA, 96
Outright possession order must be proportionate – Reasonableness must be clearly considered, *Gallagher v Castle Vale Action Trust Ltd*, CA, 93
Outright possession order suspended on change of circumstances, *Ujima Housing Association v Smith*, 88
Overcrowding - Application of blanket policy unlawful, *R v Gateshead MBC ex parte Lauder*, 58
Past obsessive harassment – Outright possession not breach of human rights, *Lambeth LBC v Howard*, CA, 88
Possession action not oppressive, *Camden LBC v Akanni*, CA, 69
Possession claim for tenant's failure to disclose material fact in housing application – County court has no jurisdiction to adjudicate on HB issues, *Waltham Forest LBC v Roberts*, CA, 112
Possession for nuisance – Factors to be considered, *Bryant v Portsmouth CC*, CA, 83
Possession for nuisance – Reign of terror justifies outright order, *Newcastle CC v Morrison*, CA, 83
Possession order - Whether reasonable, *Haringey LBC v Powell*, 60

Possession order against disabled secure tenant – Council must believe action justified and belief must be reasonable, Manchester CC v Romano; Manchester CC v Samari, CA, 108

Possession order against secure tenant - Intention to carry out work, Wansbeck DC v Marley, 7

Possession order by consent - Court must be satisfied of ground and reasonableness, Hounslow LBC v McBride, CA, 73

Possession order made in tenant's absence – No grounds for setting aside, Henry v Lambeth LBC, CA, 80

Possession orders and secure tenancies – Postponing date for possession, Bristol CC v Hassan; Bristol CC v Glastonbury, CA, 117

Possession proceedings – No interim injunction against minor, G v Harrow LBC, 106

Possession proceedings - Right to buy application, Tandridge DC v Bickers, CA, 74

Power to dispense with service, Kelsey Housing Association v King, 52

Reasonable to make possession order – Court not required to take homelessness into account, Lewisham LBC v Adeyami, CA, 77

Reasonableness in possession proceedings – Court did not give disproportionate weight to council's failure to comply with RTB procedure, R (Hislop) v Kensington & Chelsea RLBC, 104

Reasonableness of possession order - Tenant's undertaking not accepted, Camden LBC v Gilsenan, CA, 70

Re-housing policy – Refusal to accord social services priority irrational, R (on the application of Vatansever) v Southwark LBC, 96

Rent arrears relevant in allocation of housing, R v Lambeth LBC ex parte Njomo, 56

Rent payments do not make occupiers secure tenants, Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Jastrzebski, 90

Repossessed property burgled - Council took reasonable care, Waite v Lambeth LBC, 56

Revival of secure tenancy – Council retrospectively liable for repairs, Lambeth LBC v Rogers, CA, 79

Revival of tenancy – Revival of right to buy application, Islington LBC v Honeygan-Green, CA, 129

Right to buy - Council's duty, Dance v Welwyn Hatfield DC, 19

Right to buy - Effect of tenancy breach, Bristol CC v Lovell, 58

Right to buy - No negligence in valuation, Blake v Barking & Dagenham LBC, 61

Right to buy - Notice waived, Milne-Berry v Tower Hamlets LBC, 62

Right to buy – Relevant date for determining property price, Copping v Surrey CC, CA, 115

Right to buy application withdrawn – Council could not impose deadline, Hanoman v Southwark LBC, 110

Right to buy irrelevant for rent, Dickinson v Enfield LBC, 58

Right to buy legislation – Exception where employment contract requires tenant to occupy premises, Godsmark v Greenwich LBC, 108

Right to buy, Barwick v Kent CC, 32

Right to buy, Greenwich LBC v Hughes, 42

Right to buy, Hadjiconstantinou v Charalambous, 42

Right to buy, London Borough of Hounslow v Hare, 31

Secure tenancy - Effect of suspended possession order, Hackney LBC v Porter, 59

Secure tenancy - Evidence of surrender, Camden LBC v Alexandrou, CA, 69

Secure tenancy – Possession order not interference with Human Rights, Harrow LBC v Quazi, HL, 102

Secure tenancy - Whether status can change, Berkshire CC v Greenfield, 56

Secure tenancy ends on breach of suspended order, Thompson v Elmbridge BC, 6

Secure tenancy following homelessness application – Authority not aware of material fact, North Hertfordshire DC v Carthy, CA, 99

Secure tenancy or licence, Tower Hamlets LBC v Miah, 30

Secure tenancy, Lilleshall Road Housing Co-operative Ltd v Brennan, 32

Secure tenancy, R v Worthing BC ex parte Bruce, 33

Service charges – No right to claim arrears after failing to charge, R (on the application of Rowe) v Vale of White Horse DC, 101

Service tenancy - No secure tenancy following termination of employment, Brent LBC v Charles, CA, 69

Setting aside judgment and execution of order for possession, London Borough of Tower Hamlets v Abadie, 23

Setting aside warrant – Failure to advise of legal rights and eviction date is oppression, Lambeth LBC v Hughes, CA, 84

Setting aside warrant after eviction – Oppressive conduct not by landlord, Hammersmith and Fulham LBC v Lemeh, CA, 83

Short notice to quit valid despite objection of spouse, Snowden v Hackney LBC, CA, 86

Six months' sentence for breach of injunction not manifestly excessive, Leicester CC v Lewis, CA, 83

Statutory nuisance - Notice served on council as landlord not properly served, Leeds v Islington LBC, 69

Succession - Secure status lost, Brent LBC v Knightly, 61

Succession by carer to secure tenancy not permitted, R (James Sleith) v Camden LBC, CA, 102

Succession rules not in breach of ECHR, R (on the application of Gangera) v Hounslow LBC, 101

Succession to gay partner, R v Islington LBC ex parte Blissett, 70

Succession to secure tenancy - Residence relates to premises, Waltham Forest LBC v Thomas, CA, 25; HL, 34

Succession to secure tenancy – Statutory scheme complies with Human Rights legislation, R (Linda Mays) v Brent LBC, 102

Succession to secure tenancy, Bassetlaw DC v Renshaw, 27

Succession to secure tenancy, Epping Forest DC v Pomphrett, 22

Succession to secure tenancy, Lennon v Hamilton DC, 24

Suitable alternative accommodation – Can be tenant's own property, Amrit Holdings v Shahbakhti, 111

Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982 – No terms implied into tenancy, Dunn v Bradford MBC, CA, 96

Suspended possession order – Enforceability when arrears cleared, Swindon BC v Aston, CA, 99

Suspended possession order - No new tenancy created, Greenwich LBC v

Regan, 55

Suspended possession order – Wider public interest to be considered Grogan v Greenwich LBC, CA, 81

Suspended possession order complied with – New grounds do not require fresh proceedings, Manchester CC v Joleen Finn, CA, 97

Suspended possession orders and tolerated trespassers – Waiver of breaches or rent increases do not create new tenancy, Lambeth LBC (1)

Hyde Southbank (2) v O’Kane; Helena Housing v Pinder, CA, 113

Suspension of possession order – Consequences of eviction relevant, Stonebridge Housing Action Trust v Gabbidon, 99

Tenant ceasing to occupy – Evidence of intention to return to property, Amoah v Barking and Dagenham LBC, 88

Tenant condition satisfied, Hussey v Camden LBC, 49

Tenant’s responsibility for continuance of nuisance, Jones v Walsall MBC, 97

Terminating introductory tenancy – Council decision reversed, Forbes v Lambeth LBC, 101

Tolerated trespasser and mesne profits – Liability ends when possession given up, Jones v Merton LBC, CA, 130

Tolerated trespasser and mesne profits – Liability ends when possession given up, Jones v Merton LBC, CA, 130

Tolerated trespasser can bring nuisance claim, Pemberton v Southwark LBC, CA, 83

Tolerated trespassers – Right to succession, Southwark LBC v Austin, CA, 133

Transfer - Irrational assessment, R v Tower Hamlets LBC ex parte Spencer, 60

Transfer application – Irrational assessment of medical needs, R (on the application of Maali) v Lambeth LBC, 104

Transfer of tenancy – Application by former cohabitant, Gay v Sheeran and Enfield LBC, CA, 77

Transfer scheme - Medical priority, R v Wandsworth LBC ex parte Lawrie, 69

Two weeks’ living together not sufficient for ‘stable relationship’, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Hussey, 97

Unlawful subletting – Judge entitled to infer from facts, Lambeth LBC v Vandra, CA, 117

Variation of terms of secure tenancy, R v London Borough of Brent ex parte Blatt, 34

Waiting list application by homeowner, R v Bristol CC ex parte Johns, 39

Warrant for possession - Setting aside, Hammersmith & Fulham LBC v Hill, 44

Whether reasonable to grant possession, Woking BC v Bystram, 43

Whether Right to Buy application received in time – Evidence of posting and no evidence of non-receipt, Terry v Tower Hamlets LBC, 116

Whether temporary accommodation is secure tenancy, Hyde Housing Association v Harrison, 23

Whether tenancy was secure, Bruce v Worthing BC, 44

RELATIONSHIP BREAKDOWN

Appropriateness of clean break order, Clutton v Clutton, 24

Beneficial interest, H v M, 30
Beneficial interest in property, Risch v McFee, 22
Beneficial interest, Lloyds Bank v Semmakie, 36
Beneficial interest, Savill v Goodall, 39
Beneficial interest, Stokes v Anderson, 28
Breach of injunction, Miller v Juby, 25
Breach of injunction, Pidduck v Molloy, 36
Breach of non-molestation order, Mason v Lawton, 29
Capital sum payable after separation, Wallis v Wallis, 41
Co-owners, Springette v Defoe, 33
Death of spouse - Variation of order, Smith v Smith, 25
Disposal of property, Sherry v Sherry, 26
Domestic violence - Delay in ordering ouster injunction, Dunsire v Dunsire, 27
Domestic violence, Harrison v Lewis, 15
Domestic violence, Re S, 15
Exclusion injunction, Davidson v Davidson, 33
Extent of cohabitee's interest in jointly owned property – Displacing presumption of equal beneficial interests, Stack v Dowden, HL, 123
Factors in making occupation order - Significant harm to respondent's son, B v B (occupation order), CA, 74
Fiancee's rights to property, Mossop v Mossop, 8
Financial provision on divorce, Garcia v Garcia, 33
Husband liable for rent, Griffiths v Renfree, 13
Implementation of order to redeem charge, Popat v Popat, 25
Injunction, Lucas v Lucas, 32
Injunction obtained in divorce case, Hennie v Hennie, 41
Injunction to prevent disposal of assets, Shipman v Shipman, 26
Joint ownership, Huntingford v Hobbs, 3
Joint ownership, McDowell v Hirschfield Lipson & Rumney & Smith, 35
Legal aid rules - Matrimonial home anomaly, Simpson v Law Society, 5
Legal charge on jointly owned property, Lloyds Bank v Egremont, 24
Lender unaffected by undue influence, CIBC Mortgages v Pitt, 41
Matrimonial Homes Act 1983 - Whether court has power to grant injunction, Kalsi v Kalsi, 35
Non-molestation order - Council's possession action not breach, Harrow LBC v Johnstone, HL, 63
Non-molestation order – Definition of 'associated person', G v F, 83
Occupation - Whether overriding interest created, City of London BS v Flegg, 4
Ouster injunction, Scott v Scott, 31
Ouster order a drastic step, Burke v Burke, 3
Ouster order, Blackstock v Blackstock, 29
Ouster order, G v J, 39
Ouster order - Power of court to grant, Wilde v Wilde, 7
Ouster order - Test, Summers v Summers, 5
Property transfer - Housing policy relevant, Jones v Jones, 60
Reasonable financial provision, Re Clarke (Deceased), 28
Registration - Beneficial interest, Lloyds Bank v Rossett, 19
Right of occupation - Purchaser's circumstances relevant, Kaur v Gill, 8
Sale of former council house - Whether exempt disposal, R v Rushmoor BC

ex parte Barrett, 2; CA, 8
Sale of matrimonial home at price higher than original valuation, Edmonds v Edmonds, 24
Severance of joint tenancy, Hunter v Babbage, 43
Specific occupation order – When courts will grant, G v G CA, 86
Tenancy is a matrimonial asset, Newlon Housing Trust v Al-Sulaimen, 60
Tort of harassment, Khrasandjian v Bush, 39
Transfer of matrimonial home - Transfer of Law Society charge, Anthony v Anthony, 2
Unmarried parents - Transfer of tenancy for benefit of children, K v K, 31
Valuation of husband's business, Thompson v Thompson, 31
Variation of order, Peacock v Peacock, 26
Way to oust joint tenant from home, Re F (minors), 42
Wife's right takes priority, Barclays Bank v O'Brien, 41

RENT

Application to set aside decision of Rent Assessment Committee, N & D (London) v Gadson, 31
Arrears - Suspended possession order, Second WRVS Housing Society v Blair, 3
Council rent increase - Factors to be considered, Wandsworth LBC v Winder (No.2), 3
Definition of rent, Bostock v Bryant, 23
Fair rent determination - Based on market rents, Curtis v London RAC, 55; CA, 67
Fair rents and market rents, BTE v Merseyside & Cheshire Rent Assessment Committee, 33
Furnishing of flat does not override registered rent, Rakhit v Carty, 18
Method of assessing fair rents, Spath Holme v Greater Manchester & Lancashire RAC, 46; CA, 52
Notice of rent increases, Trustees of Thomas Pocklington v Hill & Sullivan, 15
Recovery of rent overpayment, Cheniston Investments v Waddock, 12
Rent determinations - Appeals against RAC decisions, Curtis v London RAC, 55
Rent not essential element of tenancy, Ashburn Anstalt v W J Arnold & Co, 7
Using comparators to determine rent, Castle Court Investment Co (Southampton) v Southern Rent Assessment Panel, 46
Withholding rent against a counterclaim for disrepair, Haringey LBC v Stewart, 27

SQUATTERS

Council not bound by policy or letter, Southwark LBC v Logan, 53
Delegation of local authority decision, R v Southwark LBC ex parte Bannerman, 17
Willingness to pay for occupation not inconsistent with being in adverse possession, J A Pye (Oxford) Ltd v Graham, HL, 95

TENANCY OR LICENCE

Joint occupiers - Licensees or tenants, *A G Securities v Vaughan*; *Antoniades v Villiers*, CA, 8; HL, 11
Landlord licensee - No power to create tenancy, *Bruton v London & Quadrant Housing Trust (CA)*, 64
Lease or licence, *Monmouth BC v Marlog*, 45
Licences not joint tenancy, *Stribling v Wickham*, 14
Services and access, *Huwyler v Ruddy*, 55
Tenancy or licence, *Camden LBC v Shortlife Community Housing*, 32
Tenancy or licence, *Hadjiloucas v Crean*, 6
Tenancy or licence, *Shepherd's Bush Housing Association v HATS Co-operative*, 30
Tenants not licensees, *Aslan v Murphy (Nos 1 & 2)*, 14
Whether tenancy or licence, *Central YMCA Housing Association v Saunders*, 24

TRAVELLERS

Adverse possession claim, *Belfast CC v Donoghue*, 39
Application for injunction – Grant appropriate where persistent breach of planning control, *New Forest DC v Shutler*, 116
Camping in designated area - Owner's consent, *R v Beaconsfield Justices ex parte Stubbings*, 3
Caravan site notice, *Stoke on Trent CC v Frost*, 30
Compulsory purchase of land occupied by travellers, *Sharky v Secretary of State for the Environment*, 23
Council must stand by undertaking, *R v Brent LBC ex parte McDonagh*, 13
Councils must make pre-eviction inquiries, *R v Lincolnshire CC ex parte Atkinson*, 53
Councils must make pre-eviction inquiries, *R v Wealdon DC ex parte Stratford*, 53
Councils must make pre-eviction inquiries, *R v Wealdon DC ex parte Wales*, 53
Definition of 'gypsies', *Hammond v Secretary of State for Environment*, 9
Definition of 'gypsies', *Smith v Smith*, 9
Definition of site, *Greenwich LBC v Powell*, CA, 8; HL, 12
Discharge of homelessness duty – Art 8 and traveller's wishes to lead traditional life, *R (Margaret Price) v Carmarthenshire CC*, 101
Eviction - Whether failure to provide accommodation a defence, *N Yorkshire CC v Capstick*, 4
Eviction from local authority site – Gateway B defence limited, *Liverpool CC v Doran and Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government*, CA, 134
Eviction not unlawful, *R v Avon CC ex parte Rexworthy*, 12
Eviction of travellers, *R v Gloucester CC ex parte Dutton*, 33
Human rights – Interference was lawfully proportionate, *Smith & others v Secretary of State for Trade & Industry (London Development Agency, interested party)*, 125
Human rights – Planning application by gypsy to use site for mobile home,

Clarke v SoS for the Environment, Transport & the Regions and Tunbridge Wells BC, 97
Injunction for breach of planning consent – Court must consider proportionality, South Buckinghamshire DC v Porter, CA, 92
No security of tenure on local authority caravan sites – No breach of Human Rights, R v Barking and Dagenham LBC and Secretary of State for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ex parte Albert Smith, 102
Occupation of common land, R v Teignbridge DC ex parte Street, 17
Provision of travellers' site, R v Secretary of State for the Environment ex parte N Herts DC, 17
Reasonableness of eviction, Avon CC v Buscott, 8
Site for caravan - Unauthorised development, Webb v Secretary of State for the Environment, 53
Site for travellers, R v West Glamorgan CC ex parte Morris, 26
Travellers site on green belt land, Doncaster BC v Green, 30
Use of land for travellers, Holdom v Kidd, 23
Whether gypsy status lost by an intention to settle down, Hearne v Secretary of State for Wales, CA, 80